# The convex hull of the integer points in a large ball 

Imre Bárány ${ }^{1, \star}$ David G. Larman ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, POB 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary (e-mail: barany@math-inst.hu)<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK (e-mail: dgl@math.ucl.ac.uk)

Received: 28 March 1997 / Revised version: 23 March 1998

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 52B20, 52A27, 11P21

## 1. The main result

The "integer convex hull" of $r B^{d}$, the ball of radius $r$ centred at the origin, is, by definition

$$
P_{r}=\operatorname{conv}\left(Z^{d} \cap r B^{d}\right)
$$

which is clearly a convex polytope. How many vertices does $P_{r}$ have? Motivation for the question comes from different sources: integer programming (cf. [CHKM] [BHL]), classical enumeration problems ([J],[Sch], or more generally [W],[Vin]), and from the theory of random polytopes (see later). For the case $d=2$ it is shown in [BB] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.33 r^{2 / 3} \leq f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right) \leq 5.55 r^{2 / 3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{k}(P)$ denotes the number of $k$-dimensional faces of the polytope $P$. The limit, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, of the average of $r^{-2 / 3} f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)$, on an interval $[R, R+H]$, is determined by Balog and Deshoullier [BD], and turns out to be $3.453 \ldots$, ( $H$ must be large). Our main result extends (1.1) to any $d \geq 2$ and to any $f_{k}\left(P_{r}\right)$ with $k=0, \ldots, d-1$.

Theorem 1. For every $d \geq 2$ there are constants $c_{1}(d)$ and $c_{2}(d)$ such that for all $k \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(d) r^{d^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \leq f_{k}\left(P_{r}\right) \leq c_{2}(d) r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} . . . . ~} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Using Vinogradov's $\ll$ notation this can be written as $r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll f_{k}\left(P_{r}\right) \ll$ $r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$. Here the implied constants depend only on dimension $d$; we will keep to this as a convention throughout the paper (unless stated otherwise).

It is the authors' conviction that lattice points and random points, in relation to convex bodies in "general position", behave similarly. Theorem 1 is another confirmation: (1.2) is in complete analogy with random polytopes. To see this, choose $n=\left\lceil r^{d} \operatorname{Vol} B^{d}\right\rceil$ random, independent, and uniform points from $B^{d}$, and let $K_{n}$ denote their convex hull. Then, according to [BL] and [B], $n^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll$ $E f_{k}\left(K_{n}\right) \ll n^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$, where $E$ stands for expectation. But $n^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll n^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$, showing that the convex hull of $n$ random points and the convex hull of the $n$ lattice points lying in $r B^{d}$ have the same number of $k$-dimensional faces.

## 2. The upper bound

The upper bounds in (1.2) follows from a result of Andrews [An] who proved the case $k=0$ of the following more general

Theorem 2. Assume $P \subset R^{d}$ is a lattice polytope with nonempty interior. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(P) \ll(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implied constant depends only on $d$.
The result was rediscovered by Arnol'd [Ar] (case $d=2$ ), Konyagin and Sevastyanov [KS], case $d \geq 2, k=0$ with indication to any $k$. W. Schmidt [Sch] proved (2.1) in slightly stronger form. A more general argument of Bárány and Vershik [BV] implies the case $d \geq 2, k=0$. Here we give yet another proof, based on convex geometry and the technique of cap coverings. What we get is a slight improvement over (2.1), which is also indicated in [KS]. A tower (or flag) of the polytope $P$ is a chain of incident faces $F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{d-1}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F_{i}=i$. Write $T(P)$ for the number of towers of $P$.

Theorem 3. Under the previous assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(P) \ll(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As clearly $f_{k}(P) \leq T(P)$, (2.2) indeed generalizes (2.1). The proof, however, starts with the case $k=d-1$ of (2.1) and uses, twice, a trick of Andrews later.

## 3. Lower bounds and approximation

W. Schmidt [Sch] asked whether the exponent $\frac{d-1}{d+1}$ in (2.1) is best possible (when $d>2$ ). In the case $d=2$ this is clear from [Ar] and [Sch], Arnol'd also indicates the general case. The lower bounds of Theorem 1 show that the exponent in (2.1), and also in (2.2), is best possible. An argument of the first named author (given
in [BD]) proves that the average of $f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)$, over $r \in[R, R+H]$ is of order $R^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$. This is a weaker, or average, version of the case $k=0$ of Theorem 1.

