A 2-ADIC APPROACH TO THE RAMANUJAN-NAGELL EQUATION

GERGELY HARCOS

The Ramanujan–Nagell equation is named after Ramanujan (1913) who conjectured and Nagell (1948) who determined its solutions. Unaware of its history, I worked out my own solution that I present below. It is not as elegant as Hasse's treatment [1] that also appears in Mordell's classical book [2], but in a certain sense it is more natural.

Theorem. The positive integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 7 = 2^n$ are x = 1, 3, 5, 11, 181, corresponding to n = 3, 4, 5, 7, 15.

Proof. We can factorize the equation in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ as

$$\frac{x + \sqrt{-7}}{2} \cdot \frac{x - \sqrt{-7}}{2} = a^{n-2} \cdot b^{n-2},$$

where

$$= \frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}$$
 and $b = \frac{1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}$

are the prime factors of 2. Hence

$$\{a^{n-2}, b^{n-2}\} = \pm \left\{\frac{x + \sqrt{-7}}{2}, \frac{x - \sqrt{-7}}{2}\right\}.$$

and we see that *n* solves the equation if and only if

a =

(1)
$$a^{n-2} - b^{n-2} = \pm \sqrt{-7}.$$

We shall show that the + (resp. -) sign case is solved by n = 3, 4 (resp. n = 5, 7, 15).

The key observation is the following: the exponent of b in $a^{2^k} - 1$ equals 1 for k = 0, and k + 2 for k > 0. Indeed, a - 1 = -b and $a^2 - 1 = b^3$ verify the statement for k = 0 and k = 1, and then we can proceed by induction via

$$a^{2^{k+1}} - 1 = (a^{2^k} - 1)(a^{2^k} + 1).$$

It follows (e.g. by the binomial theorem), that the exponent of b in $a^m - 1$ equals 1 for m odd, and k + 2 for m with 2-exponent k > 0.

Assume now that n > 4 satisfies the + sign case of (1). Then $a^{n-2} - b^{n-2} = a^2 - b^2$, whence $a^{n-2} - a^2$ is divisible by b^2 , but not by b^3 . That is, the exponent of b in $a^{n-4} - 1$ is 2, but this is impossible by the above.

Assume now that n > 15 satisfies the - sign case of (1). Then $a^{n-2} - b^{n-2} = a^{13} - b^{13}$, whence $a^{n-2} - a^{13}$ is divisible by b^{13} , but not by b^{14} . That is, the exponent of b in $a^{n-15} - 1$ is 13, i.e. the 2-exponent of n - 15 is 11. In other words, $n \equiv 2063 \pmod{4096}$. We infer

$$2^{n} \equiv 2^{2063} \pmod{2^{4096} - 1},$$

$$2^{n} \equiv 2^{2063} \equiv -2^{15} \pmod{2^{2048} + 1},$$

$$x^{2} = 2^{n} - 7 \equiv -2^{15} - 7 \pmod{2^{2048} + 1}.$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to show that $-2^{15} - 7$ is not a quadratic residue modulo $2^{2048} + 1$. Here we cannot do better than to use the fact that the prime p = 319489 divides $2^{2048} + 1$, and $-2^{15} - 7$ is not a quadratic residue modulo p. These statements can be checked by hand, or by the simple SAGE command

is_prime(319489), mod(2²048+1,319489), kronecker(-2¹⁵⁻⁷,319489)

GERGELY HARCOS

References

- H. Hasse, Über eine diophantische Gleichung von Ramanujan-Nagell und ihre Verallgemeinerung, Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 77–102.
- [2] L. J. Mordell, *Diophantine equations*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 30, Academic Press, London-New York, 1969.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, POB 127, Budapest H-1364, Hungary

E-mail address: gharcos@renyi.hu

CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY, NADOR U. 9, BUDAPEST H-1051, HUNGARY *E-mail address*: harcosg@ceu.hu

2