

COHOMOLOGY OF QUASI-COHERENT SHEAVES

TAMÁS SZAMUELY

1. DEFINITION OF COHOMOLOGY

To define cohomology, we first review some general facts about derived functors.

Facts 1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category. We say that \mathcal{C} *has enough injectives* if every object can be embedded in an injective object. In this case every object A has an *injective resolution* $A \rightarrow I^\bullet$, i.e. an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

with each I^j injective. Given a left exact functor F from \mathcal{C} to another abelian category, one defines the i -th right derived functor $R^i F$ of F by choosing an injective resolution I^\bullet for each object A and setting

$$R^i F(A) := H^i(F(I^\bullet)).$$

One shows that $R^i F(A)$ does not depend on I^\bullet . Given a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

of objects of \mathcal{C} and a left exact functor F , one gets a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow R^1 F(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

where $R^0 F \cong F$ as F is left exact. Note also that $R^i F(I) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and I injective, because then $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ is an injective resolution of I .

Now we apply the above to the category of sheaves of abelian groups on a topological space.

Lemma 1.2. *The category of sheaves of abelian groups on a topological space X has enough injectives.*

Proof. Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X and a point $P \in X$, denote by \mathcal{F}^P the skyscraper sheaf with stalk \mathcal{F}_P above P and 0 elsewhere. There is a natural morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^P$. Taking direct products we obtain a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_P \mathcal{F}^P$ which is injective by the first sheaf axiom. Now for each $P \in X$ choose an embedding $\mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_P$ with \mathcal{I}_P an injective abelian group (recall that the category of abelian groups has enough injectives). Consider the corresponding embedding

$\mathcal{F}^P \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^P$ of skyscraper sheaves. Taking products we obtain an embedding $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_P \mathcal{I}^P$, so since a product of injectives is always injective,

it is enough to show that each \mathcal{I}^P is an injective sheaf. This follows from the injectivity of \mathcal{I}_P as an abelian group because every morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^P$ from another sheaf \mathcal{G} factors through \mathcal{G}^P . \square

By the lemma, we may define

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) := R^i\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$$

where $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$ is the left exact functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X)$.

By the above general facts, we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}(X)$, $H^i(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$ for \mathcal{I} injective and $i > 0$, and for every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves a long exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Similarly, for every morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes we can consider the right derived functors $R^i\phi_*$ of the left exact functor $\phi_* : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \phi_*\mathcal{F}$ from the category of sheaves on X to the category of sheaves on Y . The sheaves $R^i\phi_*\mathcal{F}$ for $i > 0$ are called the *higher direct images* of \mathcal{F} by ϕ .

2. FLABBY SHEAVES

Now to a concept particular to sheaves.

Definition 2.1. A sheaf \mathcal{F} on a topological space X is *flabby* if the restriction maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ are surjective for all inclusions of open sets $V \subset U$.

From now on we assume that the base space is locally connected.

Proposition 2.2. *Every injective sheaf is flabby.*

The proof requires some preparation. If U is an open subset of a locally connected topological space, there is a unique sheaf \mathbf{Z}_U on X such that for a connected open subset $V \subset X$ we have $\mathbf{Z}_U(V) = \mathbf{Z}$ if $V \subset U$ and $\mathbf{Z}_U(V) = 0$ otherwise. (Indeed, it is straightforward to extend the above definition to non-connected open sets.)

Lemma 2.3. *For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X there are isomorphisms of abelian groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_U, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}(U)$$

for every open $U \subset X$.