The proof of the lower bounds in Theorem 1 is based on a result from the theory of approximation of (smooth) convex bodies by polytopes. To state what we need, write $\mathscr{C}(D)$ for the collection of convex bodies with $\mathscr{C}^{2}$ boundary and radius of curvature at every point and every direction between $1 / D$ and $D$. (Here $D \geq 1$.) Let $K \in \mathscr{C}(D)$ and assume $P \subset K$ is a convex polytope. Approximation of $K$ by $P$ is measured as the "relative" missed volume, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{appr}(K, P)=\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(K \backslash P)}{\operatorname{Vol} K}
$$

The result we need (cf. [G1]) says that for any $K \in \mathscr{C}(D)$ and for any polytope $P \subset K$ having $n$ vertices

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{appr}(K, P) \gg n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, there is a polytope $P \subset K$ with $n$ vertices satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{appr}(K, P) \ll n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gg$ and $\ll$ depend on $D$ as well. More precise asymptotic information is available on best approximation (cf. [G2]): the constant is const $(d)$ times the $\frac{d+1}{d-1}$ power of the affine surface area of $K$. But we won't need this precision.

The proof of the lower bounds is based on
Theorem 4. For every $d \geq 2$

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(r B^{d} \backslash P_{r}\right) \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

This implies the case $k=0$ of Theorem 1: Assume $f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)=n$. By (3.1) and Theorem 4

$$
n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \ll \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(r B^{d} \backslash P_{r}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol} r B^{d}} \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}-d}=r^{-\frac{2 d}{d+1}}
$$

showing that $f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)=n \gg r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ indeed. On the other hand, $f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right) \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ from Theorem 1 which together with (3.1) imply that

$$
r^{-\frac{2 d}{d+1}} \ll f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \ll \operatorname{appr}\left(r B^{d}, P_{r}\right)
$$

i.e., $P_{r}$ is a "best" aproximating polytope to $r B^{d}$ in the sense of (3.2). So we have

Corollary .

$$
f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \ll \operatorname{appr}\left(r B^{d}, P_{r}\right) \ll f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right)^{-\frac{2}{d-1}}
$$

A long time ago, C. A. Rogers [R] proved the following analogue of (3.1). For every polytope $P \subset B^{d}$ with $n$ facets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{appr}\left(B^{d}, P\right) \gg n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this the case $k=d-1$ of Theorem 1 (the lower bound) follows the same way as above. Cases $k=1, \ldots, d-2$ of Theorem 1 need special, and more involved treatment. The proof would be simpler if, for every convex polytope $P$, one would have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(P) \geq \min \left\{f_{0}(P), f_{d-1}(P)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This would follow from the unimodality conjecture (see [Z]), which is known to be false. But (3.4) may still be true. It is known to hold for simple (and then simplicial) polytopes, see $B j o ̈ r n e r ~[B j] . ~$

## 4. Replacing $B^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ by $K$

In this section we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \in \mathscr{C}(D) \text { and } 0 \in \operatorname{int} K \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{\lambda}$ be the integer convex hull of $\lambda K$, i.e.,

$$
P_{\lambda}=P_{\lambda}(K)=\operatorname{conv}\left(Z^{d} \cap \lambda K\right) .
$$

Here $\lambda$ is large (we keep the letter $r$ for radius of curvature). The questions, and the answers, of the previous sections extend to this case, with the constants implied in $\ll$ depending on $d$ and $D$ :

Theorem 5. Assume $K$ satisfies (4.1). Then, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll f_{k}\left(P_{\lambda}(K)\right) \ll \lambda^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will indicate, after the proofs for $B^{d}$, how the extension goes.
The generalization of Rogers' result (3.3) to this case has to be stated and proved separately:

Theorem 6. Assume $K$ satisfies (4.1) and $P \subset K$ is a polytope with $n$ facets. Then

$$
\operatorname{appr}(K, P) \gg n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}}
$$

with the implied constant depending only on $d, D$.
Again, the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 1 for $k=1, \ldots, d-2$ would be simpler if the following unusual approximation statement were true.
Conjecture. Assume $K$ satisfies (4.1), $k \in\{1, \ldots d-2\}$ and $P \subset K$ is a polytope with $f_{k}(P)=n$. Then

$$
\operatorname{appr}(K, P) \gg n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}}
$$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 4

We start by introducing notation and terminology. Let $p \in Z^{d}$ be a primitive vector, outward normal to the facet $F(p)$ of $P_{r}$. The hyperplane $H(p)=\operatorname{aff} F(p)$ cuts off a small cap $C(p)$ from $r B^{d}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{d} \cap \operatorname{int} C(p)=\emptyset . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho=\rho(p)$ be the radius of the $(d-1)$-ball $H(p) \cap r B^{d}$ and let $h=h(p)$ be the width, in direction $p$, of the cap $C$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}=(2 r-h) h \text { and so } r h \ll \rho^{2} \ll r h . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $|x|$ for the Euclidean length of $x \in R^{d}$. Letting Area to denote $(d-1)-$ dimensional volume, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area } F(p)=\ell(p)|p| \ll \rho^{d-1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell(p)>0 .|p|$ is, in fact, the determinant of the lattice $Z^{d} \cap H(p)$. So

$$
\ell(p) \in \frac{1}{(d-1)!} Z^{d}
$$

Lemma 1. The contribution to $\operatorname{Vol}\left(r B^{d} \backslash P_{r}\right)$ of the caps $C(p)$ with $h(p) \leq r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ $i s \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$.

