# The least modulus of a covering system

#### Bob Hough

DPMMS, Cambridge

July 3, 2013

A covering system of congruences

 $(a_i \mod m_i), \qquad 1 < m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_k$ 

is a collection of arithmetic progressions such that

 $\mathbb{Z} = (a_1 \bmod m_1) \cup (a_2 \bmod m_2) \cup ... \cup (a_k \bmod m_k)$ 

#### $\ldots, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, \ldots$

A D

э

### (0 mod 2) ..., <u>0</u>, 1, <u>2</u>, 3, <u>4</u>, 5, <u>6</u>, 7, <u>8</u>, 9, <u>10</u>, 11, <u>12</u>, 13, <u>14</u>, 15, <u>16</u>, 17, <u>18</u>, 19, <u>20</u>, ...

э

### $(0 \mod 2) \cup (0 \mod 3)$ ..., $\underline{0}, 1, \underline{2}, \underline{3}, \underline{4}, 5, \underline{6}, 7, \underline{8}, \underline{9}, \underline{10}, \underline{11}, \underline{12}, \underline{13}, \underline{14}, \underline{15}, \underline{16}, \underline{17}, \underline{18}, \underline{19}, \underline{20}, \dots$

### $(0 \mod 2) \cup (0 \mod 3) \cup (5 \mod 6)$ ..., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, ...

## $(0 \mod 2) \cup (0 \mod 3) \cup (5 \mod 6) \cup (1 \mod 4)$ ..., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, ...

# $(0 \mod 2) \cup (0 \mod 3) \cup (5 \mod 6) \cup (1 \mod 4) \cup (7 \mod 12)$ ..., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, ...

#### **1** Erdős: For each M > 1, is there a cover with

$$M < m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_k$$
 ?

#### **1** Erdős: For each M > 1, is there a cover with

#### $M < m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_k$ ?

#### 2 Erdős, Selfridge: Is there a cover with $m_1, m_2, ..., m_k$ all odd?

•  $m_1 = 9, 18, 20$ , Churchhouse, Krukenberg, Choi (1968-71)

- $m_1 = 9, 18, 20$ , Churchhouse, Krukenberg, Choi (1968-71)
- $m_1 = 24$ , Morikawa, 80s

э

- $m_1 = 9, 18, 20$ , Churchhouse, Krukenberg, Choi (1968-71)
- $m_1 = 24$ , Morikawa, 80s
- $m_1 = 25$ , Gibson, (2006)

- $m_1 = 9, 18, 20$ , Churchhouse, Krukenberg, Choi (1968-71)
- $m_1 = 24$ , Morikawa, 80s
- $m_1 = 25$ , Gibson, (2006)
- $m_1 = 40$ , Nielsen, (2009)

#### Filaseta, Ford, Konyagin, Pomerance, Yu (2007): As $M \to \infty$ , if $M < m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_k$ are covering moduli then

$$\sum \frac{1}{m_i} \to \infty$$

as a function of M.

### Theorem (H. 2013)

There is an absolute C > 0 such that any covering system has  $m_1 < C$ .

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

### Theorem (H. 2013)

There is an absolute C > 0 such that any covering system has  $m_1 < C$ .

Builds on work of FFKPY '07.

- **→** → **→** 

э

Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge M\}$  is a finite set of moduli. For each  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  let congruence  $a_m \mod m$  be given.

Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge M\}$  is a finite set of moduli. For each  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  let congruence  $a_m \mod m$  be given. Let the unsifted set be

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m\in\mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge M\}$  is a finite set of moduli. For each  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  let congruence  $a_m \mod m$  be given. Let the unsifted set be

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c.$$

We are going to show that it M is sufficiently large then the density of the R is > 0.

Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge M\}$  is a finite set of moduli. For each  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  let congruence  $a_m \mod m$  be given. Let the unsifted set be

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c.$$

We are going to show that it M is sufficiently large then the density of the R is > 0.

For this talk we assume each  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  is squarefree.

We estimate the density of the unsifted set

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

in stages.

э

We estimate the density of the unsifted set

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

in stages.

Set  $1 < P_0 < P_1 < P_2 < ...$  thresholds,  $P_0 = \sqrt{\log M}$ ,  $P_{i+1} = e^{P_i^c}$ .

$$Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p.$$

We estimate the density of the unsifted set

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

in stages.