Proof. Given a morphism $\mathbf{Z}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, we may consider the image of $1 \in \mathbf{Z}_U(U)$ in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. This defines a homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$. Conversely, given $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, there is a unique morphism of sheaves $\mathbf{Z}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ that maps $1 \in \mathbf{Z}_V(V)$ for a connected $V \subset U$ to $s|_V$. The two constructions are inverse to each other. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $V \subset U$ be an inclusion of open subsets of X . We may naturally identify \mathbf{Z}_V with a subsheaf of \mathbf{Z}_U . By the lemma above we may identify a section of an injective sheaf \mathcal{I} over V with a morphism of sheaves $\mathbf{Z}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. By injectivity of \mathcal{I} this morphism extends to a morphism $\mathbf{Z}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. This means precisely that the restriction map $\mathcal{I}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(V)$ is surjective. \square

Proposition 2.4. *If \mathcal{F} is a flabby sheaf on X , then $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

For the proof we need:

Lemma 2.5.

a) *A short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on a topological space X with \mathcal{F} flabby induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

on global sections.

b) *If in an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are flabby, then so is \mathcal{H} .

Proof. To prove a) the only issue is surjectivity of $\mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X)$. Given $s \in \mathcal{H}(X)$, consider the system of pairs (U, s') , where $U \subset X$ is open and $s' \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ has image $s|_U$ in $\mathcal{H}(U)$. There is a natural partial order on this system in which $(U'', s'') \leq (U, s')$ if $U'' \subset U$ and $s'' = s'|_{U''}$. A standard application of Zorn's lemma shows that this partially ordered system has a maximal element. We show that for such a maximal element we must have $U = X$. Assume not, and let P be a point of $X \setminus U$. As the map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is surjective, we find an open neighbourhood V of P and a section $s'' \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ which maps to $s|_V$ in $\mathcal{H}(V)$. The section $s'|_{U \cap V} - s''|_{U \cap V}$ maps to 0 in $\mathcal{H}(U \cap V)$, so by shrinking V if necessary we find $t \in \mathcal{F}(U \cap V)$ that maps to $s'|_{U \cap V} - s''|_{U \cap V}$ in $\mathcal{G}(U \cap V)$. As \mathcal{F} is flabby, we may extend t to a section in $\mathcal{F}(V)$ which we also denote by t . Changing s'' to $s'' + t$ (here we identify \mathcal{F} with its image in \mathcal{G}) we obtain a section that still maps to $s|_V$ in $\mathcal{H}(V)$ but for which $s'|_{U \cap V} = s''|_{U \cap V}$. Therefore these sections patch together to a section in $\mathcal{G}(U \cup V)$ mapping to $s|_{U \cup V}$, contradicting the maximality of (U, s') .

To prove *b*), let $V \subset U$ be an inclusion of open subsets. By part *a*) the map $\mathcal{G}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(V)$ is surjective as $\mathcal{F}|_V$ is flabby, and so is the map $\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V)$ as \mathcal{G} is flabby. The composite map $\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(V)$ is therefore surjective but it factors through the restriction $\mathcal{H}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(V)$ by definition of a morphism of sheaves. Hence the latter map is also surjective. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Embed \mathcal{F} in an injective sheaf \mathcal{I} and denote by \mathcal{G} the quotient. By Proposition 2.2 in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

\mathcal{F} and \mathcal{I} are flabby, hence so is \mathcal{G} by part *b*) of the above lemma. Part *a*) of the lemma therefore shows that in the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{I})$$

the map $\mathcal{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X)$ is surjective. But $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$, so $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ by the exact sequence. For $i > 1$ we use induction on i . In the part of the long exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{I})$$

we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$ by injectivity of \mathcal{I} and $H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ by the inductive assumption since \mathcal{G} is also flabby. Therefore $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. \square

3. SERRE'S VANISHING THEOREM

We now prove:

Theorem 3.1. (Serre) *If X is an affine scheme and \mathcal{F} a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , then $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

The proof is based on a general topological lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a compact topological space, and \mathcal{B} a basis of open sets of X . Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} and an integer $i \geq 0$, we say that \mathcal{F} has property (P_i) if for all $\alpha \in H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ there exists a finite open covering U_1, \dots, U_r of X by elements of \mathcal{B} such that α maps to zero in $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$, where $\mathcal{F}_j := (u_j)_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_j})$ for the open inclusion $u_j : U_j \rightarrow X$. Then:*

- a) Property (P_1) holds for every sheaf \mathcal{F} .*
- b) If $i > 1$, assume that for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $H^p(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $0 < p < i$. Then (P_i) holds for \mathcal{F} .*

[Recall that $\mathcal{F}_j(V) = \mathcal{F}(V \cap U_j)$ for all open sets $V \subset X$.]