Proof. Everything that is contained in such a $C(p)$ is also contained in

$$
r B^{d} \backslash\left(r-r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}\right) B^{d}
$$

whose volume is just $\left(r^{d}-\left(r-r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}\right)^{d}\right) \operatorname{Vol} B^{d} \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$.
From now on we can only consider facets $F(p)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(p) \geq r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to use the Flatness Theorem (cf. [K], [KL]) saying that the lattice width of a lattice point free convex body (in $R^{d}$ ) is at most $c_{0} d^{2}$ where $c_{0}$ is a universal constant. Applying this to $C(p)$, or rather to int $C(p)$ which is lattice point free by (5.1), we get a primitive vector $q \in Z^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{q(x-y) x, y \in C(p)\} \leq c_{0} d^{2} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1: when $h(p) \leq c_{0} d^{2}|p|^{-1}$. In this case $p$ is a flatness direction for $C(p)$ (since consecutive lattice hyperplanes with normal $p$ are at distance $|p|^{-1}$ apart). Then $\rho^{2} \ll r h \ll r|p|^{-1}$ and

$$
\text { Area } F(p)=\ell(p)|p| \ll \rho^{d-1} \ll\left(r|p|^{-1}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

implying

$$
\ell(p) \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|p|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} .
$$

As $\ell(p) \geq \frac{1}{(d-1)!}$ we get $|p| \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$. We write $b=b(d)$ for the implied constant. The lost volume in Case 1 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \sum_{p} \operatorname{Area} F(p) h(p) \ll \sum_{p} \ell(p) \ll \sum_{|p| \leq b r^{\frac{d+1}{d+1}}} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|p|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \\
& \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \int_{0}^{b r} r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} x^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} x^{d-1} d x \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

as a simple computation reveals.
Case 2: when $h(p)>c_{0} d^{2}|p|^{-1}$. Then some $q \in Z^{d}$, distinct from $p$, is the flatness direction of $C(p)$.

Assume $C(p)$ is between hyperplanes $q x=\ell_{1}$ and $q x=\ell_{2}$ with $0<\ell_{1}<$ $\ell_{2} \leq|q| r$ and $\ell_{2}-\ell_{1} \leq c_{0} d^{2}$. Set $k_{i}=|q| r-\ell_{i}$ and $x_{i}=k_{i} /|q|,(i=1,2)$. Consider the two-dimensional plane containing $0, q$, and the centre of $C(p)$. We show first, assuming $x_{2}>0$, that $\phi$ (see the figure) gets small as $r$ gets large. Indeed, using (5.4)

$$
\sin \phi=\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{2 \rho}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{2 \sqrt{(2 r-h) h}} \leq \frac{k_{1}-k_{2}}{2|q| \sqrt{r h}} \leq \frac{c_{0} d^{2}}{2|q| \sqrt{r \cdot r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}}}<r^{-\frac{1}{d+1}}
$$

since $|q| \geq 1$.


Fig. 1.
As $\phi$ and $\psi$ (see the figure) are almost equal, (5.6) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=r(1-\cos \psi) \leq r \sin ^{2} \phi \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can estimate $\rho$ from the figure, again. As $\cos \phi>1 / 2$ for large enough $r$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & <\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}}-\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{2}\right) x_{2}}=\frac{\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}-\left(2 r-x_{2}\right) x_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}}+\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{2}\right) x_{2}}} \\
) & \leq \frac{\left(2 r-x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{r}\left(\sqrt{x_{1}}+\sqrt{x_{2}}\right)} \leq 2 \sqrt{r} \frac{k_{1}-k_{2}}{|q|} \frac{\sqrt{|q|}}{\sqrt{k_{1}}+\sqrt{k_{2}}}<\sqrt{\frac{r}{|q| k_{1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The same estimate follows directly when $x_{2}=0$. From this $h \ll \rho^{2} r^{-1} \ll$ $\left(|q| k_{1}\right)^{-1}$. Now (5.4) shows $k_{1}|q| \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$. Set now $k=\left\lceil k_{1}\right\rceil$. As $p$ is not a flatness direction, $1 \leq k_{1}-k_{2} \leq k_{1}$. So $k \geq 1$ and

$$
k|q| \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} .
$$

Collect the $F(p)$ with fixed flatness direction $q$ and fixed $k$ into groups. The missed volume in the corresponding caps is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll \sum \operatorname{Area} F(p) h(p) \leq S \max h(p) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the surface area of $r B^{d}$ between hyperplanes $q x=\ell_{1}$ and $q x=\ell_{2}$. Since $\phi$ is small,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & \leq 2\left(\left[\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}\right]^{\frac{d-1}{2}}-\left[\left(2 r-x_{2}\right) x_{2}\right]^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\right) \text { Area } B^{d-1} \\
& \ll\left(\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}}-\sqrt{\left(2 r-x_{2}\right) x_{2}}\right)\left[\left(2 r-x_{1}\right) x_{1}\right]^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \ll \sqrt{\frac{r}{|q| k}}\left(\frac{r k}{|q|}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the second half of (5.8). Evidently $\max h(p) \leq \rho^{2} / r \ll(|q| k)^{-1}$. We continue (5.9):

$$
\ll \frac{1}{|q| k} \sqrt{\frac{r}{|q| k}}\left(\frac{r k}{|q|}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}=r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|q|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} k^{\frac{d-5}{2}} .
$$

