COMPLEX ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS '85 Sofia, 1986

ON A THEOREM OF PHRAGMEN

Sz. Gy. RÉVÉSZ

Eötvös University

Dept. of Analysis

Budapest, Muzeum krt. 6-8.

H-1088, Hungary

ON A THEOREM OF PHRAGMEN

Sz.Gy.Révész

1. Investigating the distribution of primes E. Phragmen [2] proved the following function-theoretical result about the connection of the oscillation of a function and the singularities of its Dirichlet-Laplace transform.

Theorem (Phragmen). If r(x) is a piece-wise continuous complex function with Dirichlet-Laplace transform R(s), converging in a right halfplane, i.e.

$$R(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} dr(x) \qquad (\sigma > A)$$

and if R can be meromorphically continued to $\sigma > a$ (a < A) , then for any singularity $\alpha+i\tau$ of R in a < $\sigma \le A$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$r(x) = \Omega(x^{\alpha-\epsilon}).$$

In the important special case, when r(x) is the remainder term of various forms of the prime number theorem, this relation was sharpened by E. Schmidt [8], and in case of the prime ideal theorem by E. Landau [4]. However these results were ineffective ones, and Littlewood's [5] question for explicit Ω -results was unanswered for more than two decades. The breakthrough was made by P. Turán [11], who could use his famous power-sum method for proving an explicit oscillatorical theorem on $\Delta(x) = \Psi(x) - x$. After this, the aim was to find the correct size of oscillation "caused by" a $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$ zero of $\zeta(s)$ (i.e. a pole of $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) - \frac{s}{s-1}$,

the transform of $\Delta(x)$). This problem was settled by J. Pintz [6], who — roughly speaking — could bring down the ϵ from the exponent (see Corollary 2). Developing certain effective results in the theory of the distribution of the prime ideals, W. Staś and K. Wiertelak [10] began to investigate the possibility of proving general and explicit function-theoretical oscillation theorems. In the present paper we show, that the theoratically expectable largest oscillation can be proved for general function-classes too. As corollaries, we will get back the above mentioned result of J. Pintz, and corresponding results in algebraic number fields, due to the present author [7].

The author wishes to express his thank to J. Pintz for the stimulating consultations during his work.

2. We call a function (real or complex valued) piecewise continuous, if it is continuous in every finite interval except a finite number of jumps. For simplicity, we will suppose, that our functions are left continuous, and that any integral $\int_{a}^{b} means \int_{a-o}^{b-o}$, when a or b is a jump of the corresponding integrand. For any function f, let $f_1=f$, $f_{\nu+1}(x)=f(f_{\nu}(x))$, i.e. f_{ν} is the ν -times iterated f function. We introduce for $z\in \mathbb{C}$

Log $z := \max\{\log|z|, 2\}$.

Complex variables are written in the form $s=\sigma+it$ ($\sigma=Re\ s$), and an integral on a vertical line with real part σ will be denoted by $\int\limits_{(\sigma)}.$

We use the Landau o and O notations and the Vinogradov << notation as well. K_1, K_2, \ldots are positive parameters, fixed once for all, and c_1, c_2, \ldots are explicitly calculable absolute constanst {(independent of any parameter)}.

<u>Definition</u>. We say, that $r \in \mathbb{R}$, if the following conditions hold:

I. $r(x):[1,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, r(1)=0 , r piece-wise continuous, and

$$lr(x)$$
 | $< K_1 x Log^{K_2} x$.

II. The Dirichlet-Laplace transform of r

$$R(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} dr(x) = -\int_{1}^{\infty} r(x) dx^{-s} \qquad (\sigma > 1),$$

can be presented as the negative of the logarithmic derivative of a function F , regular for $\sigma \geq 0$ except for a possible pole at s=1:

$$R(s) = -\frac{F'}{F}(s) \qquad (\sigma \ge 0) .$$

III. For $0 \le \sigma \le 4$

$$|F(s)(s-1)| \le K_3(|t|+1)^{K_4}$$
.

