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#### Abstract

We find tight estimates for the minimum number of proper subspaces needed to cover all lattice points in an $n$-dimensional convex body $\mathcal{C}$, symmetric about the origin 0 . This enables us to prove the following statement, which settles a problem of G. Halász. The maximum number of $n$-wise linearly independent lattice points in the $n$-dimensional ball $r \mathcal{B}^{n}$ of radius $r$ around 0 is $O\left(r^{n /(n-1)}\right)$. This bound cannot be improved. We also show that the order of magnitude of the number of different $(n-1)$-dimensional subspaces induced by the lattice points in $r \mathcal{B}^{n}$ is $r^{n(n-1)}$.


## 1. Introduction and statement of results

This paper was inspired by the following question of G. Halász. What is the maximal cardinality of a subset $S$ of $r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that all $n$-element subsets of $S$ are linearly independent? (Here $\mathcal{B}^{n}$ denotes the unit ball around the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.) As any system of proper subspaces that cover $r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ provides an upper bound on the above quantity, we would like to determine the size of the smallest such covering system. We look at these questions from a somewhat broader perspective.

We introduce the following notations. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex compact body symmetric with respect to the origin. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\lambda_{i}$ denote the $i$-th successive minimum of $\mathcal{C}$. That is,

$$
\lambda_{i}=\min \left\{\lambda \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(\lambda \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right) \geq i\right\}
$$
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Let $g(\mathcal{C})$ denote the minimum number of proper subspaces covering $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and let $h(\mathcal{C})$ denote the maximum number of points that can be chosen from $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ so that they are in general position, i.e., no $n$ of them are linearly dependent. Clearly, we have $h(\mathcal{C}) \leq(n-1) g(\mathcal{C})$.

The following two theorems, providing a lower bound on $h(\mathcal{C})$ and an upper bound on $g(\mathcal{C})$, respectively, give fairly tight estimates for these quantities.

Theorem 1. If $\lambda_{n} \leq 1$ then

$$
h(\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{16 n^{2}} \min _{0<m<n}\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n-m}}
$$

Theorem 2. If $\lambda_{n} \leq 1$ then

$$
g(\mathcal{C}) \leq c 2^{n} n^{2} \log n \min _{0<m<n}\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n-m}}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
In Halász' question, $\mathcal{C}$ is the $n$-dimensional ball, $r \mathcal{B}^{n}$, of radius $r>1$ around the origin, whose successive minima satisfy $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}=1 / r$. Thus, in this case, Theorems 1 and 2 immediately imply that the correct orders of magnitude of both $g\left(r \mathcal{B}^{n}\right)$ and $h\left(r \mathcal{B}^{n}\right)$ are $O\left(r^{n /(n-1)}\right)$.

REmARK 1. If $\lambda_{n}>1$, then $g(\mathcal{C})=1$ and hence $h(\mathcal{C})<n$. If $\lambda_{n}<1-\epsilon$, by Theorems 1 and 2 the values of $g(\mathcal{C})$ and $h(\mathcal{C})$ are determined by the successive minima of $\mathcal{C}$ up to a constant factor depending on $\epsilon$ and the dimension $n$. For $\lambda_{n}=1$ no such approximation is possible. For arbitrary large $x>1$, consider the convex bodies

$$
\mathcal{C}_{x}=[-x, x]^{n-1} \times[-1,1]
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{x}^{\prime}=\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{-x e_{i}, x e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i<n\right\} \cup\left\{-e_{n}, e_{n}\right\}\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Both bodies have the same sequence of successive minima: $\lambda_{i}=1 / x$ for $i<n$ and $\lambda_{n}=1$. However, $g\left(\mathcal{C}_{x}\right) \geq 2 x$ and $h\left(\mathcal{C}_{x}\right) \geq x / 2$, while $g\left(\mathcal{C}_{x}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $h\left(\mathcal{C}_{x}^{\prime}\right)=n$.