This is to be summed for all $k=1,2, \ldots$ and $q \in Z^{d}$ primitive with $k|q| \leq R$ where $R \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$. Then the total missed volume is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{q \in Z^{d}}^{\frac{R}{k}}|q|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} k^{\frac{d-5}{2}} \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \int_{x \in R^{d},|x| \leq \frac{R}{k}}|x|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} k^{\frac{d-5}{2}} d x \\
& \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{R} k^{\frac{d-5}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{R}{k}} t^{d-1} t^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} d t \ll r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{R} k^{\frac{d-5}{2}}\left(\frac{R}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \\
(5.10) & =r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{R} k^{-2} \ll(r R)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

as one can check easily.
Remark 1. This proof shows the inequality $f_{0}\left(P_{r}\right) \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ (from Theorem 1) directly. Actually, it shows the stronger result that

$$
\left|\partial P_{r} \cap Z^{d}\right| \ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} .
$$

To see this one has to use the simple fact

$$
\left|F(p) \cap Z^{d}\right| \ll \frac{\operatorname{Area} F(p)}{|p|}
$$

valid for every facet $F(p)$ of $P_{r}$. This gives, in Case 1,

$$
\sum_{p}\left|F(p) \cap Z^{d}\right| \ll \sum_{p} \frac{\operatorname{Area} F(p)}{|p|} \ll \sum_{p} \frac{\rho(p)^{d-1}}{|p|} \ll \sum_{p} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|p|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}
$$

which is $\ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$, according to (5.6). Case 2 is even simpler. Then

$$
\left|F(p) \cap Z^{d}\right| \ll \frac{\operatorname{Area} F(p)}{|p|} \ll \operatorname{Area} F(p) h(p) \ll \operatorname{Vol} C(p)
$$

and (5.9), (5.10) can be applied.
Remark 2. An essentially identical proof works when $B^{d}$ is replaced by $K$ satisfying (4.1). The main difference is that $H(p) \cap \lambda K$ is not a ball. But it is very close to an ellipsoid (since $h(p)$ is very small, less than $\lambda^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ : this is shown by Lemma 1). This ellipsoid is sandwiched between two concentric balls of radii $\sqrt{\frac{\lambda h}{D}}$ and $\sqrt{2 \lambda h D}$. This shows that the corresponding $\rho$ and Area $F(p)$ can be bounded as in (5.2) and (5.3) with the implied constants depending on $D$ as well.

We elaborate on how to deal with $\phi$ and $\psi$ on the figure. Let $y \in \partial K$ be the point where the outer normal to $K$ is $q$. Then the figure shows the intersection of $P_{\lambda}$ with the two-plane $H$ parallel with $q$, containing the centre of $C(p)$ and the point $\lambda y$. Write $r$ for the radius of curvature at $\lambda y$ of $H \cap \lambda K$. Clearly, $r / \lambda$ is between $1 / D$ and $D$. The boundary of $H \cap \lambda K$, in a neighbourhood of $\lambda y$ is very close to the circle of radius $r$ with centre $\lambda y-r q /|q|$. Now $\phi$ and $\psi$ are the same as on the figure and the estimation of $\sin \phi$ and $x_{1}$ works the same way. ( $h$ on the figure may be different from the depth of the cap $C(p)$ but their ratio is bounded as a function of $D$.)

## 6. Auxiliary results

Let $K$ be a convex body in $R^{d}$. For $x \in K$ and $\lambda>0$ define

$$
M_{K}(x, \lambda)=x+\lambda\{(K-x) \cap(x-K)\}
$$

This is the $M$-region introduced by Macbeath [M] in 1953. We define two functions $u, v K \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x) & =u_{K}(x)=\operatorname{Vol} M_{K}(x, 1)  \tag{6.1}\\
v(x) & =v_{K}(x)=\min \{\operatorname{Vol}(K \cap H) x \in H, H \text { is a halfspace }\} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The set $K(v \geq t)=\{x \in K v(x) \geq t\}$ is evidently convex. So is $K(u \geq t)$ (see [M]) but we will not need this. It follows from the existence of the Löwner-John ellipsoid that $K(v \geq t)$ is nonempty when $t<\frac{1}{2 d!} \operatorname{Vol} K$.