Set  $1 < P_0 < P_1 < P_2 < ...$  thresholds,  $P_0 = \sqrt{\log M}$ ,  $P_{i+1} = e^{P_i^c}$ .

$$Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p.$$

Evolve the sieve in stages: Let  $R_0 \supset R_1 \supset R_2 \supset ...$ 

We estimate the density of the unsifted set

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

in stages.

Set  $1 < P_0 < P_1 < P_2 < ...$  thresholds,  $P_0 = \sqrt{\log M}$ ,  $P_{i+1} = e^{P_i^c}$ .

$$Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p.$$

Evolve the sieve in stages: Let  $R_0 \supset R_1 \supset R_2 \supset ...$ 

$$R_i = \left(\bigcup_{m \mid Q_i} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

We estimate the density of the unsifted set

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

in stages.

Set  $1 < P_0 < P_1 < P_2 < ...$  thresholds,  $P_0 = \sqrt{\log M}$ ,  $P_{i+1} = e^{P_i^c}$ .

$$Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p.$$

Evolve the sieve in stages: Let  $R_0 \supset R_1 \supset R_2 \supset ...$ 

$$R_i = \left(\bigcup_{m \mid Q_i} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

If *i* is large enough then every  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  divides  $Q_i$ , so  $R = R_i$  eventually.

Recall  $R_i$  is the unsifted set after the *i*th stage,

$$R_i = \left(\bigcup_{m \mid Q_i} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

with 
$$Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p$$
.

э

Recall  $R_i$  is the unsifted set after the *i*th stage,

$$R_i = \left(\bigcup_{m \mid Q_i} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

with  $Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p$ . When *M* is larger than a fixed constant, for all  $i \ge 0$  we will prove:

density
$$(R_i) \ge \exp(-(\log P_i)^2)$$
.

Recall  $R_i$  is the unsifted set after the *i*th stage,

$$R_i = \left(\bigcup_{m \mid Q_i} (a_m \bmod m)\right)^c$$

with  $Q_i = \prod_{p < P_i} p$ . When *M* is larger than a fixed constant, for all  $i \ge 0$  we will prove:

density(
$$R_i$$
)  $\geq \exp(-(\log P_i)^2)$ .

This evidently suffices for the theorem.

### Recall that $R_i$ is determined by congruences to moduli dividing $Q_i$ .

The proof now proceeds by induction.

The proof now proceeds by induction.

• View  $R_i \subset \mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ .

The proof now proceeds by induction.

- View  $R_i \subset \mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Think of  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_{i+1}\mathbb{Z}$  as fibred over  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$

The proof now proceeds by induction.

- View  $R_i \subset \mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Think of  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_{i+1}\mathbb{Z}$  as fibred over  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$
- So  $R_{i+1}$  exists in fibres over  $R_i$ .
Recall that  $R_i$  is determined by congruences to moduli dividing  $Q_i$ . No sieving happens in the 0th stage, since  $Q_0 \approx e^{\sqrt{\log M}} < M$ , so the density of  $R_0$  is 1.

The proof now proceeds by induction.

- View  $R_i \subset \mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Think of  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_{i+1}\mathbb{Z}$  as fibred over  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$
- So  $R_{i+1}$  exists in fibres over  $R_i$ .
- We will estimate the density within single fibres.

<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	9
<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	13	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17	<u>18</u>	19
20	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	23	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	27	<u>28</u>	29
<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	33	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	37	<u>38</u>	39
40	<u>41</u>	42	43	44	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	47	<u>48</u>	49
<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	53	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	57	<u>58</u>	59
<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	63	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>66</u>	67	<u>68</u>	69
<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	73	<u>74</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>76</u>	77	<u>78</u>	79
<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>82</u>	83	<u>84</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>86</u>	87	<u>88</u>	89

And the next stage contains the congruences (3 mod 18)

<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	9
<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	13	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17	<u>18</u>	19
20	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	23	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	27	<u>28</u>	29
<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	33	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	37	<u>38</u>	39
40	<u>41</u>	42	43	44	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	47	<u>48</u>	49
<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	53	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	57	<u>58</u>	59
<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	63	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>66</u>	67	<u>68</u>	69
<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	73	<u>74</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>76</u>	77	<u>78</u>	79
<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>82</u>	83	<u>84</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>86</u>	87	<u>88</u>	89

And the next stage contains the congruences (3 mod 18)

<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	9
<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	13	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17	<u>18</u>	19
<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	23	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	27	<u>28</u>	29
<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	33	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	37	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>
40	<u>41</u>	42	43	44	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	47	<u>48</u>	49
<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	53	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>58</u>	59
<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	63	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>66</u>	67	<u>68</u>	69
<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	73	<u>74</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>76</u>	77	<u>78</u>	79
<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>82</u>	83	<u>84</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>86</u>	87	<u>88</u>	89

And the next stage contains the congruences (3 mod 18) and (4 mod 15).