IV. $|F(2+it)| \ge K_5$.

Remark. By I and II, $F(s) \neq 0$ for $\sigma > 1$. Let us denote the order of the singularity of F at s=1 by \varkappa ; i.e. $\varkappa=1$, if F has a pole, and $\varkappa=0$, if not. (It is not important, that in III we set $F(s)\cdot(s-1)$ — we could do everything with $F(s)\cdot(s-1)^{\varkappa}$ as well — but at present by III we have $\varkappa \leq 1$.) If r is real and monotone, and $\varkappa=1$, then by Wiener's Tauberian theorem [13] the relation

$$(2.1) r(x) \sim x (x + \infty)$$

is equivalent to

(2.2)
$$F(1+it) \neq 0$$
 $(t \in \mathbb{R})$.

But, by an application of a classical theorem of Landau [3], it can be proved that for such an r we have $\kappa=1$. Further, by the well-known method of De la Vallée Poussin, it can be

proved, that (2.2) holds, so (2.1) follows for any real and monotone r. When r is not such, we donet have the corresponding as mptotical results, but nevertheless, we can prove the same oscillation. I.e. we have

Theorem. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$, let \varkappa be the order of the singularity of F at s=1 , and

(2.3)
$$d(x) := r(x) - x \cdot x$$
.

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be arbitrary, $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i \gamma_0$ a zero of F with $\beta_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}$, and

(2.4)
$$Y \ge \max\{c_1, e^{|\rho_0|}, \exp(\frac{12}{\epsilon^2}), K_1, K_4, e^{(1+K_2)^2}, K'\}$$
 $(K' := \log \frac{K_3}{K_5}).$

Then there exists an

(2.5)
$$x \in [Y, Y^{c_2(K_4 \text{Log}(|\rho_0|) + K')}],$$

for which

(2.6)
$$|d(x)| > (1-\varepsilon) \frac{x^{\beta_0}}{|\rho_0|}.$$

3. Proposition 1. If $A \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $x \ge 1$, we have

(3.1)
$$\log^{A} x \leq e^{\frac{A}{\alpha} + A^{2}} \cdot x^{\alpha}.$$

Proposition 2. If u and v are arbitrary complex numbers, we have

(3.2)
$$Log(u+v) \le Log(u) \cdot Log(v)$$
.

Proposition 3. For $B \ge \frac{1}{2}$

(3.3)
$$\int_{B}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx < e^{-B^2}.$$

Proposition 4. For a > 0, b complex, c > 0 we have

(3.4)
$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)}^{\infty} e^{as^2 + bs} ds = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi a}} \exp(-\frac{b^2}{4a}).$$

Lemma 1. (The continuous form of the power-sum theorem of J.W.S. Cassels [1].) For h>1, $n\in \mathbb{N}$ and α complex $(j=1,\ldots,n)$ we have

(3.5)
$$\max_{h \le u \le (2n-1)h} |e^{-\alpha_1 u} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j u}| \ge 1.$$

In the following we suppose that F satisfies III, IV and V, and collect general versions of well-known facts in the theory of the Riemann zeta function.

The complex number $\rho=\beta+i\gamma$ represents a zero of F, \sum_{ρ} is extended over all zeros satisfying the indicated conditions under the summation symbol, and for any a>0 and T_1 , T_2 , T real

(3.6)
$$N(a,T_1,T_2) := \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ \beta \geq a \\ T_1 \leq \gamma \leq T_2}} 1, \quad N(a,T) := N(a,-T,T).$$

Lemma 2. For 0 < a < 1 , T real

(3.7)
$$N(a,T-1,T+1) \ll \frac{1}{a}(K_4 Log T + K')$$
.