Proof. To prove *a)*, we embed \mathcal{F} in an injective sheaf \mathcal{I} , whence an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

Given $\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$, we lift it to $s \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. As the morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is surjective and X is compact, we find an open covering U_1, \dots, U_r of X by elements of \mathcal{B} such that each $s|_{U_j}$ lifts to $s_j \in I(U_j)$.

Set $\mathcal{I}_j = (u_j)_*(\mathcal{I}|_{U_j})$ and denote by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$ the cokernel of the induced morphism $\mathcal{F}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_j$. In particular, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$ can be viewed as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{C}_j := (u_j)_*(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_j & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_j & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{C}}_j & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and we know that the image s_j of $s \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_j(X) = \mathcal{C}(U_j)$ comes from $s_j \in \mathcal{I}_j(X) = \mathcal{I}(U_j)$. Therefore s_j maps to zero in $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$ and we obtain by functoriality of the long exact cohomology sequence that the image α of s in $H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ maps to zero in $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$, which is what we wanted to prove.

To prove *b)* we assume $i > 1$ and use induction on i . Take an arbitrary finite open covering U_1, \dots, U_r of X by elements of \mathcal{B} . If U is another open set in \mathcal{B} , then the sets $U \cap U_j$ are again in \mathcal{B} . By assumption $H^1((U \cap U_j), \mathcal{F}) = 0$, and therefore the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_j(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_j(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_j(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact since $\mathcal{F}_j(U) = \mathcal{F}(U \cap U_j)$ (and similarly for \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{C}). Similarly, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_j(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_j(U) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_j(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. As this holds for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$, we obtain $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j \cong \mathcal{C}_j$.

We may therefore replace $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$ by \mathcal{C}_j in diagram (1), and from the associated long exact cohomology sequence we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{C}_j) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_j). \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps are isomorphisms by Proposition 2.4 because \mathcal{I} is injective, hence flabby, and hence so is \mathcal{I}_j . As the sheaf \mathcal{C} satisfies (P_1) by part *a)*, the diagram shows that \mathcal{F} satisfies (P_2) , whence the case $i = 2$. Assume now that the result holds for $p < i$. To show it for i , it is enough to see by the diagram that \mathcal{C} satisfies (P_{i-1}) . By the

inductive assumption this will follow if we show $H^p(U, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and $0 < p < i - 1$. But this holds by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$$

and the vanishing of $H^p(U, \mathcal{I})$ and $H^{p+1}(U, \mathcal{F})$ (the latter holding because $p + 1 < i$). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X . We prove the theorem by induction on i using the lemma above, where we take \mathcal{B} to be the system of affine open subsets.

First assume $i = 1$ and pick $\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$. By part a) of the lemma we find a finite open covering U_1, \dots, U_r of X such that α maps to zero in all $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$. We know that \mathcal{F}_j is quasi-coherent, hence so is

the cokernel \mathcal{G} of the morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{F}_j$ induced by the restriction maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U \cap U_j)$. As X is affine and

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{F}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of quasi-coherent sheaves, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{F}_j(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

is again exact. Hence in the long exact cohomology sequence associated

with (2) the map $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^r H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$ is injective. But α maps

to zero in each $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$, hence $\alpha = 0$.