Several properties of these functions, their level sets, and of the $M$-regions are established in $[\mathrm{M}],[\mathrm{ELR}],[\mathrm{BL}],[\mathrm{B}]$. We list those that will be needed later.

Lemma A. ([ELR]) If $M(x, 1 / 2) \cap M(y, 1 / 2) \neq \emptyset$, then $M(x, 1) \subset M(y, 5)$.
Lemma B. (simple) $u(x) \leq 2 v(x)$.
Lemma C. ([BL]) If $v(x) \leq(2 d)^{-2 d} \operatorname{Vol} K$, then $v(x) \leq(3 d)^{d} u(x)$.
Lemma D. ([B]) $K(v \geq t)$ contains no line segment on its boundary (provided $t>0$ ).

Lemma E. ([ELR],[B]) Let $C$ be a cap, i.e., $C=K \cap H$ with some halfspace $H$. If $\varepsilon<(2 d)^{-2 d}$ and $C \cap K(v \geq \varepsilon \operatorname{Vol} K)$ is a single point $x$, then $C \subset M(x, 3 d)$ and $\varepsilon \operatorname{Vol} K \leq \operatorname{Vol} C \leq d \varepsilon \operatorname{Vol} K$.
Lemma F. ([BL]) For every convex body $K \subset R^{d}$

$$
\operatorname{Vol} K(v \leq \varepsilon \operatorname{Vol} K) \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \operatorname{Vol} K
$$

with the implied constant depending only on $d$.
When $K \in \mathscr{C}(D)$ and $x$ is close to the boundary of $K, u(x), v(x)$ are easy to estimate. For instance, as we saw it in Remark 2, the boundary of $K$ is very close to an ellipsoid $E$ in the vicinity of $x$, and for ellipsoids $u_{E}(x)$ and $v_{E}(x)$ are simple to determine, and $u_{E}(x)=2 v_{E}(x)$. It follows that, writing $h=h(x)$ for the width of the cap $K \cap H$ giving the minimum in (6.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \ll u_{K}(x) \ll v_{K}(x) \ll h^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the implied constants depending only on $d, D$.

## 7. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

Set $\operatorname{Vol} P=V$ and define, with clear anticipation, $\varepsilon=\left[3(15 d)^{d} d!V\right]^{-1}$. Let $F$ be a facet of $P$ (with outer normal $p$ ). Let $x_{F}$ be the point on the boundary of $P(v \geq \varepsilon V)$ where the outer normal coincides with $p$. According to Lemma D, $x_{F}$ is unique. Let $C\left(x_{F}\right)=P \cap\left\{x p\left(x-x_{F}\right) \geq 0\right\}$.

Claim. For distinct facets $F$ and $G$ of P

$$
M\left(x_{F}, 1 / 2\right) \cap M\left(x_{G}, 1 / 2\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Proof. According to Lemma E

$$
\varepsilon V \leq \operatorname{Vol} C\left(x_{F}\right) \leq d \varepsilon V \text { and } C\left(x_{F}\right) \subset M\left(x_{F}, 3 d\right)
$$

Assume $M\left(x_{F}, 1 / 2\right) \cap M\left(x_{G}, 1 / 2\right) \neq \emptyset$. Lemma A shows then, that $M\left(x_{F}, 1\right) \subset$ $M\left(x_{G}, 5\right)$, and so

$$
F \subset C\left(x_{F}\right) \subset M\left(x_{F}, 3 d\right) \subset M\left(x_{G}, 15 d\right)
$$

Since $G \subset C\left(x_{G}\right) \subset M\left(x_{G}, 3 d\right) \subset M\left(x_{G}, 15 d\right)$ as well, $M\left(x_{G}, 15 d\right)$ contains $d+1$ affinely independent lattice points: $d$ from $G$ and at least one more form $F$. The volume of their convex hull is at least $1 / d$ !. Thus by Lemma B

$$
\frac{1}{d!} \leq \operatorname{Vol} M\left(x_{G}, 15 d\right) \leq(15 d)^{d} u\left(x_{G}\right) \leq(15 d)^{d} \cdot 2 \varepsilon V=\frac{2}{3 d!}
$$

a contradiction.
So the $M$-regions $M\left(x_{F}, 1 / 2\right)$ are pairwise disjoint. $P(v \leq \varepsilon V)$ contains half of each: the half cut off by the halfspace $p\left(x-x_{F}\right) \geq 0$. Then by Lemma F (which is a version of the affine isoperimetric inequality)

$$
\sum_{F} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Vol} M\left(x_{F}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol} P(v \leq \varepsilon V) \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{d+1}} V \ll V^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma C

$$
\operatorname{Vol} M\left(x_{F}, 1 / 2\right)=2^{-d} u\left(x_{F}\right) \geq 2^{-d}(3 d)^{-d} v\left(x_{F}\right) \geq(6 d)^{-d} \varepsilon V \gg 1
$$

This clearly implies

$$
f_{d-1}(P) \ll V^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}=(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} .
$$

