

DEFORMATIONS IN MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS

ALICE FIALOWSKI

ABSTRACT. This text is my introductory talk given at the Workshop "Deformations and Contractions in Mathematics and Physics" in Oberwolfach in January 2006.

Introduction

Deforming a given mathematical structure is a tool of fundamental importance in most parts of mathematics, mathematical physics and physics. Contractions have been developed mainly by physicists. The aim of this workshop is to bring together experts in these complementary topics. Deformations and contractions have been investigated by researchers who had different approaches and goals. Tools such as cohomology, gradings etc. which are utilized in the study of one concept, are likely to be useful for the other concept as well. At this meeting there are mathematicians, mathematical physicists and physicists as well. It seemed to us that such a meeting would benefit all.

1. The notion of deformation. The theory of deformations originated with the problem of classifying all possible pairwise non-isomorphic complex structures on a given differentiable real manifold. The fundamental idea, which should be credited to Riemann, was to introduce an analytic structure therein. The notion of local and infinitesimal deformations of a complex analytic manifold first appeared in the work of Kodaira and Spencer (1958). In particular, they proved that infinitesimal deformations can be parametrized by the corresponding cohomology group. The deformation theory of compact complex manifolds was devised by Kuranishi (1965) and Palamodov (1976). Shortly after the work of Kodaira and Spencer, algebro-geometric foundations were systematically developed by Artin (1960) and Schlessinger (1968). Formal deformations of arbitrary rings and associative algebras, and the related cohomology questions, were first investigated by Gerstenhaber, in a series of articles (1964–1968). The notion of deformation was applied to Lie algebras by Nijenhuis and Richardson (1966–68).

Because various fields in mathematics and physics exist in which deformations are used, we focused on the topic of the conference. We mainly consider here deformations of algebras (in particular, of Lie algebras), groups, and related algebraic structures and their applications to problems in physics. Beside the central topic, to open up fertile interaction, we invited also researchers from neighbouring disciplines. One such topic with tight interaction is deformation quantization. But there will also be others, like quantum groups,

The author is grateful for the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach for being able to organize this Meeting. The work was partially supported by grants OTKA T043641 and T043034.

deformation of Hopf algebras, Leibniz and dialgebras, infinity algebras, q -deformed physics, fuzzy spaces, quantum systems as deformations of classical systems etc.

Deformation is one of the tools used to study a specific object, by deforming it into some families of “similar” structure objects. This way we get a richer picture about the original object itself. But there is also another question approached via deformation. Roughly speaking, it is the question, can we equip the set of mathematical structures under consideration (may be up to certain equivalence) with the structure of a topological or geometric space. In other words, does there exist a moduli space for these structures. If so, then for a fixed object deformations of this object should reflect the local structure of the moduli space at the point corresponding to this object.

Let me give an example: The classification of complex analytic structures on a fixed topological manifold is completely understood. Also in algebraic geometry one has well-developed results in this direction. One of these results is that the local situation at a point $[C]$ of the moduli space is completely governed by the cohomological properties of the geometric object C . As typical example recall that for the moduli space M_g of smooth projective curves of genus g over \mathbb{C} (or, equivalently, compact Riemann surfaces of genus g) the tangent space $T_{[C]}M_g$ can be naturally identified with $H^1(C, T_C)$, where T_C is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields over C . This extends to higher dimension. In particular, it turns out that for compact complex manifolds M , the condition $H^1(M, T_M) = 0$ implies that M is rigid (Kodaira 1986). Rigidity means that any differentiable family $\pi : M \rightarrow B \subset \mathbb{R}$, $0 \in B$ which contains M as the special member, $M_0 := \pi^{-1}(0)$ is trivial in a neighbourhood of 0, i.e. for t small enough, $M_t := \pi^{-1}(t) \cong M$. Even more generally, for M a compact complex manifold and $H^1(M, T_M) \neq 0$ there exists a versal family which can be realized locally as a family over a certain subspace of $H^1(M, T_M)$ such that every appearing deformation family is “contained” in this versal family (Palamodov).

