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## A B S T R A C T

A family $\mathcal{F}$ is intersecting if $F \cap F^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ whenever $F, F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$. Erdős, Ko, and Rado (1961) [6] showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{k-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for an intersecting family of $k$-subsets of $[n]:=\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$, $n \geqslant 2 k$. For $n>2 k$ the only extremal family consists of all $k$-subsets containing a fixed element. Here a new proof is presented by using the Katona's shadow theorem for $t$-intersecting families.
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## 1. Definitions: shadows, $\boldsymbol{b}$-intersecting families

$\binom{X}{k}$ denotes the family of $k$-element subsets of $X$. For a family of sets $\mathcal{A}$ its $s$-shadow $\partial_{s} \mathcal{A}$ denotes the family of $s$-subsets of its members $\partial_{s} \mathcal{A}:=\{S:|S|=s, \exists A \in \mathcal{A}, S \subseteq A\}$. E.g., $\partial_{1} \mathcal{A}=\cup \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of $a$-sets such that $\left|A \cap A^{\prime}\right| \geqslant b \geqslant 0$ for all $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$. Katona [10] showed that then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{A}| \leqslant\left|\partial_{a-b} \mathcal{A}\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that this inequality quickly implies the EKR theorem. This way it is even shorter than the classical proof of Katona [11] using cyclic permutations, or the one found by Daykin [2] applying the Kruskal-Katona theorem.

## 2. The proof

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be intersecting. Define a partition $\mathcal{F}_{0}:=\{F \in \mathcal{F}: 1 \notin F\}, \mathcal{F}_{1}:=\{F \in \mathcal{F}: 1 \in F\}$ and define $\mathcal{G}_{1}:=\{F \backslash\{1\}: 1 \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Consider $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ as a family on [2,n]. Its complementary family $\mathcal{G}_{0}:=$

[^0]$\left\{[2, n] \backslash F: F \in \mathcal{F}_{0}\right\}$ is ( $n-1-k$ )-uniform. The intersection property of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that any member of $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ is not contained in any member of $\mathcal{G}_{0}$. We obtain
$$
\mathcal{G}_{1} \cap \partial_{k-1} \mathcal{G}_{0}=\emptyset .
$$

Since both $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\partial_{k-1} \mathcal{G}_{0}$ are subfamilies of $\binom{[2, n]}{k-1}$ we obtain that $\left|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{k-1} \mathcal{G}_{0}\right| \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{k-1}$. The intersection size $\left|G \cap G^{\prime}\right|$ of $G, G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{0}$ is at least $n-2 k$, since

$$
\left|G \cap G^{\prime}\right|=\left|([2, n] \backslash F) \cap\left([2, n] \backslash F^{\prime}\right)\right|=(n-1)-2 k+\left|F \cap F^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Then (2) gives (with $a=n-k-1, b=n-2 k \geqslant 0$ ) that $\left|\mathcal{G}_{0}\right| \leqslant\left|\partial_{k-1} \mathcal{G}_{0}\right|$. Summarizing

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}|=\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{F}_{0}\right|=\left|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{G}_{0}\right| \leqslant\left|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{k-1} \mathcal{G}_{0}\right| \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{k-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extremal families. Equality holds in (2) if and only if $a=b$, or $\mathcal{A}=\emptyset$, or $\mathcal{A} \equiv\left({ }_{(2 a-b]}^{a}\right)$. Thus, for $n>2 k$, equality in (3) implies either $\mathcal{G}_{0}=\emptyset$ and $1 \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$, or $\mathcal{G}_{0} \equiv\binom{[2, n-1]}{n-1-k}$ and $n \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$.

## 3. Two algebraic reformulations

Given two families of sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, the inclusion matrix $I(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a 0-1 matrix of dimension $|\mathcal{A}| \times|\mathcal{B}|$, its rows and columns are labeled by the members of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, the element $I_{A, B}=1$ if and only if $A \supseteq B$. In the case $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ the matrix $I\left(\mathcal{F},\binom{[n]}{1}\right)$ is the usual incidence matrix of $\mathcal{F}$, and $I\left(\mathcal{F},\binom{[n]}{s}\right)$ is the generalized incidence matrix of order $s$.

Suppose that $L$ is a set of non-negative integers, $|L|=s$, and for any two distinct members $A, A^{\prime}$ of the family $\mathcal{A}$ one has $\left|A \cap A^{\prime}\right| \in L$. The Frankl, Ray-Chaudhuri, and Wilson [8,13] theorem states that in the case of $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}, s \leqslant k$ the row vectors of the generalized incidence matrix $I\left(\mathcal{A},\binom{[n]}{s}\right)$ are linearly independent. Here the rows are taken as real vectors (in [13]) or as vectors over certain finite fields (in [8]). Note that this statement generalizes (2) with $L=\{b, b+1, \ldots, a-1\}, s=a-b$.

Matrices and the EKR theorem. Instead of using (2) one can prove directly that the row vectors of the inclusion matrix $I\left(G_{0} \cup G_{1},\binom{[2, n]}{k-1}\right)$ are linearly independent. For more details see $[8,13]$.

Linearly independent polynomials. One can define homogeneous, multilinear polynomials $p(F, \mathbf{x})$ of rank $k-1$ with variables $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$

$$
p(F, \mathbf{x})= \begin{cases}\sum\left\{x_{S}: S \subset[2, n] \backslash F,|S|=k-1\right\} & \text { for } 1 \notin F \in \mathcal{F}, \\ x_{F \backslash\{1\}} & \text { for } 1 \in F \in \mathcal{F},\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{S}:=\prod_{i \in S} x_{i}$. To prove (1) one can show that these polynomials are linearly independent. For more details see [9].

## 4. Remarks

The idea of considering the shadows of the complements (one of the main steps of Daykin's proof [2]) first appeared in Katona [10] (p. 334) in 1964. He applied a more advanced version of his intersecting shadow theorem (2), namely an estimate using $\partial_{a-b+1} \mathcal{A}$.

Linear algebraic proofs are common in combinatorics, see the book [1]. For recent successes of the method concerning intersecting families see Dinur and Friedgut [4,5]. There is a relatively short proof of the EKR theorem in [9] using linearly independent polynomials. In fact, our proof here can be considered as a greatly simplified version of that one.

Since the algebraic methods are frequently insensitive to the structure of the hypergraphs in question it is much easier to give an upper bound $\binom{n}{k-1}$ which holds for all $n$ and $k$ (see [3]). To decrease this formula to $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ requires further insight. Our methods resemble to those of Parekh [12] and Snevily [14] who succeeded to handle this for various related intersection problems.

Generalized incidence matrices proved to be extremely useful, see, e.g., the ingenious proof of Wilson [15] for another Frankl-Wilson theorem, namely the exact form of the classical Erdős-KoRado theorem concerning the maximum size of a $k$-uniform, $t$-intersecting family on $n$ vertices. They proved $[7,15]$ that the maximum size is exactly $\binom{n-t}{k-t}$ if and only if $n \geqslant(t+1)(k-t+1)$.
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