Lemma 3. For l > 1, 0 < a < 1 and any real T

(3.8)
$$N(a,T-\ell,T+\ell) \ll \frac{\ell}{a}(K_4 \log(|T|+\ell)+K')$$
,

and

(3.9)
$$N(a,T) \ll \frac{|T|+1}{a}(K_4 Log(|T|+\ell)+K')$$
.

Lemma 4. For 0 < a, $2a \le b < 1$ we have uniformly in $b \le \sigma \le 4$

(3.10)
$$\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \sum_{|\rho-s| \le b-a} \frac{1}{s-\rho} - \frac{x}{s-1} + O(\frac{1}{a(b-a)}(K_4 Logt + K')).$$

Lemma 5. If $0 < b < \frac{1}{4}$, then there exists a poligon L, symmetric to the real axis, which consists of horizontal and vertical segments, lies in $b \le \sigma \le 2b$, has arc length < 2T for that part belonging to $0 \le t \le T$, and for which

(3.11)
$$\left|\frac{F'}{F}(s)\right| << \frac{1}{b^2} (K_4 Logt + K')^2$$

whenever sEL or t is the imaginary part of any horizontal segment of L and b $\leq \sigma \leq 4$.

As for the proofs, Lemma 2 uses Jensen's inequality $2\left[\frac{1}{a}\right]$ times for R=2, $r=\sqrt{(2-a)^2+a^2}$, $s_k=2+i(T+ka)$ $(k=\pm 1,\ldots,\pm \left[\frac{1}{a}\right])$, Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 2, Lemma 4 uses the Borel-Caratheodory lemma with $z_0=2+it$, R=2-a, r=2-b, $G(z)=F(z)(z-1)^{\times}$ and (3.8), and the construction of L can be done in view of Lemmas 1 and 4 by avoiding the zeros of F as much as possible. Compare [10].

4. Let us denote by $\mbox{\bf D}$ the Dirichlet-Laplace transform of d ,

(4.1)
$$D(s) = -\int_{1}^{\infty} d(x) dx^{-s} = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} dd(x) = R(s) - \frac{\pi s}{s-1}.$$

Let $k,m \ge 1$, and define

$$U = U(\rho_0) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int D(s + \rho_0) e^{ks^2 + ms} ds =$$
(2)

(4.2)
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)}^{\infty} (-\int_{d}^{\infty} d(x) \frac{d}{dx} (x^{-s-\rho}) dx) e^{ks^2 + ms} ds =$$

$$(4.2) = -\int_{1}^{\infty} d(x) \frac{d}{dx} \{x^{-\rho_0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi k}} \exp(-\frac{(\log x - m)^2}{4k})\} dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi k}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d(x)}{x} x^{-\rho_0} (\frac{\log x - m}{2k} + \rho_0) \exp(-\frac{(\log x - m)^2}{4k}) dx$$

where we applied (3.4) and interchanged the order of the integrations and the derivation. The first and the last representations of U in (4.2) give the way to estimate U from above and from below, resp. Split up U to

$$U_1 = \int_1^A , \quad U_2 = \int_A^B , \quad U_3 = \int_B^\infty$$

$$(A = e^{m-\mu} , \quad B = e^{m+\mu} , \quad 0 < \mu \le \frac{3m}{4}) .$$

For any $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ we have by (3.1)

(4.4)
$${}^{K_{2}+1}_{m} << e^{(1+K_{2})^{2} + \frac{K_{2}+1}{\alpha}} e^{\alpha m}$$

and so by I, using (3.3) we have for any $m \ge |\rho_0|$, $m \ge \mu + 4k$, $1 \le k \le \frac{\mu}{4}$

$$|U_{1}| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi k}} \int_{1}^{A} (K_{1}Log^{K_{2}}x + \pi)x^{-\beta} \circ (\frac{m - \log x}{2k} + |\rho_{0}|) \exp(-\frac{(\log x - m)^{2}}{4k}) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{K_{1}}{\sqrt{k}} K_{2} (\frac{m}{2k} + m) \int_{1}^{e} e^{-\frac{(\log x - m)^{2}}{4k} - \beta_{0} \log x} dx < <$$

