# The Maximum Size of 3-Wise Intersecting and 3-Wise Union Families

Peter Frankl<sup>1</sup> and Norihide Tokushige<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> CNRS, ER 175 Combinatoire, 2 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France. e-mail: Peter111F@aol.com
- <sup>2</sup> College of Education, Ryukyu University, Nishihara, Okinawa, 903-0213 Japan. e-mail: hide@edu.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

Abstract. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an *n*-uniform hypergraph on 2n vertices. Suppose that  $|F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3| \ge 1$ and  $|F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3| \le 2n - 1$  holds for all  $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ . We prove that the size of  $\mathcal{F}$  is at most  $\binom{2n-2}{n-1}$ .

### 1. Introduction

A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$  is called *r*-wise intersecting if  $F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_r \neq \emptyset$  holds for all  $F_1, \ldots, F_r \in \mathcal{F}$ . A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$  is called *r*-wise union if  $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_r \neq X$  holds for all  $F_1, \ldots, F_r \in \mathcal{F}$ . The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem [2] states that if  $n \ge 2k$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{n}{k}$  is 2-wise intersecting then  $|\mathcal{F}| \le \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ . By considering the complement, the theorem can be restated as follows: if  $n \le 2k$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{n}{k}$  is 2-wise union then  $|\mathcal{F}| \le \binom{n-1}{k}$ . We can extend the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for *r*-wise intersecting families as

We can extend the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for *r*-wise intersecting families as follows.

**Theorem 1 [3].** If  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$  is *r*-wise intersecting and  $(r-1)n \ge rk$  then  $|\mathcal{F}| \le {\binom{n-1}{k-1}}$ . If  $r \ge 3$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in {\binom{[n]}{k}} : i \in F\}$  holds for some  $i \in [n]$ .

The equivalent complement version is the following. If  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$  is *r*-wise union and  $rk \ge n$  then  $|\mathcal{F}| \le {\binom{n-1}{k}}$ .

Gronau [6], and Engel and Gronau [1] proved the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r \ge 4$ ,  $s \ge 4$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is r-wise intersecting and *s*-wise union, and

$$\frac{n-1}{s} + 1 \le k \le \frac{r-1}{r}(n-1).$$

Then we have  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-2}{k-1}$ .

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  The second author was supported by MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16340027

In this note we prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[2n]}{n}}$  be a 3-wise intersecting and 3-wise union family. Then we have  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq {\binom{2n-2}{n-1}}$ . Equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in {\binom{[2n]-\{j\}}{n}} : i \in F\}$  holds for some  $i, j \in [2n]$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 3

We can prove the theorem for  $n \leq 3$  easily, so we assume that  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[2n]}{n}}$  be a 3-wise intersecting and 3-wise union family. If  $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[2n]-\{j\}}{n}}$  holds for some  $j \in [2n]$  then Theorem 1 implies that  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq {\binom{2n-2}{n-1}}$  and equality holds iff there exists some  $i \in [2n]$  such that  $i \in F$  holds for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , which verifies the theorem. From now on we assume that there is no such j, in other words, we assume that

$$\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = [2n]. \tag{1}$$

Considering the complement, we may assume that

$$\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Now suppose that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \ge \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \tag{3}$$

and we shall prove that there is no such  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $A \in {\binom{[2n]}{n}}$ , we define the corresponding walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , denoted by walk(A), in the following way. The walk is from (0, 0) to (n, n) with 2n steps, and if  $i \in A$  (resp.  $i \notin A$ ) then we move one unit up (resp. one unit to the right) at the *i*-th step. Let us define

$$\mathcal{A}_i := \{A \in {\binom{[2n]}{n}} : |A \cap [1+3\ell]| \ge 1 + 2\ell \text{ first holds at } \ell = i\},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{j}} := \{A \in {\binom{[2n]}{n}} : |A \cap [2n - 3\ell, 2n]| \le \ell \text{ first holds at } \ell = j\}.$$

If  $A \in A_i$  then, after starting from the origin, walk(A) touches the line y = 2x + 1at (i, 2i + 1) for the first time. If  $A \in A_{\overline{j}}$  then walk(A) touches the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}(x - (n-1)) + n$  at (n-2j-1, n-j) and after passing this point this walk never touches the line again. Set  $A_{i\overline{j}} := A_i \cap A_{\overline{j}}$ , and

$$a_i := |\mathcal{A}_i|/\binom{2n-2}{n-1}, \quad a_{\bar{j}} := |\mathcal{A}_{\bar{j}}|/\binom{2n-2}{n-1}, \quad a_{i\bar{j}} := |\mathcal{A}_{i\bar{j}}|/\binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$