REMARK 2. The integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ plays no particular role in the above theorems. Our inequalities are preserved by affine transformations, therefore they hold for $n$-dimensional lattices in general.

For any $r \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ denote the set of all $(n-1)$-dimensional subspaces (hyperplanes through 0 ) which contain $n-1$ linearly independent lattice points from the ball of radius $r$ centered at the origin.

Theorem 3. There exist suitable positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, depending only on $n$, such that

$$
c_{1} r^{n(n-1)} \leq\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right| \leq c_{2} r^{n(n-1)}
$$

provided that $r$ is large enough.
Let $r \geq 1$. Theorem 3 can be used to bound

$$
s_{r}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{r}}\left|H \cap r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right|
$$

the average number of lattice points in $r \mathcal{B}^{n}$ in the hyperplanes belonging to $\mathcal{H}_{r}$.
Corollary. The average $s_{r}$ is bounded by a constant depending on the dimension $n$.

Remark 3. Analyzing the dependence of $c_{1}$ on $n$ one can show that $s_{r} \leq$ $2^{n^{3}+O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)}$.

In Section 2, we essentially show that within $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ one can represent a finite projective space over a relatively small prime (see Lemma). To establish Theorem 1, we combine this result with a well known construction of P. Erdős (see [11, Appendix]).

Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 2. This proof is also constructive: in most cases, to cover $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ we take all subspaces perpendicular to an integer vector in a body homothetic to the polar of $\mathcal{C}$.

The proofs of Theorem 3 and the Corollary are given in Section 4.
The related (but different) problem of covering the lattice points within a convex body by affine subspaces was first investigated by K. Bezdek and T. Hausel [2]. They only considered 1-codimensional subspaces, i.e. hyperplanes (as we do here). Their work was sharpened and extended to the general case by I. Talata [14]. The estimates in these two papers are given in terms of the dimension $n$ and the lattice width of the convex body.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is based on the following
Lemma. Let $\lambda_{n}<1$ and suppose that $p$ is an integer satisfying

$$
1<p<\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{8 n^{2}} \min _{0<m<n}\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n-m}} .
$$

Then, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exist an integer $1 \leq j<p$ and a lattice point $w \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $j v+p w \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Lemma. Find linearly independent vectors $v_{i} \in \lambda_{i} \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be uniquely written in the form $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}+$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} v_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_{i} \in(-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. Here $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} v_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} v_{i} \in \operatorname{conv}\left\{\frac{v_{i}}{2 p \lambda_{i}}, \left.-\frac{v_{i}}{2 p \lambda_{i}} \right\rvert\, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{2 p}
$$

whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left|b_{i}\right| \leq 1 /(2 p)$. Thus, the density $d$ of the periodic set

$$
S=\frac{\mathcal{C}}{2 p}+\mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

is at least the probability that for independent uniform random numbers $b_{i} \in[0,1 / 2]$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} b_{i} \leq 1 /(2 p)$. This inequality is satisfied if $\lambda_{i} b_{i} \leq \epsilon /(2 p n)$ for all $i<n$ and $\lambda_{n} b_{n}<(1-\epsilon) /(2 p)$, where $\epsilon=\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) / 2$. Thus, we have

$$
d \geq \min \left(1, \frac{1-\epsilon}{p \lambda_{n}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \min \left(1, \frac{\epsilon}{p n \lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

This lower bound on $d$ takes the form

$$
A_{m}=\prod_{m \leq i<n} \frac{\epsilon}{p n \lambda_{i}}
$$

or

$$
B_{m}=\frac{1-\epsilon}{p \lambda_{n}} \prod_{m \leq i<n} \frac{\epsilon}{p n \lambda_{i}}
$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n$ is an appropriate integer (the product is empty in case $m=n$ ).
We claim that each of these values is larger than $1 / p$, so we have $d>1 / p$. The inequality $B_{m}>1 / p$ is equivalent to

$$
p^{n-m}<\frac{(1-\epsilon) \epsilon^{n-m}}{n^{n-m} \lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}}
$$

