

# Approximation, Optimization and Computing

Theory and Applications

# EXTREMAL PROBLEMS AND A DUALITY PHENOMENON

Szilárd Gy. RÉVÉSZ

GAMF, Departement of Mathematics and Physics,  
Kecskemét, Izsáki ut 10. 6000 HUNGARY

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The extremal problem of calculating

$$\alpha(k) := \max\{a : \exists f \geq 0, f(x) = 1 + a \cos x + b \cos kx, \\ a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

arise in certain problems of analytic number theory. In another work [5] the calculation of

$$\gamma(k) := \sup\{a : \exists f \geq 0, f(x) = 1 + a \cos x + \\ + \sum_{n=k+1}^N a_n \cos nx, N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ a_n \in \mathbb{R} (n \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

has an important role. The latter problem reminds of the following extremal problem of Fejér: determine

$$\lambda(k) := \max\{a_1 : \exists f \geq 0, f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \cos nx, \\ a_n \in \mathbb{R} (n \leq k)\}$$

Fejér proved /see [2] or [3] I pp. 869-870/

$$(1) \quad \lambda(k) = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{k+2},$$

and the values of the other quantities are /cf. Proposition 1 and [4]/

$$\alpha(k) = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\pi}{2k}}, \quad \gamma(k) = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\pi}{k+2}}.$$

As a common generalization we consider for any  $H \subset \mathbb{N}_2 := (2, 3, \dots)$

$$(2) \quad \beta(H) := \sup\{a : \exists f \geq 0, f \in T, f(x) = 1 + a \cos x + \\ + \sum_{n \in H} a_n \cos nx, a_n \in \mathbb{R} (n \in H)\},$$

where  $T$  denotes the set of ordinary trigonometric polynomials. Another extremal quantity of particular importance is

$$(3) \quad \Delta(k) := \frac{1}{2} \sup\{\beta(H) : H \subset \mathbb{N}_2, |H| = k\}.$$

We determine the order of magnitude of  $\Delta(k)$  in Proposition 2. This result has an application in [5].

Let us denote  $\bar{H} := \mathbb{N}_2 - H$ . It is almost immediate that  $\beta(H) \cdot \beta(\bar{H}) \leq 2$ . However, as the case of  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  suggests, even the following duality statement holds true.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $H \subset \mathbb{N}_2$  be arbitrary. We have

$$\beta(H) \cdot \beta(\bar{H}) = 2.$$

In these extremal problems, in particular in number theoretic applications, there are cases when we have to restrict ourselves to polynomials with nonnegative coefficients. More generally let us introduce for any  $H, K \subset \mathbb{N}_2$

$$(4) \quad F(H, K) := \{f \in T, f \geq 0, f(x) = 1 + a \cos x + \\ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx, a_n \leq 0 (n \notin H), \\ a_n \geq 0 (n \in K)\}.$$

The corresponding extremal quantity is

$$(5) \quad \beta(H, K) := \sup\{a : \exists f \in F(H, K) \text{ with} \\ a = a_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos x \, dx\}.$$

This setting generalizes the preceding problem as  $\beta(H, H) = \beta(H)$ . For positive definite polynomials we write simply  $\beta(H, \emptyset)$ . Now let us denote for a pair  $H, K \subset \mathbb{N}_2$

$$(6) \quad H^* := \overline{(H \cap (2N+1)) \cup (K \cap 2N)}, \\ K^* := \overline{(H \cap 2N) \cup (K \cap (2N+1))}.$$

Note that both sets  $H^*$  and  $K^*$  depend on both sets  $H$  and  $K$ . With these notations we formulate an even more general duality result.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $H, K \subset \mathbb{N}_2$  be arbitrary and  $H^*, K^*$  be as above. We have  $\beta(H, K) \cdot \beta(H^*, K^*) = 2$ .

Clearly, Theorem 1 follows easily from Theorem 2 since if  $K=H$  then  $H^*=K^*=\overline{H}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

Let us denote  $S = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\int = \int_S$ . We put  $G := \{f(x+\pi) : f \in F(H, K)\}$ .

Now if  $f \in F(H, K)$  has coefficients  $a_n$  and  $g \in F(H^*, K^*)$  has coefficients  $b_n$  then we have according to (5),

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int f(x+\pi)g(x)dx = 2 - a_1b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n b_n \leq 2 - a_1b_1,$$

since  $(-1)^n a_n b_n \leq 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ ) as a quick reflection to (4) and (6) shows. Taking supremum according to (5), the above inequality entails

$$(7) \quad \beta(H, K) \cdot \beta(H^*, K^*) \leq 2.$$

Translation with  $\pi$  leads to

$$(8) \quad \beta := \beta(H, K) = \sup\{a_1 : f \in G, \frac{1}{\pi} \int f(x) \cos x dx = -a_1\}.$$

Consider the Banach space  $C(S)$  with the supremum norm. Denote

$$(9) \quad P := \{f \in C(S) : f > 0\},$$

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \in T : (-1)^n a_n \leq 0 \right. \\ \left. (n \notin H), (-1)^n a_n \leq 0 (n \notin K) \right\}, F = 1 - \beta \cos x + A.$$