Set also

$$\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{j}} := \mathcal{A}_{\bar{j}} \cap \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\bar{j}} := \mathcal{A}_{i\bar{j}} \cap \mathcal{F},$$

The Maximum Size of 3-Wise Intersecting and 3-Wise Union Families

$$f_i := |\mathcal{F}_i| / \binom{2n-2}{n-1}, \quad f_{\bar{j}} := |\mathcal{F}_{\bar{j}}| / \binom{2n-2}{n-1}, \quad f_{i\bar{j}} := |\mathcal{F}_{i\bar{j}}| / \binom{2n-2}{n-1},$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\,\bar{j}} := \{F \cap [3i+2, 2n-3j-1] : F \in \mathcal{F}_{i\,\bar{j}}\}$$

 $j \leq 2n$ , if  $i \notin F$  and  $j \in F$  then  $(F - \{j\}) \cup \{i\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . It follows then for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , walk (F) must touch the line y = 2x + 1 because  $\mathcal{F}$  is a shifted 3-wise 1-intersecting family. In the same way, walk (F) must touch the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}(x - (n - 1)) + n$  because  $\mathcal{F}$  is a shifted 3-wise 1-union family.

**Claim 1.**  $\mathcal{G}_{0\bar{0}} \subset {\binom{[2,2n-1]}{n-1}}$  is 2-wise intersecting.

*Proof.* Otherwise we have  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{00}$  such that  $A \cap B = \{1\}$ . This forces  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F =$  $\{1\}$ , contradicting (2).

By Claim 1 and the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{0\bar{0}}| = |\mathcal{G}_{0\bar{0}}| \le {\binom{2n-3}{n-2}}$ and

$$f_{0\bar{0}} \le \binom{2n-3}{n-2} / \binom{2n-2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (4)

**Claim 2.**  $\mathcal{G}_{1\overline{0}} \subset {\binom{[5,2n-1]}{n-3}}$  is 2-wise intersecting.

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that there exist  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}_{10}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\{2, 3, 4\} \cup A$ ,  $\{2, 3, 4\} \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_{1\overline{0}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is shifted we also have  $\{1, 3, 4\} \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_{1\overline{0}}$ .  $\mathcal{F}_{10}$ . If there is  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|F \cap [4]| \le 2$  then we may assume that  $F \cap [2] = \{1, 2\}$ by the shiftedness of  $\mathcal{F}$ . But this is impossible because  $(\{2, 3, 4\} \cup A) \cap (\{1, 3, 4\} \cup A)$  $B) \cap F = \emptyset.$ 

Thus we may assume that  $|F \cap [4]| \ge 3$  holds for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{1}234) := \{F \cap [5, 2n] : F \in \mathcal{F}, F \cap [4] = \{2, 3, 4\}\} \subset {\binom{[5, 2n]}{n-3}},$$
$$\mathcal{F}(1\bar{2}34) := \{F \cap [5, 2n] : F \in \mathcal{F}, F \cap [4] = \{1, 3, 4\}\} \subset {\binom{[5, 2n]}{n-3}},$$
$$\mathcal{F}(12\bar{3}4) := \{F \cap [5, 2n] : F \in \mathcal{F}, F \cap [4] = \{1, 2, 4\}\} \subset {\binom{[5, 2n]}{n-3}}.$$

Then  $|\mathcal{F}(\bar{1}234)| + |\mathcal{F}(1\bar{2}34)| + |\mathcal{F}(12\bar{3}4)| \le 3\binom{2n-4}{n-3}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}(123) := \{F \cap [4, 2n] : \{1, 2, 3\} \subset F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset {\binom{[4, 2n]}{n-3}}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}(123)$  is 3-wise union and it follows from the complement version of Theorem 1 that  $|\mathcal{F}(123)| \leq {\binom{2n-4}{n-3}}$ . Therefore we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}(\bar{1}234)| + |\mathcal{F}(1\bar{2}34)| + |\mathcal{F}(12\bar{3}4)| + |\mathcal{F}(123)| \le 4\binom{2n-4}{n-3} < \binom{2n-2}{n-1},$$
  
which contradicts (3).