For $i > 1$ we use induction on i . By the inductive assumption for all affine open $U \subset X$ and $0 < p < i$ we have $H^p(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) = 0$, so by part b) of the lemma given $\alpha \in H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$, we find a finite open covering U_1, \dots, U_r of X such that α maps to zero in all $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$. By the inductive hypothesis applied to \mathcal{G} we have $H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$, hence the long exact cohomology sequence associated with (2) shows that the

map $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^r H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_j)$ is injective. So again $\alpha = 0$, which

completes the proof of the case $i = 1$. \square

4. CONSEQUENCES OF SERRE'S VANISHING THEOREM

We now derive a consequence of Serre's theorem for the right derived functors $R^i\phi_*$ of an affine morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$. Recall that a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is affine if for all $V \subset Y$ affine $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is affine as well. (In fact it is enough to require this for elements of a single affine open covering of X .) Examples of affine morphisms are given by

closed immersions or, more generally, finite morphism, and also by the inclusion of an affine open subset in a separated scheme.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an affine morphism and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , the sheaves $R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F}$ are 0 for all $i > 0$.*

In fact one may also view this statement as a generalization of Serre's theorem: the latter is equivalent to the special case where Y is a point. The theorem is an immediate consequence of Serre's theorem and the following proposition, which together imply that the stalks $(R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F})_P$ must be 0 for all $P \in Y$.

Proposition 4.2. *If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an arbitrary morphism of schemes and \mathcal{F} is any sheaf on X , the higher direct image sheaf $R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F}$ is the sheaf associated with the presheaf $V \mapsto H^i(\phi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{F}|_{\phi^{-1}(V)})$.*

For the proof of the proposition we need a lemma from homological algebra that will also be useful later.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category with enough injective, and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a left exact functor. Assume that A is an object of \mathcal{C} for which there exists a resolution*

$$A \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow B^2 \dots$$

with $R^i F(B^j) = 0$ for all $i > 0, j \geq 0$. Then $R^i F(A) \cong H^i F(B^\bullet)$.

Proof. Split the resolution in short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow 0, \dots, 0 \rightarrow K^{i-1} \rightarrow B^i \rightarrow K^i \rightarrow 0, \dots$$

The first one gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B^0) \rightarrow F(K^0) \rightarrow R^1 F(A) \rightarrow 0$$

as F is left exact and $R^1 F(B^0) = 0$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 F(A) &\cong \operatorname{coker}(F(B^0) \rightarrow F(K^0)) = \\ &= \operatorname{coker}(F(B^0) \rightarrow \ker(F(B^1) \rightarrow F(B^2))) = H^1 F(B^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Next, for $j > 0$ we have

$$R^j F(K^i) \cong R^{j+1} F(K^{i-1}), \dots, R^j F(K^0) \cong R^{j+1} F(A).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} R^{j+1} F(A) &\cong R^j F(K^0) \cong R^{j-1} F(K^1) \cong \dots \\ &\cong R^1 F(K^{j-1}) \cong \operatorname{coker}(F(B^j) \rightarrow F(K^j)) = H^{j+1} F(B^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Take an injective resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$. By definition $R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F}$ is the i -th cohomology sheaf of $\phi_* \mathcal{I}^\bullet$. This is the sheaf associated with the presheaf

$$V \mapsto H^i \Gamma(V, \phi_* \mathcal{I}^\bullet|_V) = H^i \Gamma(\phi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{I}^\bullet|_{\phi^{-1}(V)}).$$

But since \mathcal{I}^j is injective, hence flabby for all j , so is $\mathcal{I}^j|_{\phi^{-1}(V)}$, and therefore $\mathcal{F}_{\phi^{-1}(V)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet|_{\phi^{-1}(V)}$ is a flabby resolution. Therefore by Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 2.4 we have $H^i\Gamma(V, \phi_*\mathcal{I}^\bullet|_V) \cong H^i(\phi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{F}|_{\phi^{-1}(V)})$. \square

We finally prove the following corollary of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the assumptions of the theorem there are canonical isomorphisms $H^i(Y, \phi_*\mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. Given an injective resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ we obtain a flabby resolution $\phi_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \phi_*\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ after applying ϕ_* . Indeed, $\phi_*\mathcal{I}^j$ is flabby for all j because so is \mathcal{I}^j , and $\phi_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \phi_*\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ is a resolution because $R^i\phi_*\mathcal{F} = 0$ by the theorem. So we may compute the cohomology of $\phi_*\mathcal{F}$ using this resolution by Lemma 4.3. But $\Gamma(Y, \phi_*\mathcal{I}^j) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^j)$ for all j by definition, so the corollary follows by taking cohomology. \square