$$<< K_{1}e^{(1 + K_{2})^{2} + \frac{K_{2} + 1}{\alpha}} e^{\alpha m} \int_{e}^{-\mu/2\sqrt{k}} e^{-u^{2} - \beta_{0}(2\sqrt{k}u + m)} e^{2\sqrt{k}u + m} du \leq$$

$$-m/2\sqrt{k}$$

$$(4.5) \leq K_{1}e^{(1 + K_{2})^{2} + \frac{K_{2} + 1}{\alpha}} e^{m(1 - \beta_{0} + \alpha) + k(1 - \beta_{0})^{2}} \int_{\mu/2\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k}(1 - \beta_{0})}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} dv$$

$$<< M_1 \exp(m(1-\beta_0+\alpha)+\mu(1-\beta_0)-\frac{\mu^2}{4k})$$

$$(M_1 = M_1(\alpha) = K_1 e^{(1+K_2)^2+\frac{K_2+1}{\alpha}}).$$

Similarly for m > $|\rho_0|$, m > $\mu + 4k$, $1 \le k \le \frac{\mu}{4}$, and M defined as in (4.5),

(4.6)
$$|U_3| \le \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{k}} \int_{B}^{\infty} \exp\{(\alpha - \beta_0) \log x - \frac{(\log x - m)^2}{4k}\} dx$$
,

since m < logB \leq logx . By the same substitution $u = \frac{\log x - m}{2\sqrt{k}}$

$$|U_{3}| \leq M_{1} \int_{\mu/2\sqrt{k}}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2} - (\beta_{0} - \alpha)(2\sqrt{k}u + m) + 2\sqrt{k}u + m} du = 0$$

$$= M_{1}e^{(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})m + k(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})^{2}} \int_{\mu/2\sqrt{k}-\sqrt{k}(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} dv << 0$$

$$= M_{1}e^{(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})m + k(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})^{2}} \int_{\mu/2\sqrt{k}-\sqrt{k}(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} dv << 0$$

$$= M_{1}e^{(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})m + k(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})^{2}} \int_{\mu/2\sqrt{k}-\sqrt{k}(1+\alpha-\beta_{0})}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} dv << 0$$

Now, if for a constant C we suppose

(4.8)
$$|d(x)| < Cx^{\beta_0} \qquad x \in [A,B]$$
,

then for U2 we get

$$|U_{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi k}} \int_{A}^{B} C \left[\frac{\log x - m}{2k} + \rho_{0} \right] \exp\left(-\frac{(\log x - m)^{2}}{4k}\right) \frac{dx}{x} =$$

$$= \frac{C}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\mu/2}^{\mu/2\sqrt{k}} \frac{|v|}{\sqrt{k}} + \rho_{0} |e^{-v^{2}} dv| \leq \frac{2C}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\frac{v}{\sqrt{k}} e^{-v^{2}} + |\rho_{0}| e^{-v^{2}}) dv =$$

$$= (|\rho_{0}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}})C.$$

From (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8) for m > $|\rho_0|$, k ≥ 1 and for any 0 < α < 1 , 4k < $\mu \leq \frac{3}{4}m$ we infer

$$(4.10) \quad |U| \leq (|\rho_0| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}})C + O(M_1 \exp(m(1-\beta_0+\alpha) + \mu(1+\alpha-\beta_0) - \frac{\mu^2}{4k})).$$

Now, all conditions on the parameters are satisfied with the choice of

(4.11)
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$$
, $\mu = 12k$, $m = 16k$, $k \ge log Y$,

and we conclude from (4.10) in view of (2.4) and $\beta_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}$, that

$$(4.12) |U| \le C(|\rho_0| + \frac{\varepsilon}{6}) + O(M_1 Y^{-8}) \le C|\rho_0| (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

5. For the estimation from below, we transform the way of integration to the broken line $L-\rho_0$ (which is justified by Lemma 5, $e^{ks^2+ms}=O(e^{-t^2})$ and III), and get a sum of residues, to be large for a proper choice of k according to Lemma 1.