Definitions

For simplicity, consider the Lie algebra case. Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie algebra with Lie bracket μ_0 over a field \mathbb{K} .

a) Intuitive definition. A deformation of \mathcal{L} is a one-parameter family \mathcal{L}_t of Lie algebras with the bracket

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 + t\varphi_1 + t^2\varphi_2 + \dots$$

where φ_i are \mathcal{L} -valued 2-cochains, i.e. elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\Lambda^2\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = C^2(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{L})$, and \mathcal{L}_t is a Lie algebra for each $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Two deformations, \mathcal{L}_t and \mathcal{L}'_t are equivalent if there exists a linear automorphism $\widehat{\psi}_t = \text{id} + \psi_1 t + \psi_2 t^2 + \dots$ of \mathcal{L} where ψ_i are linear maps over \mathbb{K} , i.e. elements of $C^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ such that

$$\mu'_t(x, y) = \widehat{\psi}_t^{-1}(\mu_t(\widehat{\psi}_t(x), \widehat{\psi}_t(y))) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathcal{L}.$$

The Jacobi identity for the algebras \mathcal{L}_t implies that the 2-cochain φ_1 is indeed a cocycle, i.e. $d_2\varphi_1 = 0$. If φ_1 vanishes identically, the first nonvanishing φ_i will be a cocycle. If μ'_t is an equivalent deformation with cochains

φ'_i , then

$$\varphi'_1 - \varphi_1 = d_1\psi_1,$$

hence every equivalence class of deformations defines uniquely an element of $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$.

b) General definition. Consider now a deformation \mathcal{L}_t not as a family of Lie algebras, but as a Lie algebra over the algebra $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$. The natural generalization is to allow more parameters, or to take in general a commutative algebra over \mathbb{K} with identity as base of a deformation. Let us fix an augmentation $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $\varepsilon(1) = 1$, and set $\text{Ker } \varepsilon = m$, which is a maximal ideal.

Definition. A deformation λ of \mathcal{L} with base (A, m) is a Lie A -algebra structure on the tensor product $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{L}$ with bracket $[,]_{\lambda}$ such that

$$\varepsilon \otimes \text{id} : A \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Two deformations of a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} with the same base A are called equivalent (or isomorphic) if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism between the two copies of $A \otimes \mathcal{L}$ with the two Lie algebra structures, compatible with $\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}$.

A deformation with base A is called *local* if the algebra A is local, and it is called *infinitesimal* if, in addition to this, $m^2 = 0$. For general commutative algebra base, we call the deformation *global*.

c) Formal deformations. Let A be a complete local algebra (completeness means that $A = \overleftarrow{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A/m^n)$, where m is the maximal ideal in A). A formal deformation of \mathcal{L} with base A is a Lie A -algebra structure on the completed tensor product $A \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L} = \overleftarrow{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((A/m^n) \otimes \mathcal{L})$ s.t.

$$\varepsilon \widehat{\otimes} \text{id} : A \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

The previous notion of equivalence can be extended to formal deformations in an obvious way.

d) Formal versal deformations. It is known that in the category of algebraic varieties the quotient by a group action does not always exist (Hartshorne). Specifically, there is no universal deformation in general of a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} with a commutative algebra base A with the property that for any other deformation of \mathcal{L} with base B there exists a unique homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ that induces an equivalent deformation. If such a homomorphism exists (but not unique), we call the deformation of \mathcal{L} with base A *versal*.

The classical one-parameter deformation theory did not study the versal property of deformations. A more general deformation theory of Lie algebras follows from Schlessinger's work (1968). Namely, for complete local algebra base deformations, under some minor restriction, there exists a so-called miniversal deformation:

A formal deformation η of a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} with a complete local algebra base B is called *miniversal*, if

i) for any formal deformation λ of \mathcal{L} with any complete local base A there exists a homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ s.t. the deformation λ is equivalent to the push-out of η by f ;

ii) if A satisfies $m^2 = 0$, then f is unique.