By Claim 2 and the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{1\bar{0}}| = |\mathcal{G}_{1\bar{0}}| \le {\binom{2n-6}{n-4}}$  and

$$f_{1\bar{0}} \le \binom{2n-6}{n-4} / \binom{2n-2}{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4(2n-3)(2n-5)}$$

Considering the complement, we have the same estimation for  $f_{0\bar{1}}$ . Therefore we have

$$f_{1\bar{0}} + f_{0\bar{1}} \le \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2(2n-3)(2n-5)}.$$
(5)

**Claim 3.**  $\mathcal{G}_{1\bar{1}} \subset {\binom{[5,2n-4]}{n-4}}$  is 2-wise intersecting.

*Proof.* Suppose that there are  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}_{1\overline{1}}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $F_1 := \{2, 3, 4, 2n\} \cup A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is shifted and  $\{2, 3, 4, 2n\} \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ , we also have  $F_2 := \{1, 3, 4, 2n - 1\} \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $|F \cap [4]| \ge 3$  holds for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  then we are done as we saw in the proof of Claim 2. So there is  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|G \cap [4]| \le 2$  and by the shiftedness we may assume that  $G \cap [4] = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap G = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction.

By Claim 3 and the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{1\bar{1}}| = |\mathcal{G}_{1\bar{1}}| \le {\binom{2n-9}{n-5}}$  and

$$f_{1\bar{1}} \le \binom{2n-9}{n-5} / \binom{2n-2}{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{16(2n-3)(2n-5)(2n-7)}.$$
 (6)

By (4), (5) and (6), we have the following.

**Claim 4.**  $f_{0\bar{0}} + f_{1\bar{0}} + f_{0\bar{1}} + f_{1\bar{1}} \le H_1$ , where

$$H_1 := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2(2n-3)(2n-5)} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{16(2n-3)(2n-5)(2n-7)}$$

Next we consider  $f_{i\bar{j}}$  where max $\{i, j\} = 2$ . Let  $c_i$  be the number of walks from (0, 0) to (i, 2i + 1) which touch the line y = 2x + 1 only at (i, 2i + 1). Then it follows that  $c_i = \frac{1}{3i+1} {3i+1 \choose i}$  (see e.g. Fact 3 in [7]). If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{i\bar{j}}$  then walk(A) goes through the two points P = (i, 2i + 1) and

If  $A \in A_{i\bar{j}}$  then walk(A) goes through the two points P = (i, 2i + 1) and Q = (n - 2j - 1, n - j). Since the number of walks from P to Q is  $\binom{2n - (3i + 3j + 2)}{n - (i + 2j + 1)}$ , we get the following simple estimation.

$$f_{i\bar{j}} \le a_{i\bar{j}} = c_i c_j \binom{2n - (3i + 3j + 2)}{n - (i + 2j + 1)} / \binom{2n - 2}{n - 1} =: g(i, j).$$

Thus we have

$$(f_{2\bar{0}} + f_{0\bar{2}}) + (f_{2\bar{1}} + f_{1\bar{2}}) + f_{2\bar{2}} \le 2(g(2,0) + g(2,1)) + g(2,2) =: H_2.$$
(7)

Finally we consider  $f_i$ ,  $f_{\bar{i}}$  for  $i \ge 3$ . We use the following fact which we prove in the next section.

Lemma 1. We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} |\mathcal{A}_i| \le \alpha \binom{2n}{n}$$

for all  $n \ge 1$  where  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ .

We also use the following trivial estimation.

$$\max\{f_i, f_i\} \le a_i = a_i = c_i \binom{2n - 3i - 1}{n - i} / \binom{2n - 2}{n - 1}.$$

Then this together with Lemma 1 implies

$$\sum_{i>2} f_i \le \sum_{i>2} a_i \le \alpha \binom{2n}{n} / \binom{2n-2}{n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i =: H_3.$$
(8)