5. A VANISHING THEOREM FOR \mathbf{P}^n

By a theorem of Grothendieck (proven e.g. in Hartshorne's book) if X is a topological space in which every descending chain of proper irreducible closed subsets has length at most n , then $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > n$ and any sheaf \mathcal{F} on X . We shall prove another result here which is a very special case of this theorem when A is a field:

Proposition 5.1. *If A is a ring and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on \mathbf{P}_A^n , then $H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > n$.*

Instead of the proposition we shall prove the following more general result.

Theorem 5.2. *If X is a separated scheme that can be covered by $n + 1$ affine open subsets and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , then $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > n$.*

Following an idea of Serre, we prove the theorem by a simplicial method for which we need some preliminaries. Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$ an open covering of X . We assume that the index set I is well ordered; we shall only need the case where I is finite anyway. For a finite subset $\{i_0, \dots, i_p\} \subset I$ we denote by U_{i_0, \dots, i_p} the intersection $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}$.

For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we define a complex $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ of abelian groups as follows. For $p \geq 0$ we set

$$C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}).$$

An element $\alpha \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is thus given by a system of $\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_p} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$ for all $(p + 1)$ -tuples $i_0 < \dots < i_p$. We define a coboundary map

$d^p : C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(d^p \alpha)_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p+1} (-1)^k (\alpha_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{p+1}}) |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}}}$$

(By convention $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ if $|I| \leq p$.)

A straightforward computation shows that $d^{p+1} \circ d^p = 0$ for all p , so we indeed obtain a complex of abelian groups, called the *Čech complex* associated with \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 5.3. *Let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of X such that $U_i = X$ for some i . Then the complex*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact, where ε is defined by restrictions $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$.

Proof. We may assume $i = 1$. Exactness at $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ follows from the sheaf axioms. We show exactness at the higher degree terms by proving that the identity map is homotopic to 0. This means that for $p > 0$ we define $k^p : C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ so that

$$d^{p-1} \circ k^p + k^{p+1} \circ d^p = \text{id}_{C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})},$$

which indeed implies $H^p(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) = 0$ for $p > 0$. Given α_{i_0, \dots, i_p} in $\mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$, to construct $k^p(\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$ it is enough to set for $k^p(\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_p}) = 0$ if $i_0 \neq 1$ and $k^p(\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_p}) = \alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_p}$ viewed as a section in $\mathcal{F}(U_{i_1, \dots, i_p})$ if $i_0 = 1$. \square

We now define a sheafified version of the Čech complex. We set

$$\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} j_*(\mathcal{F} |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}})$$

where j is the inclusion map $U_{i_0, \dots, i_p} \rightarrow X$. The coboundary maps $d^p : \mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ are defined as above, and we obtain a complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ of sheaves on X satisfying $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) = C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 5.4. *The sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. We show exactness on stalks. Given $P \in X$, it suffices to show that there exists an open neighbourhood V of P such that the sections of the sequence of the proposition over V give an exact sequence of abelian groups. But this results from the previous lemma if we take $V \subset U_i$ for some U_i containing P . \square

Lemma 5.5. *If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ is a finite affine open covering of a separated scheme X and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , then $H^i(X, \mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all p and $i > 0$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_{i_0, \dots, i_p}) = 0$ for all $i_0 < \dots < i_p$ and $i > 0$, where $\mathcal{F}_{i_0, \dots, i_p} = j_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}})$ and j is the inclusion map $U_{i_0, \dots, i_p} \rightarrow X$ as before. By separatedness of X the subset U_{i_0, \dots, i_p} is affine, hence so is the morphism j . Thus by Corollary 4.4 we reduce to showing $H^i(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}, \mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}}) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_p}}$ is still quasi-coherent, we may conclude by Serre's vanishing theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. By the preceding proposition and lemma \mathcal{F} has a resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p > n$ and $H^i(X, \mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all p and $i > 0$. Therefore the statement follows from Lemma 4.3. \square