Theorem Let $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ be finite-dimensional. Then there exists a versal formal deformation of \mathcal{L} , and the base of this versal deformation is formally embedded into $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$, i.e. it can be described in $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ by a finite system of formal equations.

Another question is how to construct such a deformation. Fuchs and I gave a construction which can be carried out with a computer.

The situation is much more complicated for global deformations, where we lose the cohomology as a tool for obtaining deformations and so far there is no way to get a versal object.

e) *Rigidity*. A Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is called *rigid* if every deformation is equivalent to a trivial deformation. There are various notions of rigidity: infinitesimal, formal, geometric, analytic, global, etc.

Proposition \mathcal{L} is infinitesimally rigid if and only if $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Theorem If \mathcal{L} is finite-dimensional and $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ then \mathcal{L} is rigid in every sense.

Theorem Let \mathcal{L} be an arbitrary Lie algebra. If $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, then \mathcal{L} is formally rigid.

REMARK: The above formal rigidity assertion is *not* true for global deformations. As an example, let us mention the Virasoro algebra, for which the space $H^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, so it is formally rigid, but it has lots of nice nontrivial global deformations, like the Krichever-Novikov type algebras.

Applications

a). Global deformations of Witt, Virasoro and affine Kac-Moody algebras are important in the theory of 2-dimensional conformal fields and their quantization. In the case of higher genus Riemann surfaces Krichever and Novikov proposed the use of global operator fields which are given with the help of the Lie algebra of vector fields of Krichever-Novikov type, certain related algebras, and their representations. Deformations of affine Lie algebras are used in the global operator approach to the Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov models appearing in the quantization of conformal field theory.

b). Deformation quantization. In 1978 Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer opened up a systematic approach to quantization via the deformation theory of algebras. A *deformation quantization* (or a *star product*) is an associative deformation of the algebra of classical observables in the direction of the Poisson bracket. Nowadays we have complete existence and classification results on the formal level. In 1997 Kontsevich proved the "formality conjecture" which implies that any finite-dimensional Poisson manifold can be quantized (in the sense of deformation quantization). There are a lot of open questions around deformation quantization like the treatment of infinite dimensional spaces, the question of existence of a dense

subalgebra of functions such that the star product of these elements is convergent, invariant star product, its relation to physics or noncommutative spaces, specific examples in physics etc.

c) Recently, much attention has been paid to deformations of homotopy algebras, operads, Leibniz algebras, dialgebras, flag varieties, symplectic algebroids etc., and to more general derived deformation theory.

SOME OF MY RELATED PAPERS

- [1] A. Fialowski, *Deformations of Lie Algebras*, Math. USSR-Sb. **55** (1986) no. 2, 467–473.
- [2] ———, *An example of formal deformations of Lie algebras*, NATO Conf. on Deformation Theory of Algebras and Appl., Il Ciocco, Kluwer, 1988. pp. 375–401.
- [3] ———, *Deformations of some infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Journal of Math. Physics **31** (1990), 1340–1343.
- [4] ———, J. O’Halloran, *A comparison of deformations and orbit closure*, Comm. in Algebra **18** (1990), 4121–4140.
- [5] ———, D. Fuchs, *Construction of Miniversal Deformations of Lie Algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999), 76–110.
- [6] ———, M. Penkava, *Deformation Theory of Infinity Algebras*, J. Algebra **255** (2002), 59–88.
- [7] ———, M. Schlichenmaier, *Krichever–Novikov algebras as global deformations of the Vivasono algebra*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **5** (2003), 921–945.
- [8] ———, M. Schlichenmaier, *Global geometric deformations of current algebras as Krichever–Novikov type algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005), 579–612.
- [9] ———, M. de Montigny, *Deformations and contractions of Lie algebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005), 6335–6349.
- [10] ———, M. de Montigny, *On Deformations and Contractions of Lie Algebras*, SIGMA **2 048** (2006), 10 pages.
- [11] ———, M. Penkava, *Deformations of four-dimensional Lie algebras*, to appear in Comm. Contemp. Math. (2006), math.RT/0512354.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
E-mail address: fialowsk@cs.elte.hu