This is true, by the choice of $\epsilon$, for $m=n$, and, by our bound on $p$, otherwise. The inequality $A_{m}>1 / p$ is equivalent to

$$
C_{m}=p^{n-m-1} \lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n-1}<\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)^{n-m}
$$

If $m=n$, this is true, because $p>1$. Suppose $m<n$, and use our bound on $p$ to get

$$
C_{m}<\frac{1}{p \lambda_{n}}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4 n^{2}}\right)^{n-m}
$$

If $\lambda_{n} \geq 1 / 2$ then $p \lambda_{n} \geq 1$, hence the desired inequality follows. If $\lambda_{n}<1 / 2$ then $\epsilon>1 / 4$, hence the previous inequality yields

$$
C_{m}<\frac{1}{p \lambda_{n}}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)^{n-m+1}
$$

On the other hand, using the monotonicity of the sequence $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$, we obtain

$$
C_{m} \leq p^{n-m-1} \lambda_{n}^{n-m}<\left(p \lambda_{n}\right)^{n-m}
$$

Taking a weighted geometric mean of the last two bounds, we get

$$
C_{m}<\left\{\frac{1}{p \lambda_{n}}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)^{n-m+1}\right\}^{\frac{n-m}{n-m+1}}\left\{\left(p \lambda_{n}\right)^{n-m}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-m+1}}=\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)^{n-m}
$$

as required. This proves $A_{m}>1 / p$ and hence $d>1 / p$.
Consider the periodic sets $S+j v / p$ for $j=0, \ldots, p-1$. Each of these $p$ sets has density $d>1 / p$ thus two of these sets must intersect. We have

$$
\frac{j_{1} v}{p}+\frac{u_{1}}{2 p}+w_{1}=\frac{j_{2} v}{p}+\frac{u_{2}}{2 p}+w_{2}
$$

for some $0 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<p$, some $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ and some $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For $1 \leq j=$ $j_{2}-j_{1}<p$ and $w=w_{2}-w_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we have

$$
j v+p w=\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{2} \in \mathcal{C}
$$

verifying the statement of the Lemma.
Now it is easy to finish the proof of Theorem 1. Let $p$ be the largest prime number satisfying the condition in the Lemma. If such a prime does not exist, then the statement of the theorem is trivial. The points of the discrete moment curve (used by Erdős in connection with Heilbronn's triangle problem [11]), $v_{i}=$ $\left(1, i, i^{2}, \ldots, i^{n-1}\right)$ for integer values $0 \leq i<p$ (and $v_{\infty}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ ) are $n$ wise linearly independent over the $p$-element field. By the Lemma, we have integers $1 \leq j_{i}<p$ and integer vectors $w_{i}$ with $v_{i}^{\prime}=j_{i} v_{i}+p w_{i} \in \mathcal{C}$. Clearly, the vectors $v_{i}^{\prime}$ are integer vectors, and they are $n$-wise linearly independent over the $p$-element field, and hence over the reals. This shows $h(\mathcal{C})>p$, and an application of Chebyshev's theorem on prime numbers concludes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathcal{C}^{0}$ denote the polar body of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{C}^{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: u x \leq 1 \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{C}\right\}
$$

Denote by $\mu_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{n}$ the successive minima of $\mathcal{C}^{0}$. It is known that

$$
1 \leq \lambda_{i} \mu_{n-i+1} \leq c_{1} n \log n \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

where $c_{1}$ is an absolute constant. The lower bound is a classical inequality of Mahler [10], the upper one has been recently proved by Banaszczyk [1].