Remark 5.6. For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on a topological space X Serre defined the Čech cohomology groups of X with coefficients in \mathcal{F} by

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) := \lim_{\rightarrow} H^p(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))$$

where the direct limit is taken over all open coverings \mathcal{U} with respect to a natural partial order. Grothendieck proved using Serre's vanishing theorem and a spectral sequence lemma of Cartan that for X a scheme and \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent the Čech cohomology groups agree with the cohomology groups defined using derived functors. The arguments in this section show that in the situation of Lemma 5.5 we already have $H^p(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) \cong H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$.

6. SERRE'S FINITENESS THEOREMS

Let A be a ring, and $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ a projective morphism. Recall that this means that ϕ is the composite of a closed immersion $i : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A^n$ for some n with the natural projection $\mathbf{P}_A^n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$.

If \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , for all $i \geq 0$ the groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ are in fact modules over A . This is obvious from the definition of \mathcal{O}_X -modules if $i = 0$. For $i > 0$ one may see this as follows: as \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module, one shows by the same argument as in Lemma 1.2 that \mathcal{F} has a resolution by injective \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Again by a similar argument as before, such \mathcal{O}_X -modules are flabby sheaves, so they may be used to compute the groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$. But then it follows from the construction that each $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a module over $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = A$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(m)$ be the m -th twisting sheaf on \mathbf{P}_A^n , and set

$$\mathcal{O}_X(m) := i^* \mathcal{O}(m), \quad \mathcal{F}(m) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m).$$

Note that for $X = \mathbf{P}_A^n$ one may define $\mathcal{F}(m)$ by setting $\mathcal{F}(m)|_{D_+(x_i)} = \mathcal{F}|_{D_+(x_i)}$ for all i and then patching over the intersections using the isomorphisms $f \mapsto (x_j/x_i)^m f$.

Theorem 6.1. (Serre) *Assume moreover that A is a Noetherian ring and \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf. Then*

- (1) $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finitely generated A -module over A for all $i \geq 0$.

(2) $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m))=0$ for $i > 0$ and m sufficiently large.

Corollary 6.2. *If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a projective morphism with Y Noetherian, then for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X the sheaves $R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F}$ are also coherent for $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. For $P \in Y$ consider the natural morphism $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,P}) \rightarrow Y$. (For an affine open neighbourhood $V = \text{Spec}(B)$ of P it is given by the composite of the natural maps $\text{Spec}(B_P) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow Y$.) Then ϕ induces a natural map $X \times_Y \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,P}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,P})$. Denoting by ${}^P \mathcal{F}$ the pullback of \mathcal{F} to $X \times_Y \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,P})$ the theorem tells us that the $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ -modules $H^i(X \times_Y \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,P}), {}^P \mathcal{F})$ are finitely generated. But Proposition 4.2 implies that these are exactly the stalks $(R^i \phi_* \mathcal{F})_P$, so the corollary follows because Y is Noetherian. \square

Remark 6.3. Grothendieck has extended Serre's theorem to the case of an arbitrary proper morphism. His proof is by reduction to the projective case.

We prove the theorem in three steps.

Step 1: *Reduction to the case $X = \mathbf{P}_A^n$.*

We need a *projection formula*:

Lemma 6.4. *If $i : X \rightarrow Y$ is an affine morphism, \mathcal{F} a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , \mathcal{G} a quasi-coherent sheaf on Y , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$i_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} i^* \mathcal{G}) \cong (i_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{G}.$$

Proof. Assume first $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ and $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ are affine, and $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$, $\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{N}$ for a B -module M and an A -module N . Then $i_* \mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ with M viewed as an A -module via the morphism $A \rightarrow B$ induced by i , and $i^* \mathcal{G} = \widetilde{N \otimes_A B}$ by definition. So

$$i_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} i^* \mathcal{G}) \cong M \otimes_B (\widetilde{N \otimes_A B}) \cong \widetilde{M \otimes_A N} \cong (i_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{G}.$$

The general case follows by patching as i is affine. \square

Apply the lemma with $Y = \mathbf{P}_A^n$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}(m)$. It gives an isomorphism $i_*(\mathcal{F}(m)) \cong (i_* \mathcal{F})(m)$. Hence by Corollary 4.4 we have isomorphisms

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \cong H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, i_*(\mathcal{F}(m))) \cong H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, i_*(\mathcal{F})(m))$$

and we conclude by observing that $i_* \mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on \mathbf{P}_A^n .