By Claim 4, (7) and (8), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| / \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2, \ 0 \leq j \leq 2} f_{i\bar{j}} + \sum_{i>2} f_i + \sum_{j>2} f_{\bar{j}} \leq H_1 + H_2 + 2H_3 =: H_4(n),$$

where

$$H_4(n) = 4\sqrt{5} - \frac{32551}{4096} - \frac{2(\sqrt{5}-2)}{n} + \frac{1}{2^{20}} \left(\frac{6237}{2n-13} + \frac{2835}{2n-13} + \frac{2835}{2n-13} + \frac{28770}{2n-9} - \frac{156090}{2n-7} + \frac{923313}{2n-5} + \frac{298295}{2n-3}\right).$$

Note that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_4(n) = 4\sqrt{5} - \frac{32551}{4096} = 0.997...$  In fact one can check that  $H_4(n) < 1$  for  $n \ge 34$ . For the remainder cases  $4 \le n \le 33$ , one can directly check that

$$|\mathcal{F}| / {\binom{2n-2}{n-1}} \le H_1 + H_2 + 2 \sum_{i=3}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} a_i < 1.$$

Consequently we showed that  $|\mathcal{F}| < \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$  for all  $n \ge 4$  and this contradicts (3). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

#### 3. Proof of Lemma 1

Since  $|\mathcal{A}_i| = c_i \binom{2n-3i-1}{n-i}$  we need to prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} c_i \binom{2n-3i-1}{n-i} / \binom{2n}{n} \leq \alpha.$$

We use the following fact (cf. (6) in [7]):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} c_i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3i+1} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3i+1} = \alpha.$$

Thus to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\binom{2n-3i-1}{n-i} / \binom{2n}{n} \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3i+1} \tag{9}$$

for  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ . We prove this inequality by induction on *i*. For the case i = 0, one can check that the equality holds in (9). Now let i > 0 and we assume (9) for *i* and we show the case i + 1, that is,

$$\binom{2n-3i-4}{n-i-1} / \binom{2n}{n} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3i+4},$$

or equivalently,

$$\binom{2n}{n} \ge 2^{3i+4} \binom{2n-3i-4}{n-i-1}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\binom{2n}{n} \ge 2^{3i+1} \binom{2n-3i-1}{n-i},$$

and so it suffices to show that

$$2^{3i+1}\binom{2n-3i-1}{n-i} \ge 2^{3i+4}\binom{2n-3i-4}{n-i-1},$$

or equivalently,

$$f(i) := 5i^3 - (10n+6)i^2 + (4n^2 - 17)i + 6n - 6 \ge 0.$$

Since f''(i) = -2(10n - 15i + 6) < 0, the function f(i) is concave on the domain  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ . Thus it suffices to check that  $f(0) \ge 0$  and  $f(\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor) \ge 0$ . Indeed,  $f(0) = 6(n-1) \ge 0$ , and  $f(\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor) \ge \min\{f(\frac{n-1}{2}), f(\frac{n-2}{2})\} = f(\frac{n-1}{2}) = \frac{1}{8}(n + 1)(n-1)(n-3) \ge 0$  if  $n \ge 3$ . For the case  $n \le 2$ , we only have  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 0$ , that is, i = 0 and we already checked this case.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Konrad Engel for telling them the problem and related references.

## References

- 1. Engel, K., Gronau, H.-D.O.F.: An Erdős–Ko–Rado type theorem II. Acta Cybernet. 4, 405–411 (1986)
- 2. Erdős, P., Ko, C., Rado, R.: Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **12**, 313–320 (1961)
- 3. Frankl, P.: On Sperner families satisfying an additional condition. J. Combin. Theory (A) 20, 1–11 (1976)
- Frankl, P., Tokushige, N.: Weighted 3-wise 2-intersecting families. J. Combin. Theory (A) 100, 94–115 (2002)
- 5. Frankl, P., Tokushige, N.: Random walks and multiply intersecting families. J. Combin. Theory (A) **109**, 121–134 (2005)
- Gronau, H.-D.O.F.: An Erdős–Ko–Rado type theorem. Finite and infinite sets, Vol. I, II (Eger, 1981). Colloq Math Soc J Bolyai 37, 333–342 (1984)
- 7. Tokushige, N.: A frog's random jump and the Pólya identity. Ryukyu Math Journal 17, 89–103 (2004)
- 8. Tokushige, N.: The maximum size of 4-wise 2-intersecting and 4-wise 2-union families. European J. Combin (in press)

Received: October 20, 2004 Final Version received: August 2, 2005