

On the L_∞ -norm of the L_2 -spline projector

Budapest, July 2, 2013

Manfred v. Golitschek
Universität Würzburg, Germany

§1. Introduction

Many important linear operators $\mathbf{P} : X \rightarrow U$ of a linear space X of functions onto a linear subspace U of X are defined by the minimum problem

$$\|f - \mathbf{P}f\| = \min\{\|f - u\| : u \in U\}, \quad f \in X,$$

where the semi-norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ on X is induced by some semi-definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Such operators \mathbf{P} are **linear projectors**,
i.e. they satisfy $\mathbf{P}u = u$, for all $u \in U$.

In my talk, $X = C[a, b]$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the uniform norm on $[a, b]$.
I am interested in the L_∞ -norm of the projectors \mathbf{P} ,

$$\|\mathbf{P}\|_\infty := \sup\{\|\mathbf{P}f\|_\infty : f \in C[a, b], \quad \|f\|_\infty = 1\}.$$

The *Lebesgue function* $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}} \in C[a, b]$,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x) := \sup\{|\mathbf{P}f(x)| : f \in C[a, b], \quad \|f\|_\infty = 1\}, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

will play an essential role. It provides the local error estimate

$$|f(x) - \mathbf{P}f(x)| \leq (1 + \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x))\text{dist}(f, U)_\infty,$$

for all $f \in C[a, b]$ and all $x \in [a, b]$.

Moreover,

$$\|\mathbf{P}\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [a, b]} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x)$$

and

$$\|f - \mathbf{P}f\|_\infty \leq (1 + \|\mathbf{P}\|_\infty)\text{dist}(f, U)_\infty,$$

§2. Examples

Example 1.

Let $[a, b] = [-\pi, \pi]$, $X = \{f \in C[-\pi, \pi], f(-\pi) = f(\pi)\}$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)g(t)dt, \quad f, g \in X.$$

Let $U = \mathcal{T}_n$ be the trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$,
 $\mathbf{P}f = \mathbf{s}_n(f)$ is the n -th partial sum of the Fourier series of f .
The Lebesgue function is the constant function

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((n+1/2)t)}{\sin(t/2)} \right| dt, \quad \text{for all } x \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

and one has (L. Fejér)

$$\|\mathbf{s}_n\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((n+1/2)t)}{\sin(t/2)} \right| dt = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(n+1) + O(1).$$

Example 2.

Let $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ and $X = C[-1, 1]$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $U = \Pi_n$ be the algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n$.

For fixed $n+1$ points $\Delta : -1 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$,

let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be defined by $\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{j=0}^n f(t_j)g(t_j)$.

The corresponding linear projector $\mathbf{P} : C[-1, 1] \rightarrow \Pi_n$ is the **Lagrange interpolation operator**. Its Lebesgue function

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left| \prod_{k=0, k \neq j}^n \frac{x - t_k}{t_j - t_k} \right|, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

has been studied for various point sets Δ by many authors. Paul Erdős has contributed essentially to this important topic, partly in cooperation with his Hungarian colleagues Paul Turan, Jozsef Szabados, Peter Vertesi, Andras Kroo.

Example 3.

Let $X = C[a, b]$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt, \quad \|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}, \quad f, g \in X.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\Delta : a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$$

be fixed. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta) := \{S \in C^{m-1}[a, b] : S|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \in \Pi_m, \ 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

The L_2 -spline projector $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_m(\Delta) : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$ is defined by

$$\|f - \mathbf{P}f\|_2 = \min\{\|f - S\|_2 : S \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)\}, \quad f \in C[a, b].$$

We want to study the **Lebesgue function** $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}$ and thus bounds for the L_∞ -norm. Moreover,

$$\|\mathbf{P}\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [a, b]} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x)$$

of the L_2 -spline projector $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)$.

It is obvious that $\|\mathbf{P}_1(\Delta)\|_\infty \leq K_1$ for some constant K_1 independent of Δ .

Carl de Boor proved in 1968 that $\|\mathbf{P}_2(\Delta)\|_\infty \leq K_2$ for some constant K_2 independent of Δ and formulated his famous conjecture in 1973 that

$$\|\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)\|_\infty \leq K_m \tag{1}$$

holds for all m where the constant K_m depend only on m . He proved his conjecture for $m = 3$ in 1979.

Finally, in 2001, S. Shadrin proved de Boor's conjecture for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

A. Shadrin

“The L_∞ -norm of the L_2 -spline projector $\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)$

is bounded independently of the knot sequence:

a proof of de Boor's conjecture”,

Acta Math. **187** (2001), pp. 59-137.

§3. An alternative proof of Shadrin's theorem

My new proof consists of two steps : $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)$.

1. Prove that the Lebesgue function $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}$ is bounded at the endpoint a by a constant C_m depending only on m .
2. Prove that there exists C_m^* depending only on m such that

$$\max_{x \in [a, b]} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x) \leq C_m^* \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(a)$$

so that $\|\mathbf{P}\|_{\infty} \leq C_m^* C_m$

It is not difficult to derive **Step 2**.