Step 2: *Reduction to the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(m)$.*

The proof of this step is based on the following proposition, also due to Serre.

Proposition 6.5. *Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{P}_A^n , there is a surjective morphism of sheaves*

$$\mathcal{O}(m)^{\oplus r} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

with suitable $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $r \geq 0$.

The proposition should be compared with the following fact: every coherent sheaf on an affine scheme $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is the quotient of \mathcal{O}_X^r for suitable r . This follows from the fact that every finitely generated module over A is a quotient of A^r for some r .

To prove the proposition, it is enough to find a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_A^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m)$ for some $r > 0$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, for then we may conclude by tensoring with $\mathcal{O}(-m) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(m), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_A^n})$. Therefore we must find global sections $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathcal{F}(m)(X)$ such that for all $P \in \mathbf{P}_A^n$ some of the $(s_i)_P$ generate the stalk $\mathcal{F}(m)_P$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_A^n, P}$ -module. Indeed, if we have such global sections, then

$$(f_1, \dots, f_r) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_A^n}^{\oplus r}(U) \mapsto f_1 s_1|_U + \dots + f_r s_r|_U \in \mathcal{F}(m)(U)$$

defines a surjection of sheaves as required.

Now since $D_+(x_i)$ is affine, the $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(x_i)}$ -module $\mathcal{F}|_{D_+(x_i)}$ is generated by some global sections t_1, \dots, t_N by the remark just made. Therefore to prove the proposition it is enough to verify the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Given $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(D_+(x_i))$ for some i , there is a section $\tilde{t} \in \mathcal{F}(m)(\mathbf{P}_A^n)$ with $\tilde{t}|_{D_+(x_i)} = t_i$ for m suitably large.*

Proof. We may assume $i = 0$. Pick some j and consider the restriction of t_0 to $D_+(x_0) \cap D_+(x_j)$. As $D_+(x_0) \cap D_+(x_j)$ is the affine open set defined by the non-vanishing of $x_0 x_j^{-1}$ inside the affine scheme $D_+(x_j)$, there exists $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $t'_j \in \mathcal{F}(D_+(x_j))$ such that $t'_j = (x_0 x_j^{-1})^m t_0$ on $D_+(x_0) \cap D_+(x_j)$. We may choose the same m for all j if we make m sufficiently large (even for $j = 0$ where we set $t'_0 = t_0$). It may still happen that the restrictions of t'_j and $(x_k x_j^{-1})^m t'_k$ to $D_+(x_j) \cap D_+(x_k)$ do not coincide for $j, k \neq 0$. But we know that on $D_+(x_j) \cap D_+(x_j) \cap D_+(x_k)$ we have $t'_j - (x_k x_j^{-1})^m t'_k = 0$ by comparison with t_0 . As this is the affine open subscheme of $D_+(x_j) \cap D_+(x_k)$ given by the non-vanishing of $x_0 x_j^{-1}$, we get $(x_0 x_j^{-1})^p (t'_j - (x_k x_j^{-1})^m t'_k) = 0$ for some $p > 0$ on $D_+(x_j) \cap D_+(x_k)$. We may choose the same p for all j, k , and then $\tilde{t}_j := (x_0 x_j^{-1})^p t'_j$ satisfies $\tilde{t}_j = (x_k x_j^{-1})^{m+p} \tilde{t}_k$ for all j, k . These therefore patch to a global section of $\mathcal{F}(m+p)$. \square

Given Proposition 6.5, we can handle Step 2 as follows. By Theorem 5.2 we know $H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > n$. We now employ *descending* induction on i . The proposition gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m)^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

with some coherent sheaf \mathcal{K} . Part of the long exact cohomology sequence reads

$$H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(m)^{\oplus r}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{K}).$$

The A -module on the left is finitely generated by assumption and that on the right by the inductive hypothesis. Since A is Noetherian, statement 1 of the theorem follows. Statement 2 is proven similarly.