Namely, from the left-hand side of U in (4.2) we get

(5.1)
$$U = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L-\rho_{O}} D(s+\rho_{O}) e^{ks^{2}+ms} ds + \sum_{\rho} e^{k(\rho-\rho_{O})^{2}+m(\rho-\rho_{O})},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ indicates the restricted sum over the zeros right from L . With the notation

(5.2)
$$M_2 = M_2(\rho_0) := K_4 Log |\rho_0| + K'$$

we get from Lemma 5 and (3.2) for the integral in (5.1)

(5.3)
$$|\int_{L} | << \frac{M_{2}^{2}}{b^{2}} e^{(2b-\beta_{0})m+(\beta_{0}-b)^{2}k} \int_{0}^{\infty} Log^{2}te^{-kt^{2}} << \frac{M_{2}^{2}e}{\sqrt{k} b^{2}}$$

and from Lemma 2 and (3.2) for any $\ell \ge 1$ that

$$|\sum_{\rho}^{k(\rho-\rho_{0})^{2}+m(\rho-\rho_{0})}| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{(1-\beta_{0})m+(1-\beta_{0})^{2}k-(j+\ell)^{2}k}.$$

$$|\gamma-\gamma_{0}| > \ell$$

$$(5.4) {N(b,\gamma_0+\ell+j,\gamma_0+\ell+j+1)+N(b,\gamma_0-\ell-j,\gamma_0-\ell-j-1)} << \frac{M_2}{b} e^{(1-\beta_0)m+(1-\beta_0)^2k} \sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} e^{-j^2k} \text{Log}j << \frac{M_2 \text{Log}\ell}{b} e^{2(1-\beta_0)m-\ell^2k}.$$

As for the remaining summands, their number n is at most N(b, γ_0 - ℓ , γ_0 + ℓ) , so by Lemma 3

$$(5.5) n \ll \frac{M_2 \ell \log \ell}{b}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the remaining finite sum we obtain a

$$(5.6) k \in [\log Y, 2n \cdot \log Y]$$

with

(5.7)
$$|\sum_{\rho} \{e^{(\rho-\rho_{O})^{2} + \frac{m}{k}(\rho-\rho_{O})}\}^{k} | \geq 1.$$

Now for this special k , choose

(5.8)
$$\ell = 5$$
, $b = \frac{1}{16}$

and by (4.11), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.8) we conclude that the interval (2.5) contains [A,B], defined in (4.3). Moreover, by (2.4), (4.11) and (5.8), we get from (5.1), (5.3), (5.4) and (5.7) that

(5.9)
$$|U| \ge 1 - \frac{c_3 M_2^2}{\sqrt{k}} e^{-5k} - c_4 M_2 e^{-9k} \ge 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
.

Comparing (4.12) with (5.9), we see that

(5.10)
$$C \ge \frac{1-\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon}{|\rho_{0}|(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3})} > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{|\rho_{0}|},$$

which proves the existence of an $x \in [A,B]$ satisfying (2.6).