Fix any integer $0<m<n$, for the rest of the argument. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)\left(\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n-m+1}\right) \leq\left(c_{1} n \log n\right)^{n-m+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For technical reasons, we will consider any increasing sequence

$$
0<\nu_{1}<\cdots<\nu_{n-m+1}
$$

such that no ratio $\nu_{i} / \nu_{j}(i \neq j)$ is rational and

$$
\mu_{i} \leq \nu_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n-m+1)
$$

Let

$$
w_{i} \in \mu_{i} \mathcal{C}^{0} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n-m+1)
$$

be linearly independent vectors, and consider some sets of integer vectors of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} a_{i} w_{i}: a_{i} \in\left[0, \alpha / \nu_{i}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \\
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} a_{i} w_{i}: a_{i} \in\left[-\alpha / \nu_{i}, \alpha / \nu_{i}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a non-negative parameter to be specified later. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ is the union of $2^{n-m+1}$ isometric copies of $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}$satisfying

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq(n-m+1) \alpha \mathcal{C}^{0} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

Also, the difference of any two vectors from $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}$lies in $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$. Let $f(\alpha)$ be the number of points in the first set, i.e.,

$$
f(\alpha)=\left|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{n-m+1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{\alpha}{\nu_{i}}\right\rfloor+1\right)
$$

Notice that $f(\alpha)$ is an increasing, right continuous function which changes by a factor of at most 2 at its points of discontinuity, i.e., for any $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha) \leq 2 f(\alpha-) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $f(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n-m+1} \frac{\alpha}{\nu_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that, whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha)>2(n-m+1) \alpha+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, every lattice point in $\mathcal{C}$ is perpendicular to some non-zero element of $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$. To see this, fix any $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and consider all the scalar products $u v$ where $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}$. These scalar products are integers, whose absolute values do not exceed $(n-m+1) \alpha$. Therefore, (4) implies the existence of two distinct $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{+}$with $u v_{1}=u v_{2}$. Hence, the non-zero vector $v=v_{1}-v_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ is perpendicular to $u$. We established that (4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathcal{C}) \leq\left|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\right| \leq 2^{n-m+1} f(\alpha) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the right continuity of $f(\alpha)$, there is a minimum $\alpha$ such that

$$
f(\alpha) \geq 16(n-m+1)^{\frac{n-m+1}{n-m}}\left(\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{n-m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}
$$

By (3), this $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\alpha \leq 4(n-m+1)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}\left(\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{n-m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}} .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
4(n-m+1) \alpha \leq f(\alpha)
$$

The inequality $0<\lambda_{m} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n} \leq 1$ combined with (1) guarantees that

$$
1 \leq \mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n-m+1} \leq \nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{n-m+1}
$$

whence also

$$
32 \leq f(\alpha)
$$

The last two estimates on $f(\alpha)$ show that (4) is satisfied. In particular, $\alpha>0$, therefore (5) combined with (2) yields

$$
g(\mathcal{C}) \leq 2^{n-m+2} f(\alpha-)<2^{n-m+6}(n-m+1)^{\frac{n-m+1}{n-m}}\left(\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{n-m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}
$$

Taking the infimum of the right hand side over all admissible choices of the sequence $0<\nu_{1}<\cdots<\nu_{n-m+1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\mathcal{C}) & \leq 2^{n-m+6}(n-m+1)^{\frac{n-m+1}{n-m}}\left(\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n-m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}} \\
& \leq 2^{n-m+7} n\left(\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n-m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\mathcal{C}) & \leq 2^{n-m+7} n\left(c_{1} n \log n\right)^{\frac{n-m+1}{n-m}}\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{\frac{-1}{n-m}} \\
& \leq 2^{n+7} c_{1}^{2} n^{2} \log n\left\{2^{-m}(n \log n)^{\frac{1}{n-m}}\right\}\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{\frac{-1}{n-m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
2^{-m}(n \log n)^{\frac{1}{n-m}} \leq \max \left\{(n \log n)^{2 / n}, 2^{-n / 2} n \log n\right\}
$$

is bounded from above by an absolute constant, hence we can see that

$$
g(\mathcal{C}) \leq 2^{n} c n^{2} \log n\left(\lambda_{m} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)^{\frac{-1}{n-m}}
$$

where $c$ is some absolute constant. Minimizing over all integers $0<m<n$, Theorem 2 follows.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