From this we show, using an idea of Andrews, that $f_{0}(P) \ll(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$.
Let $z$ be a vertex of $P$ with neighbouring vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$. Define

$$
P_{z}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{1}^{n}\left\{\frac{2}{3} z+\frac{1}{3} w_{i}+\lambda\left(w_{i}-z\right): \lambda \geq 0\right\}\right\}
$$

As $z \notin P_{z}$, there is a facet $F_{z}$ of $P_{z}$ separating them. This facet is of the form $\operatorname{conv}\left\{\frac{2}{3} z+\frac{1}{3} w_{i}\right.$ : some $\left.i\right\}$. Set $Q=\cap P_{z}$ for all vertices $z$ of $P$. Then $F_{z}$ is a facet of $Q$ as well and $F_{z} \neq F_{y}$ for distinct $z, y . Q$ is a lattice polytope in $\frac{1}{3} Z^{d}$ so

$$
f_{0}(P) \leq f_{d-1}(Q) \ll(\operatorname{Vol} Q)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.
Proof of Theorem 3. We are going to define a polytope $Q \subset P$ which is a lattice polytope in $\frac{1}{s(d)} Z^{d}$ (where $s(d)$ depends only on $d$ ), and a map $f$ from the towers of $P$ to the vertices of $Q$ that maps distinct towers to distinct vertices. This will show

$$
T(P) \leq f_{0}(Q) \ll\left(s^{d} \operatorname{Vol} Q\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \ll(\operatorname{Vol} P)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

The proof is by induction and we start with $d=2$. The vertices of $P$ are $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ in this order. The vertices of $Q$ will be

$$
\frac{2}{3} z_{i}+\frac{1}{3} z_{i+1}, \text { and } \frac{1}{3} z_{i}+\frac{2}{3} z_{i+1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

The towers of $P$ are $z_{i},\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\}$ and $z_{i+1},\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\}$. Define

$$
f\left(z_{i},\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\}\right)=\frac{2}{3} z_{i}+\frac{1}{3} z_{i+1} \text { and } f\left(z_{i+1},\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{3} z_{i}+\frac{2}{3} z_{i+1} .
$$

This is evidently fine; we get $s(2)=3$.
Now for $d \geq 3$. For every facet $F$ of $P$ the inductional hypothesis guarantees the existence of a lattice polytope $Q^{F} \subset F$ (in the lattice $\frac{1}{s(d-1)} Z^{d} \cap \operatorname{aff} F$ ) and a mapping

$$
f^{F}\{\text { towers of } F\} \rightarrow\left\{\text { vertices of } Q^{F}\right\}
$$

Make sure, by contracting $Q^{F}$ suitably if necessary, that $Q^{F} \cap Q^{G}=\emptyset$ for distinct facets $F, G$. It is not hard to see that one can take, as centre of contraction, a point from $\frac{1}{d s(d-1)} Z^{d} \cap \operatorname{conv} F$. Contraction by the factor $1 / 2$ suffices so $Q^{F}$ is a lattice polytope in the lattice $\frac{1}{2 d s(d-1)} Z^{d} \cap \operatorname{aff} F$. Set

$$
Q=\operatorname{conv}\left(\cup_{F} Q^{F}\right)
$$

$Q$ is a $\frac{1}{s(d)} Z^{d}$-lattice polytope (with $s(d)=2 d s(d-1)$ ), contained in $P$. To define $f$ let $T_{0} \subset T_{1} \subset \cdots \subset T_{d-1}$ be a tower of $P$. Then $T_{d-1}=F$ for some facet $F$. Define

$$
f\left(T_{0}, \ldots, T_{d-1}\right)=f^{F}\left(T_{0}, \ldots, T_{d-2}\right) \in \operatorname{vert} Q^{F} \subset \operatorname{vert} Q
$$

## 8. Proof of Theorem 6

In this section the implied constants depend on $d$ and $D$ as well. We assume $\operatorname{Vol} K=1$. Then Area $\partial K \gg 1$.

Let $F$ be a facet of $P$ and denote by $x_{F}$ the point where the function $v_{K}$ is maximal on aff $F$. Note that $x_{F}$ need not be contained in $F$. But the cap $C\left(x_{F}\right)$ cut off from $K$ by aff $F$ must have small ( $\ll n^{-\frac{2}{d+1}}$ ) volume as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Write $h_{F}$ for the depth of the facet $F$ in $K$; this is the same as the width of the cap $C\left(x_{F}\right)$. As $K \in \mathscr{C}(D)$ and $h_{F}$ is small, (6.3) applies yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{F}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \ll u\left(x_{F}\right) \ll v\left(x_{F}\right) \ll h_{F}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{F}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \ll \operatorname{Area}(K \cap \operatorname{aff} F) \ll \operatorname{Area}\left(M\left(x_{F}, 1\right) \cap \operatorname{aff} F\right) \ll h_{F}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a system $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in\left\{x_{F} F\right.$ a facet $\}$, maximal with respect to the condition that for distinct $i, j$