Step 3: *The case $X = \mathbf{P}_A^n$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(m)$.*

We prove the following more precise statement.

Theorem 6.7. *We have $H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(m)) = 0$ unless $i = 0$ or n . Moreover,*

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(m)) = \text{degree } m \text{ part of } A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

and

$H^n(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(m)) =$ *submodule of degree m part of $A[x_0^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$ generated by monomials $x_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ with all $\alpha_i < 0$.*

We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *Consider the morphism $\pi : \mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A^n$ given by patching the natural morphisms $D(x_i) \rightarrow D_+(x_i)$ corresponding to $A[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i] \rightarrow A[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{x_i}$ together. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}} \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}(m).$$

Proof. The restriction of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}$ to the affine open set $D_+(x_i)$ is given by the $A[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i]$ -module $A[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{x_i}$. This module decomposes as the direct sum of submodules

$$A_m^i := A[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i] x_i^m$$

for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Over $D_+(x_i) \cap D_+(x_j)$ we have isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{A}_m^j \cong (x_j/x_i)^m \widetilde{A}_m^i,$$

so the \widetilde{A}_m^i patch together to an invertible sheaf isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(m)$. \square

Corollary 6.9. *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(m)).$$

Proof. As π is an affine morphism, the lemma together with Corollary 4.4 yield isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \cong H^i(\mathbf{P}_A^n, \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}(m)).$$

It remains to observe that cohomology commutes with direct sums. This can be seen by choosing a flabby resolution for each $\mathcal{O}(m)$, and then taking the direct sum of these resolutions as a flabby resolution of the direct sum. \square

In view of the corollary above the theorem follows from:

Proposition 6.10. *We have $H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) = 0$ unless $i = 0$ or n . Moreover,*

$$H^0(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) = A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

except for $n = 0$ where it is $A[x_0, x_0^{-1}]$, and

$$H^n(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) = \text{submodule of } A[x_0^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$$

generated by monomials $x_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ with all $\alpha_i < 0$.

Proof. The case $i = 0$ is well known from elementary algebraic geometry. Also, for $n = 0$ the scheme $\mathbf{A}_A^1 \setminus \{0\}$ is affine and therefore

$$H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^1 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^1 \setminus \{0\}}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$ by Serre's vanishing theorem. So $n = 0$ is also known and we may use induction on i and n . We have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}$ -modules

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}} \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_{D(x_n)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}} x_n^{-l} \rightarrow 0.$$

For $i \geq 1$ we have $H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1}, j_* \mathcal{O}_{D(x_n)}) = 0$ by Corollary 4.4 and Serre's vanishing theorem because j is affine, so the long exact cohomology sequence yields

$$H^1(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \cong \text{coker}(H^0(D(x_n), \mathcal{O}_{D(x_n)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbf{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}}) x_n^{-l}).$$

For $n > 1$ the right hand side is

$$\text{coker}(A[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} A[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] x_n^{-l}) = 0.$$

For $n = 1$ it is

$$\text{coker}(A[x_0, x_1, x_1^{-1}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} A[x_0, x_0^{-1}] x_1^{-l}) \cong \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} A x_0^{-l}.$$

This completes the proof of the case $i = 1$ via induction on n . For $i > 1$ we derive from exact sequence (3) and the vanishing of $H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1}, j_* \mathcal{O}_{D(x_n)})$ isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \cong \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} H^{i-1}(\mathbf{A}_A^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}}) x_n^{-l}$$

where we use again that cohomology commutes with direct sums. Thus we may conclude using induction (on i and n). \square