The upper bound follows at once by noting that

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right| \leq\binom{\left|r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right|}{n-1}=\binom{O\left(r^{n}\right)}{n-1}=O\left(r^{n(n-1)}\right)
$$

For any primitive integer vector $v$, let $\mathcal{L}(v)$ stand for the $(n-1)$-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \cap v^{\perp}$ orthogonal to $v$, with determinant $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}(v)=|v|$. Write $\lambda_{1}(v) \leq$ $\cdots \leq \lambda_{n-1}(v)$ for the successive minima of $\mathcal{L}(v)$, i.e.,

$$
\lambda_{i}(v)=\min \left\{\lambda \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(\lambda \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathcal{L}(v)\right) \geq i\right\}
$$

Denote by $\omega_{n}$ the volume of the unit ball $\mathcal{B}^{n}$. According to Minkowski's second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(v) \ldots \lambda_{n-1}(v) \leq 2^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{-1}|v| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a set $V$ by

$$
V=\left\{v \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: v \text { is primitive and }|v| \leq \rho\right\}
$$

where $\rho$ will be specified later.

Claim. If $\rho$ is large enough, there are at least $\omega_{n} \rho^{n} / 10$ elements $v \in V$ such that $\lambda_{1}(v) \geq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, where $D>0$ is a suitable constant depending on $n$.

Before proving the Claim, we show how it implies the lower bound in Theorem 3. By (6), whenever $\lambda_{1}(v) \geq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{n-1}(v) \leq 2^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{-1}|v|\left(D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{-(n-2)} \leq 2^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{-1} D^{-(n-2)} \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
$$

So, for at least $\omega_{n} \rho^{n} / 10$ elements $v \in V, \mathcal{L}(v)$ contains $n-1$ linearly independent lattice points from the ball of radius $r=2^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{-1} D^{-(n-2)} \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. From here $\rho$ can be expressed as a function of $r$, and the lower bound in Theorem 3 follows.

Proof of Claim. We shall assume throughout this argument that $\rho$ is sufficiently large in terms of $n$. The inequality $\lambda_{1}(v) \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ is equivalent to the existence of a primitive $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $v u=0$ and $|u| \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. In other words, $v \in \mathcal{L}(u)$ for some primitive $u$ with $|u| \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. For any primitive $u$ with $|u| \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, we estimate the number of corresponding vectors $v$.

Using (6) we can see that $\lambda_{n-1}(u) \leq 2^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{-1} D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}=o(\rho)$ which implies that $\mathcal{L}(u)$ contains a lattice parallelotope of nonzero volume and of diameter $o(\rho)$. Therefore the number of corresponding vectors $v$ is at most

$$
\left|\mathcal{L}(u) \cap \rho \mathcal{B}^{n}\right| \leq 2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\rho \mathcal{B}^{n-1}\right) / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}(u)=2 \omega_{n-1} \rho^{n-1} /|u|
$$

Hence the total number of $v \in V$ with $\lambda_{1}(v) \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ is at most

$$
2 \omega_{n-1} \rho^{n-1} \sum_{|u| \leq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \frac{1}{|u|} \leq 4 \omega_{n-1} \omega_{n} D^{n-1} \rho^{n}
$$

as can be shown by a straightforward calculation. The total number of points in $V$ is at least $\frac{1}{2 \zeta(n)} \omega_{n} \rho^{n}$. Thus, the number of $v \in V$ with $\lambda_{1}(v) \geq D \rho^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ is at least

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 \zeta(n)}-4 \omega_{n-1} D^{n-1}\right) \omega_{n} \rho^{n}
$$

which is larger than $\omega_{n} \rho^{n} / 10$ if the constant $D$ is chosen properly.