<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	9
<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	13	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17	<u>18</u>	19
<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	23	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	27	<u>28</u>	29
<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	33	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	37	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>42</u>	43	44	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	47	<u>48</u>	49
<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	53	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>58</u>	59
<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	63	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>66</u>	67	<u>68</u>	69
<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	73	<u>74</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>76</u>	77	<u>78</u>	79
<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>82</u>	83	<u>84</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>86</u>	87	<u>88</u>	89

And the next stage contains the congruences (3 mod 18) and (4 mod 15).  $(Q_{i+1} = 90)$ .

 $R_i$  is the set that has survived the *i*th sieving stage, determined modulo  $Q_i$ . Let  $r \mod Q_i$  be an element of this set. We consider  $R_{i+1}$  fibred over r.

•  $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as *m*<sub>0</sub>*n* where *m*<sub>0</sub>|*Q<sub>i</sub>* and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval (*P<sub>i</sub>*, *P<sub>i+1</sub>*].

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as  $m_0 n$  where  $m_0|Q_i$  and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ .
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as  $m_0 n$  where  $m_0|Q_i$  and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ .
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$
- If this congruence condition is met, the sieving within fibre *r* is determined only by *a<sub>m</sub>* mod *n*.

 $R_i$  is the set that has survived the *i*th sieving stage, determined modulo  $Q_i$ . Let  $r \mod Q_i$  be an element of this set. We consider  $R_{i+1}$  fibred over r.

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as  $m_0 n$  where  $m_0|Q_i$  and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ .
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$
- If this congruence condition is met, the sieving within fibre *r* is determined only by *a<sub>m</sub>* mod *n*.

So...

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as *m*<sub>0</sub>*n* where *m*<sub>0</sub>|*Q<sub>i</sub>* and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval (*P<sub>i</sub>*, *P<sub>i+1</sub>*].
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$
- If this congruence condition is met, the sieving within fibre r is determined only by  $a_m \mod n$ .
- So... Group moduli according to common *n*-factor

$$A_{n,r} = \{a_m \bmod n : m = m_0 n, a_m \equiv r \bmod m_0\}$$

 $R_i$  is the set that has survived the *i*th sieving stage, determined modulo  $Q_i$ . Let  $r \mod Q_i$  be an element of this set. We consider  $R_{i+1}$  fibred over r.

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as *m*<sub>0</sub>*n* where *m*<sub>0</sub>|*Q<sub>i</sub>* and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval (*P<sub>i</sub>*, *P<sub>i+1</sub>*].
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$
- If this congruence condition is met, the sieving within fibre *r* is determined only by *a<sub>m</sub>* mod *n*.
- So... Group moduli according to common *n*-factor

$$A_{n,r} = \{a_m \bmod n : m = m_0 n, a_m \equiv r \bmod m_0\}$$

The set of *n*'s we call  $N_{i+1}$ . These *n* have all their prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ .

 $R_i$  is the set that has survived the *i*th sieving stage, determined modulo  $Q_i$ . Let  $r \mod Q_i$  be an element of this set. We consider  $R_{i+1}$  fibred over r.

- $R_{i+1}$  in fibre *r* is determined by congruences to moduli *m*,  $m|Q_{i+1}, m \nmid Q_i$ .
- Factor such an *m* as  $m_0 n$  where  $m_0|Q_i$  and *n* has all of its prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ .
- The congruence  $(a_m \mod m)$  intersects fibre r iff  $a_m \equiv r \mod m_0$
- If this congruence condition is met, the sieving within fibre *r* is determined only by *a<sub>m</sub>* mod *n*.
- So... Group moduli according to common *n*-factor

$$A_{n,r} = \{a_m \bmod n : m = m_0 n, a_m \equiv r \bmod m_0\}$$

The set of *n*'s we call  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ . These *n* have all their prime factors in the interval  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ . This control of the size of prime factors is critical.

To recap: within the fibre  $r \in R_i$ , the i + 1st stage of the sieve is determined by congruences only to moduli  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ , which have all of their prime factors in  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ . The set of congruences for a given n we denote by  $A_{n,r}$ .