$$
M\left(y_{i}, 1 / 2\right) \cap M\left(y_{j}, 1 / 2\right)=\emptyset
$$

Half of each $M\left(y_{i}, 1 / 2\right)$ is contained in $K \backslash P$. So with (8.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1}^{m} h_{i}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \ll \sum_{1}^{m} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Vol} M\left(y_{i}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}(K \backslash P) \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma A, for every facet $F$ of $P$ there is an $i$ such that $M\left(x_{F}, 1\right) \subset M\left(y_{i}, 5\right)$. In this case the outer unit normals to the facets $F$ and $F\left(y_{i}\right)$ cannot differ much. Then $S_{i}$, the total $(d-1)$-volume of the projections of all such facets $F$ onto aff $F\left(y_{i}\right)$ is essentially equal to the $(d-1)$-volume of these facets. So we get, using (8.2) as well,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area } \partial P=\sum_{F} \operatorname{Area} F \ll \sum_{1}^{m} S_{i} \leq \sum_{1}^{m} \operatorname{Area}\left[\operatorname{aff} F\left(y_{i}\right) \cap M\left(y_{i}, 5\right)\right] \ll \sum_{1}^{m} h_{i}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, Area $\partial P \gg 1$. We combine (8.3), (8.4), and the inequality between the $\frac{d-1}{2}$ and $\frac{d+1}{2}$ means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-1}} \ll\left(\frac{\sum h_{i}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-1}} \leq\left(\frac{\sum h_{i}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \ll\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(K \backslash P)}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\operatorname{appr}(K, P)=\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(K \backslash P)}{\operatorname{Vol} K} \gg m^{1-\frac{d+1}{d-1}}=m^{-\frac{2}{d-1}} \geq n^{-\frac{2}{d-1}}
$$

since $n \geq m$.
Remark 3. The proof works even if the maximal system $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ is chosen from a subset of the facets, if the total $(d-1)$-volume of these facets is $\gg 1$. This observation will be used in the next section.

## 9. Lower bounds for $k=1, \ldots, d-2$

We show first that most of the surface area of $P_{r}$ comes from facets whose depth $h$ is between $b_{1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ and $b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ where $b_{1}<1$ is small, $1<b_{2}$ is large.
Lemma 2. The contribution to the surface area of $P_{r}$ of the facets with $h \leq$ $b_{1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ is $\ll b_{1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{d-1}$.

Proof. The surface area of $F(p)$ with $h=h(p) \leq b_{1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ is at most

$$
\rho^{d-1} \operatorname{Area} B^{d-1} \ll(r h)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \ll b_{1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

The total number of facets is $\ll r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$, so the surface area in question is indeed

$$
\ll b_{1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}=b_{1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{d-1}
$$

Lemma 3. The contribution to the surface area of $P_{r}$ of the facets with $h \geq$ $b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$ is $\ll b_{2}^{-1} r^{d-1}$

Proof. Define $D(p)$ as the set of points $x \in r B^{d}$ such that the segment $[0, x]$ intersects the facet $F(p)$. Clearly, the $D(p)$ are pairwise internally disjoint and their union is $r B^{d} \backslash P_{r}$. Let $y \in F(p)$ be the point closest to $x_{p}$, the centre of the cap $C(p)$. Let $m(p)$ denote the length of the longest segment parallel with $p$ that is contained in $D(p)$. Clearly, this segment starts at $y$.

Claim. $m(p) \gg h(p)$
The claim implies the Lemma as follows. The halfline starting at the origin and containing $y$ intersects the boundary of $r B^{d}$ at $y^{\prime} . \operatorname{So} \operatorname{conv}\left(F(p) \cup\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}\right) \subset$ $D(p)$ and its volume equals $\frac{1}{d}$ Area $F(p)$ times the $p$-component of the vector $y^{\prime}-y$. The latter is at least $\frac{1}{2} m(p)$ since $p$ is almost parallel with $y^{\prime}-y$. So, using Theorem 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}} & \gg \operatorname{Vol}\left(r B^{d} \backslash P_{r}\right) \geq \sum_{\text {all } p} \operatorname{Vol} D(p) \\
& \geq \sum_{\text {all } p} \frac{1}{2 d} m(p) \text { Area } F(p) \gg \sum_{h(p) \geq b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}} h(p) \text { Area } F(p) \\
& \gg b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \sum_{h(p) \geq b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}} \text { Area } F(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the Lemma.
Now for the claim. Set $\rho=\rho(p), m=m(p)$, etc, and $\rho_{1}=\left|y-x_{p}\right|$. If $\rho_{1} \leq \rho \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{d-1}}$, then

$$
m \geq \frac{\rho-\rho_{1}}{\rho} h \geq\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{d-1}}\right) h \geq \frac{1}{2(d-1)} h
$$