6. Number theoretical applications. Let K be an algebraic number field, n the degree and Δ the discriminant of it. The remainder of the prime ideal theorem is defined by

$$\nabla^{K}(x) := \Lambda^{K}(x) - x := \sum_{w \leq x} V^{K}(w) - x$$

where

$$\Lambda_{K}(m) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}, k} \log N \mathcal{P},$$

$$N \mathcal{P}^{k} = m$$

where $\mathcal P$ runs over the prime ideals of K , $k\in \!\! \mathbb N$, and NP is the norm of the ideal $\mathcal P$. For $\zeta_K(s)$, the Dedekind zeta function of K , we have

$$-\frac{\zeta_{K}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{K}}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(m)}{m^{s}} . ,$$

With these notations, it follows (see e.g. [9])

Lemma 6. $\Psi_{K} \in \mathbb{R}$, and we have for $n \ge 2$

$$K_1 = \frac{n}{\log 2}$$
, $K_2 = 2$, $\kappa = 1$, $K_3 = c_5^n |\Delta|^{3/2}$, $K_4 = \frac{3}{2}n + 2$, $K_5 = (\frac{6}{\pi^2})^n$.

For the most important case $K=\mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$K_1 = 3$$
, $K_2 = 0$, $K_3 = c_6$, $K_4 = \frac{3}{2}$, $K_5 = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$.

Corollary 1 ([7]). If
$$0 < \epsilon < 1$$
, $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$, $\zeta_K(\rho_0) = 0$, and

$$Y > \max\{e^{\rho_0}, e^{12/\epsilon^2}, c_7 n, c_8 \log |\Delta|\}$$

then there exists an

$$x \in [Y, Y^{c_9 n \text{Log} | \rho_0| + c_{10} \log |\Delta|}]$$

for which

$$|\Delta_{K}(x)| > \frac{(1-\epsilon)x^{\beta_{0}}}{|\rho_{0}|}$$
.

 $\frac{\text{Corollary 2}}{\zeta(\rho_0)} = 0 \text{ , and}$ (J. Pintz [6]). If $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$,

$$Y > \max\{c_{11}, e^{\rho_0}, e^{12/\epsilon^2}\}$$

then there exists an

$$x \in [Y, Y^{c_{12} \log | \rho_o|}]$$

for which

$$|\Delta(x)| > (1-\epsilon) \frac{x^{\beta_0}}{|\rho_0|}$$
.

Remark. In the above investigations we defined $\mathcal R$ as a class of complex valued functions. But, if r is realvalued, we can prove more either regarding the value of oscillation, or concerning the sign of r (i.e. Ω_{\pm} results). To these questions we shall return later.

References

- [1] J.W.S. Cassels, On the sums of complex numbers, Acta Math. Hung.,7 (1956), 283-290.
- [2] A.E. Ingham, The distribution of prime numbers, Cambridge, University Press, 1932.
- [3] E. Landau, Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen, Teubner, Leipzig-Berlin, 1909.
- [4] E. Landau, Über Ideale und Primideale in Idealklassen,
 Math. Z., 2 (1918), 52-154.
- [5] J.E. Littlewood, Mathematical Notes (12) An inequality for a sum of cosines, Journ. London Math. Soc., 12 (1937), 217-222.
- [6] J. Pintz, On the remainder term of the prime number formula I, On a problem of Littlewood, Acta Arith., 36 (1980), 341-365.
- [7] Sz.Gy. Rèvèsz, Irregularities in the distribution of prime ideals, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 18 (1983), 57-67.
- [8] E. Schmidt, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter gegebener Grenze, Math. Ann., 57 (1903), 195=204.
- [9] W. Stas, Über eine Anwendung der Methode von Turan auf die Theorie des Restgliedes im Primidealsatz, Acta Arith., 5 (1959), 179-195.
- [10] W. Stas, K. Wiertelak, Some estimates in the theory of functions represented by Dirichlet's series, Funct.

 Approximatio,1 (1974), 107-111.
- [11] P. Turan, On the remainder term of the prime number formula I,

 Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 1 (1950), 48-63.
- [12] P. Turan, Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1953.
- [13] N. Wiener, A new method in Tauberian theorems, Journal of Math. and Phys., MIT, 7 (1927-28), 161-184.

Mathematical Institute Eötvös Lorand University Budapest, Muzeum krt. 6-8. H-1088, Hungary

Received June 13, 1985