I will focuss on the proof in **Step 1**, which was much harder to find, but is easier to explain.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{N_k\}_{k=1}^{n+m}$ be the B-spline basis of $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$ for the extended knot sequence

$$\Delta_e : t_{-m} = \dots = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = \dots = t_{n+m}.$$

The L_1 -normalized basis $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{n+m}$ of $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$ is defined by

$$M_k := \frac{(m+1)N_k}{t_k - t_{k-m-1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+m.$$

They have the properties (de Boor, 1976)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(N_k) &= \text{supp}(M_k) = [t_{k-m-1}, t_k], \\ N_k &\geq 0, \quad M_k \geq 0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} N_k &= 1, \quad \int_a^b M_k(t) dt = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In Shadrin's proof, a spline $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$ plays the main role. It has the following properties :

Theorem Φ (Shadrin [2001]). *There exist positive numbers c_{min} and c_{max} depending only on m such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= m! \\ \text{sign}(\langle \phi, M_j \rangle) &= (-1)^{j+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

$$|\langle \phi, M_j \rangle| \geq c_{min}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m \tag{A2}$$

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq c_{max} \tag{A3}$$

The proof of (A1) and (A2) is short and not difficult, while the proof of (A3) is very difficult. Fortunately, I need only (A1) and (A2) in my proof of de Boor's conjecture.

Proof of Step 1.

Definition 3.1. We define the spline $Q_a \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$ by

$$v(a) = \langle v, Q_a \rangle, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$$

The importance of Q_a follows from

Lemma 3.2. For $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)$,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(a) = \|Q_a\|_1 \tag{3.1}$$

Proof: Recall that

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(a) := \sup\{|\mathbf{P}f(a)| : f \in C[a, b], \|f\|_{\infty} = 1\}.$$

Let $f \in C[a, b]$, $\|f\|_{\infty} = 1$.

Definition 3.1 and the orthogonality relations imply

$$\mathbf{P}f(a) = \langle \mathbf{P}f, Q_a \rangle = \langle f, Q_a \rangle.$$

Taking the supremum for $f \in C[a, b]$, $\|f\|_{\infty} = 1$, we obtain (3.1) for $f = \text{sign}(Q_a)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let

$$Q_a = \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} c_k M_k,$$

then

$$c_{k+1}c_k < 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+m-1$$

Now we complete the proof of **Step 1** as follows :

Using Definition 3.1 for $v = \phi$, Lemma 3.3, (A_1) and (A_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m! = \phi(a) &= \langle \phi, Q_a \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} c_k \langle \phi, M_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} |c_k| |\langle \phi, M_k \rangle| \geq c_{\min} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} |c_k| \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|Q_a\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} c_k M_k \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} |c_k| \leq \frac{m!}{c_{\min}} =: C_m$$

and thus by Lemma 3.2, $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(a) = \|Q_a\|_1 \leq C_m$ \square

§4. Local lower bounds

Recall that for $\Delta : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ and the L_2 -spline projector $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}_m(\Delta) : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta)$, the Lebesgue function $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}} \in C[a, b]$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x) := \sup\{|\mathbf{P}f(x)| : f \in C[a, b], \|f\|_{\infty} = 1\}, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

It satisfies (by Shadrin's theorem)

$$\|\mathbf{P}\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [a, b]} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}(x) \leq K_m$$

for some constant K_m depending only on m .

Shadrin [2001] and later also his student Simon Foucart [JAT 140, 2006] proved that at the endpoint a

$$\sup_{\Delta} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)}(a) \geq 2m + 1$$

which implies that $K_m \geq 2m + 1$.

Shadrin [2001] conjectures that even

$$K_m = \sup_{\Delta} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)}(a) = 2m + 1$$

is true.

Recently I obtained further results which seem to support Shadrin's conjecture :

Definition. Let $1 \leq \rho < \infty$. We say that a knot sequence $\Delta : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ belongs to the set $\Omega_{\rho, n}$ of knot sequences if

$$\min_{0 \leq i \leq n-2} \frac{t_{i+2} - t_{i+1}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} = \rho.$$

Lemma 4.1. There exists a constant $\gamma_m > 0$ depending only on m with the following property: If $\Delta \in \Omega_{\rho, n}$, then

$$|\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta)}(a) - 2m - 1| \leq \gamma_m \left(\frac{n}{\rho} + \left(\frac{m}{m+1} \right)^n \right).$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $(\rho_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\rho_n} = 0.$$

Let $(\Delta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy $\Delta_n \in \Omega_{\rho_n, n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta_n)}(a) = 2m + 1.$$

See also K. Höllig [JAT, 1981] for "geometric knot sequences" Δ_n .

I conclude my talk with the following

Theorem 4.3. *For any $x \in [a, b]$, there exists a sequence $(\Delta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (depending on x) such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}_m(\Delta_n)}(x) = 2m + 1.$$