and we are done. So suppose $\rho_{1}>\rho \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{d-1}}$.
Write $B_{0}$ for the $(d-1)$-ball $r B^{d} \cap$ aff $F(p)$. Let $C$ denote the $(d-1)$-cap cut off from $B_{0}$ by the hyperplane orthogonal to $y-x_{p}$ and passing through $y$. The diameter of $C$ is $2 \sqrt{\rho^{2}-\rho_{1}^{2}}<\frac{2}{\sqrt{d-1}} \rho$. $C$ contains $F(p)$ and so it contains $d$ affinely independent vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d} \in Z^{d}$. The hyperplane aff $F(p)$ is then covered by lattice translates of the parallelotope spanned by $v_{2}-v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}-v_{1}$ and $x_{p}$ is contained in one of the translates. As it is well-known, this translate has a vertex at distance at most $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d-1} \max \left|v_{i}-v_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d-1} \operatorname{diam} C<\rho$ from $x_{p}$. So this vertex is in $B_{0}$ and consequently in $F(p)$. Then it cannot be closer to $x_{p}$ than $\rho_{1}$, the shortest distance between $x_{p}$ and $F(p)$ :

$$
\rho_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d-1} \max \left|v_{i}-v_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d-1} \operatorname{diam} C=\sqrt{d-1} \sqrt{\rho^{2}-\rho_{1}^{2}}
$$

This shows $\rho_{1} \leq \rho \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{d}}$ and the previous argument applies again:

$$
m \geq \frac{\rho-\rho_{1}}{\rho} h \geq\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{d}}\right) h \geq \frac{1}{2 d} h
$$

Now choose a small $b_{1}=b_{1}(d)$ and a large $b_{2}=b_{2}(d)$ so that half of the surface area of $P_{r}$ comes from facets $F(p)$ satisfying

$$
b_{1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \leq h(p) \leq b_{2} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} .
$$

Write $\mathscr{F}$ for the collection of these facets. We apply the proof method of Theorem 6, this time with $r B^{d}$ instead of $K$. So choose a system $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$ of facets (from $\mathscr{F}$ ) maximal with respect to the condition that

$$
M\left(y_{i}, 1 / 2\right) \cap M\left(y_{j}, 1 / 2\right)=\emptyset
$$

where $y_{i}$ is the point where $v$ is maximal on aff $F_{i}$. The previous proof, combined with Remark 3, gives

$$
m \gg r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

Now define

$$
\mathscr{F}_{j}=\left\{F_{i} \in \mathscr{F}: 2^{j} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \leq h_{i}<2^{j+1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}\right\}
$$

Clearly $\log b_{1} \leq j \leq \log b_{2}$ implying the existence of a $j$ such that

$$
\mathscr{F}_{j} \geq\left(\log \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)^{-1} m \gg r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}
$$

Fix such a $j$.
Now let $L$ be a $k$-face of $P_{r}$ and fix a point $x_{L} \in L$. If $L \subset F_{i}$ for some $F_{i} \in \mathscr{F}_{j}$, then the cap $C\left(y_{i}\right)$ lies in a ball with centre $x_{L}$ and radius $2^{\frac{j}{2}+2} r^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$. Indeed, as $x_{L} \in L \subset F_{i} \subset C\left(y_{i}\right)$, the distance between $x_{L}$ and $y_{i}$ is at most $\rho_{i}$. The diameter of $C\left(y_{i}\right)$ is

$$
2 \rho_{i}=2 \sqrt{\left(2 r-h_{i}\right) h_{i}} \leq 2 \sqrt{2 r \cdot 2^{j+1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}}=2^{\frac{j}{2}+2} r^{\frac{1}{d+1}}
$$

Consider now the $M$-regions $M\left(y_{i}, 1 / 2\right)$ for $i$ with $F_{i} \in \mathscr{T}_{j}$. Since they are pairwise disjoint, so are their intersections with the sphere $S_{R}$ of radius $R=r-\frac{9}{8} 2^{j} r^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$, centred at the origin. A straightforward, if tedious, computation shows that $S_{R} \cap M\left(y_{i}, 1 / 2\right)$ contains a spherical cap of radius $2^{\frac{j}{2}-1} r^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$. These caps are all contained in the intersection of $S_{R}$ with the ball of radius $2^{\frac{j}{2}+2} r r^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$ (centred at $x_{L}$ ). An easy computation shows that there are at most $8^{d-1}$ such caps. This implies that at most $8^{d-1}$ facets from $\mathscr{T}_{j}$ contain $L$. So the total number of $k$-faces is at least $8^{-(d-1)}\left|\mathscr{F}_{j}\right| \gg m \gg r^{d \frac{d-1}{d+1}}$.
Remark 4. The extension of this estimate to $K \in \mathscr{C}(D)$ from $B^{d}$ is similar to the one outlined in Remark 2. Details are left to the reader.
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