Proof of Corollary. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{r}}\left|H \cap r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right| & =\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|+\sum_{0 \neq v \in r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\left\{H \in \mathcal{H}_{r} \mid v \in H\right\}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|+\left|r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right|^{n-1} \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|+\omega_{n}^{n-1}(r+\sqrt{n})^{n(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that $H \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$ is spanned by $v$ and other $n-2$ independent vectors in $r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. By Theorem 3 we have

$$
s_{r}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{r}}\left|H \cap r \mathcal{B}^{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right| \leq 1+c_{1}^{-1} \omega_{n}^{n-1}(1+\sqrt{n} / r)^{n(n-1)},
$$

where the right-hand side is bounded by a function of $n$ as required.

## 5. Epilogue

Halász' question studied in this paper is related to the following famous problem of Littlewood and Offord [9]. Given $k$ not necessarily distinct complex numbers, $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$, whose absolute values are at least 1 , at most how many of the $2^{k}$ subset sums $\sum_{i \in I} v_{i}, \quad I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ can belong to the same open ball of unit diameter?

Erdős [3] proved that for reals the best possible upper bound was $\binom{k}{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor}$. G. O. H. Katona [6] and D. Kleitman [7] independently settled the original question by showing that the same bound is valid for complex numbers. Shortly after, Kleitman [8] managed to generalize this theorem to systems of vectors of absolute value at least 1 in any Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In all cases, the upper bound is attained when all vectors (numbers) coincide.

Erdős and Moser considered the similar problem of how many subset sums of $k$ distinct numbers can coincide. A. Sárközy, E. Szemerédi [12] found the order of magnitude of this number and later R. Stanley [13] found the exact answer. G. Halász [5] considered the similar problem of how many subset sums can coincide under various assumptions assuring that the $k$ vectors are quite different. J. Griggs and G. Rote [4] investigated the following problem of this type. Given $k n$-wise linearly independent vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, at most how many of the $2^{k}$ subset sums $\sum_{i \in I} v_{i}, \quad I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ can coincide? Denoting this function by $f_{n}(k)$, they obtained that

$$
f_{n}(k)>C_{n} \frac{2^{k}}{k^{3 n / 2-1}}
$$

and it is implicit in Halász [5] that

$$
f_{n}(k)<C_{n}^{\prime} \frac{2^{k}}{k^{n / 2+\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}}
$$

(Here $C_{n}$ and $C_{n}^{\prime}$ are positive constants depending only on the dimension $n$.) The orders of magnitude of these two bounds differ already in 3 -space $(n=3)$.

Note that the construction of Griggs and Rote [4] can be regarded as the special case of our construction at the end of Section 2, when $\mathcal{C}$ is a box of the form $[0,1] \times[0, x]^{n-1}$.

Halász observed that the construction in [4] can be extended to give the following result. Let $h_{n}(r)$ denote the maximum number of $n$-wise linearly independent lattice points that can be chosen in $r \mathcal{B}^{n}$. Let $r(k)$ be the smallest $r$ for which $h_{n}(r) \geq k$. Then

$$
f_{n}(k)>C_{n}^{\prime \prime} \frac{2^{k}}{k^{n / 2} r^{n}(k)}
$$

This would improve on the previous lower bound, provided that $r(k)=o\left(k^{(n-1) / n}\right)$, or, equivalently,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{n}(r)}{r^{n /(n-1)}}=\infty
$$

However, the results in this paper show that this is not the case.
Besides András Sárközy three other Hungarian mathematicians mentioned in this section - Gábor Halász, Gyula Katona and Endre Szemerédi - have recently turned or will turn sixty, as well. We congratulate also them with this note.

We further thank J. Matoušek for useful discussions and comments.
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