Plainly  $A$  and  $P$  are convex cones, further  $P$  is open and  $F$  is the translation of  $A$  by the "vector"  $1 - \beta \cos x$ . Moreover,  $F \cap P = \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $f \in F \cap P$  exists, then with  $\delta := \min f > 0$  we find a  $g = (f - \delta)/(1 - \delta) \geq 0$ ,  $g \in G$ , and for this  $g$   $a_1$  would be  $-\beta/(1 - \delta) < -\beta$ , a contradiction in view of (8). Hence  $F \cap P = \emptyset$  and we can apply the separation theorem of convex sets in the Banach space  $C(S)$  for the sets  $F$  and  $P$ , cf. [1] 2.2.2. Corollary, p. 118. We get a nontrivial linear functional  $L \neq 0$  and a  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$(10) \quad LP \geq w \geq LF.$$

Since  $P$  is a cone, so is  $LP$ , ie.  $LP = \{0\}$  or  $[0, \infty)$  or  $(0, \infty)$  and so we can suppose  $w = 0$ . We get also from  $0 \in A$

$$(11) \quad L(1 - \beta \cos x) \leq 0,$$

and from  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$  similarly we get for any  $A \geq 0$

$$L(1 - \beta \cos x + A(-1)^h \cos hx) \leq 0 \quad (h \in H),$$

$$L(1 - \beta \cos x + A(-1)^{k+1} \cos kx) \leq 0 \quad (k \in K)$$

so with  $A \rightarrow +\infty$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad (-1)^h L(\cos hx) \leq 0 \quad (h \in H),$$

$$(-1)^k L(\cos kx) \geq 0 \quad (k \in K).$$

Now apply the Riesz Representation Theorem, cf. [1] 4.10.1. Theorem, p. 203:  $L = \int d\mu$  with some regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S$ . Here  $\mu \neq 0$  since  $L \neq 0$ ,  $\mu$  is nonnegative since  $LP \geq 0$ , and considering  $\mu(x) + \mu(-x)$  we can also suppose that  $\mu$  is even. Since  $L(1) \geq 0$ , and  $L(1) = 0$  would imply  $LP = 0$  and  $L = 0$ , we find  $L(1) = \int 1 d\mu > 0$ , hence we can normalize supposing  $\mu(S) = 2\pi$ . In all, the Fourier series of the nonnegative Borel measure  $\mu$  is

$$(13) \quad \mu \sim 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \cos nx,$$

where in view of (11) and (12)

$$(14) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta b_1 \leq 0, \quad (-1)^h b_h \geq 0 \quad (h \in H), \\ (-1)^k b_k \geq 0 \quad (k \in K).$$

Consider  $F_N$ , the  $N^{\text{th}}$  Fejér kernel, and  $\sigma_N := F_N * \mu$  the  $N^{\text{th}}$  Fejér polynomial of  $\mu$ . Since both  $F_N$  and  $\mu$  are nonnegative, so is  $\sigma_N$ , and we have

$$(15) \quad 0 \leq \sigma_N(\mu, x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) b_n \cos nx.$$

It is easy to check that (14) and (15) entails  $\sigma_N \in F(H^*, K^*)$ , and so

$$\beta(H^*, K^*) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) b_1.$$

According to the first part of (14) and letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $\beta(H^*, K^*) \geq 2/\beta$ , hence in view of (7) and (8) the proof is completed.

## 3. COMPUTATION OF SOME EXTREMAL QUANTITIES

**Proposition 1.**  $\alpha(k) = 1/\cos \frac{\pi}{2k}$  and  $\beta(\{k\}) = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2k}$ .

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 1 it suffices to show the first part.

Let  $f \in F(\{k\})$  ie.  $0 \leq f(x) = 1 + a \cos x + b \cos kx$ . Considering  $x = \pi + \frac{\pi}{2k}$  we get  $0 \leq 1 - a \cos \frac{\pi}{2k}$  hence  $\alpha(k) \leq 1/\cos \frac{\pi}{2k}$ .

On the other hand the nonnegativity of the polynomial

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\pi}{2k}} \cos x + \frac{(-1)^k \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{2k}}{k} \cos kx$$

proves the assertion.

Proposition 2. For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$1 - \frac{5}{(k+1)^2} \leq \Delta(k) \leq 1 - \frac{0.5}{(k+1)^2}.$$

Proof. Taking  $H = \{2, 3, \dots, k+1\}$  Fejér's

result (1) gives  $\beta(H) = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{k+3}$  and some calculation yields the lower estimate. On the other hand for any  $H \subset \mathbb{N}_2$ ,  $|H| = k$  we can take  $n \notin H$  with  $2 \leq n \leq k+2$ , and using Proposition 1 we get

$\beta(H) \leq \beta(\overline{\{n\}}) = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2n} \leq 2 - (k+1)^{-2}$ , whence the assertion.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Edwards, R.E., Functional Analysis, Holt-Rinehart-Winston, New York-Toronto-London, 1965.
- [2] Fejér, L., Über trigonometrische Polynome, J. Angew. Math. 146 /1915/, 53-82.
- [3] Fejér, L., Gesammelte Arbeiten I-II, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.
- [4] Révész, Sz.Gy., A Fejér-type extremal problem, Acta Math. Hung., to appear
- [5] Révész, Sz.Gy., On Beurling's Prime Number Theorem, manuscript