To recap: within the fibre  $r \in R_i$ , the i + 1st stage of the sieve is determined by congruences only to moduli  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ , which have all of their prime factors in  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ . The set of congruences for a given n we denote by  $A_{n,r}$ .

Heuristic: Size of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is key.

• When varying r in the whole set  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ : the distribution of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is easy (mean is  $\approx \log P_i$ )

To recap: within the fibre  $r \in R_i$ , the i + 1st stage of the sieve is determined by congruences only to moduli  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ , which have all of their prime factors in  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ . The set of congruences for a given n we denote by  $A_{n,r}$ .

Heuristic: Size of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is key.

- When varying r in the whole set  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ : the distribution of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is easy (mean is  $\approx \log P_i$ )
- If (n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>) = 1 then sieving by A<sub>n1,r</sub>, A<sub>n2,r</sub> is independent (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

To recap: within the fibre  $r \in R_i$ , the i + 1st stage of the sieve is determined by congruences only to moduli  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ , which have all of their prime factors in  $(P_i, P_{i+1}]$ . The set of congruences for a given n we denote by  $A_{n,r}$ .

Heuristic: Size of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is key.

- When varying r in the whole set  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ : the distribution of  $|A_{n,r}|$  is easy (mean is  $\approx \log P_i$ )
- If  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$  then sieving by  $A_{n_1,r}$ ,  $A_{n_2,r}$  is independent (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Total independence would give density in fibre r

$$\prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}} \left( 1 - \frac{|A_{n,r}|}{n} \right) \approx \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}} \left( 1 - \frac{\log P_i}{n} \right) \approx P_{i+1}^{-O(1)}$$

which would easily give our lower bound for the density of  $R_{i+1}$ .

Two PROBLEMS:

æ

'≣ ▶

∢母▶ ∢ ≣▶

Two PROBLEMS:

• For most  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ ,  $(n_1, n_2) > 1 \Rightarrow$  sieving by the sets  $A_{n_1,r}$  and  $A_{n_2,r}$  is not independent.

- **→** → **→** 

Two PROBLEMS:

- For most  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ ,  $(n_1, n_2) > 1 \Rightarrow$  sieving by the sets  $A_{n_1,r}$  and  $A_{n_2,r}$  is not independent.
- We vary r ∈ R<sub>i</sub>, which is much smaller than Z/Q<sub>i</sub>Z, so we don't know the typical behaviour: |A<sub>n,r</sub>| ~??

#### Lemma (Lovász Local Lemma)

 $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  are events in a probability space. D = ([n], E) is a dependency graph, such that, for each  $1 \le i \le n$ , event  $A_i$  is independent of the sigma-algebra generated by the events  $\{A_j : (i, j) \notin E\}$ . Let real numbers  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  satisfy  $0 < x_i < 1$ , and for each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(A_i) \leq x_i \prod_{(i,j)\in E} (1-x_j).$$

Then for any  $1 \le m \le n$ 

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}^{c}\right) \geq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}A_{i}^{c}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=m+1}^{n}(1-x_{j}).$$

Recall that we've fixed a fibre  $r \in R_i$  in which we're sieving, and we think of the sieve as happening to moduli in  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ .

To address problem 1: Truncation. We write  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}_{small} \sqcup \mathcal{N}_{large}$ 

$$\mathcal{N}_{small} = \{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : P_i < n \le e^{P_i^{\gamma}}\}$$
 $\mathcal{N}_{large} = \{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : e^{P_i^{\gamma}} < n\}$ 

Recall that we've fixed a fibre  $r \in R_i$  in which we're sieving, and we think of the sieve as happening to moduli in  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ .

To address problem 1: Truncation. We write  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}_{small} \sqcup \mathcal{N}_{large}$ 

$$\mathcal{N}_{\textit{small}} = \{ \textit{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : \textit{P}_i < \textit{n} \leq e^{\textit{P}_i^{\gamma}} \}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{large} = \{ n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : e^{P_i^{\gamma}} < n \}$$

On  $\mathcal{N}_{small}$  usually  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , approximate independence  $\Rightarrow$  Lovász Local Lemma gives a product approximation.

Recall that we've fixed a fibre  $r \in R_i$  in which we're sieving, and we think of the sieve as happening to moduli in  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ .

To address problem 1: Truncation. We write  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}_{small} \sqcup \mathcal{N}_{large}$ 

$$\mathcal{N}_{\textit{small}} = \{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : P_i < n \leq e^{P_i^{\gamma}}\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{large} = \{ n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : e^{P_i^{\gamma}} < n \}$$

On  $\mathcal{N}_{small}$  usually  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , approximate independence  $\Rightarrow$  Lovász Local Lemma gives a product approximation.

For  $\mathcal{N}_{large}$ : Use that smooth numbers are sparse to cover the error with a union bound.

Recall that we've fixed a fibre  $r \in R_i$  in which we're sieving, and we think of the sieve as happening to moduli in  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ .

To address problem 1: Truncation. We write  $\mathcal{N}_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}_{small} \sqcup \mathcal{N}_{large}$ 

$$\mathcal{N}_{\textit{small}} = \{n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : P_i < n \leq e^{P_i^{\gamma}}\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{large} = \{ n \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} : e^{P_i^{\gamma}} < n \}$$

On  $\mathcal{N}_{small}$  usually  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , approximate independence  $\Rightarrow$  Lovász Local Lemma gives a product approximation.

For  $\mathcal{N}_{\textit{large}}$ : Use that smooth numbers are sparse to cover the error with a union bound.

Control of size of prime factors is the key. We're able to get approximate independence in a range which is almost exponential in  $P_i$ .  $(e^{P_i^{\gamma}})$ .

To address problem 2 we need to be able to estimate means over the set  $R_i$ , as opposed to  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ . To do so: Declare fibre  $r \in R_i$  is GOOD if well-balanced: To address problem 2 we need to be able to estimate means over the set  $R_i$ , as opposed to  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ . To do so: Declare fibre  $r \in R_i$  is GOOD if well-balanced:  $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{small}$ ,  $\forall a \mod n$ 

density  $R_{i+1}$  in fibre  $r \cap (a \mod n) \leq \text{ density } R_{i+1}$  in fibre r

To address problem 2 we need to be able to estimate means over the set  $R_i$ , as opposed to  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ . To do so: Declare fibre  $r \in R_i$  is GOOD if well-balanced:  $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{small}$ ,  $\forall a \mod n$ 

density  $R_{i+1}$  in fibre  $r \cap (a \mod n) \leq \text{ density } R_{i+1}$  in fibre r

At each stage, evolve  $R_{i+1}$  only over GOOD fibres  $\Rightarrow$  distribution over  $R_i \approx$  distribution over  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ 

To address problem 2 we need to be able to estimate means over the set  $R_i$ , as opposed to  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ . To do so: Declare fibre  $r \in R_i$  is GOOD if well-balanced:  $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{small}$ ,  $\forall a \mod n$ 

density  $R_{i+1}$  in fibre  $r \cap (a \mod n) \leq \text{ density } R_{i+1}$  in fibre r

At each stage, evolve  $R_{i+1}$  only over GOOD fibres  $\Rightarrow$  distribution over  $R_i \approx$  distribution over  $\mathbb{Z}/Q_i\mathbb{Z}$ Use Lovász Local Lemma again: most fibres are good! In practice, one must balance being able to truncate (problem 1) against making fibres from previous stages be well behaved (problem 2).

In practice, one must balance being able to truncate (problem 1) against making fibres from previous stages be well behaved (problem 2).

Removing the squarefree assumption is technical but ugly.

Thanks for coming!

문 🛌 문

#### References

- Filaseta, M., K. Ford, S. Konyagin, C. Pomerance, and G. Yu. "Sieving by large integers and covering systems of congruences." JAMS, 20(2): 495–519, 2007.
- Nielsen, P. "A covering system whose smallest modulus is 40." J. Num. Thy. 129: 640–666, 2009.

# Application of LLL to GOOD fibres

Recall we want the bound

density( $R_{i+1}$ ) in fibre  $r \cap (a \mod n) \leq \text{density}(R_{i+1})$  in fibre r. Applying LLL:

$$LHS \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}}} A_{n,r}^{c} \middle| (a \mod n)\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} \\ (n',n)=1}} A_{n,r}^{c} \middle| (a \mod n)\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} \\ (n',n)=1}} A_{n,r}^{c}\right) \approx \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} \\ (n',n)=1}} A_{n,r}^{c}\right) \prod_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1} \\ (n',n)>1}} (1-x_{n})$$
$$\lesssim \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{\substack{n' \in \mathcal{N}_{i+1}}} A_{n,r}^{c}\right) \lesssim RHS.$$