

Combinatorics, Probability and Computing

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CPC>

Additional services for **Combinatorics, Probability and Computing**:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



Some Inequalities Concerning Cross-Intersecting Families

P. FRANKL and N. TOKUSHIGE

Combinatorics, Probability and Computing / Volume 7 / Issue 03 / September 1998, pp 247 - 260

DOI: null, Published online: 08 September 2000

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0963548398003575

How to cite this article:

P. FRANKL and N. TOKUSHIGE (1998). Some Inequalities Concerning Cross-Intersecting Families. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 7, pp 247-260

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

Some Inequalities Concerning Cross-Intersecting Families

P. FRANKL¹ and N. TOKUSHIGE²

¹ CNRS, ER 175 Combinatoire,
54 Bd Raspail, 75006 Paris, France
(e-mail: combinatorics@cs.meiji.ac.jp)

² College of Education, Ryukyu University,
Nishihara, Okinawa, 903–0213 Japan
(e-mail: hide@edu.u-ryukyu.ac.jp)

Received 30 May 1995; revised 30 December 1997

Let a, b and n be integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are nontrivial cross-intersecting families. Then $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq 2 + \binom{n}{b} - 2\binom{n-a}{b} + \binom{n-2a}{b}$. This result is best possible.

1. Introduction

Let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an n -element set. For an integer k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, we denote by $\binom{[n]}{k}$ the set of all k -element subsets of $[n]$. A family $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ is called *nontrivial* if $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$. Two families, $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$, are said to be *cross-intersecting* if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. A family $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ is called *intersecting* if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are cross-intersecting.

Let us recall the following two fundamental results.

Theorem A (Erdős, Ko and Rado [1]). *Let k and n be integers with $n \geq 2k$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ is intersecting, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.*

Theorem B (Hilton and Milner [6]). *Let k and n be integers with $n \geq 2k$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ is nontrivial intersecting, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 1$.*

In [4], Füredi proposed the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. *Let a, b and n be integers with $n > a + b$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are cross-intersecting families. Then $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}\binom{n-1}{b-1}$.*

Conjecture 2. Let a, b and n be integers with $a \leq b$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are cross-intersecting families. If $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$ and \mathcal{A} is nontrivial, then $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n-1}{b-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} + \binom{n-a-1}{b-a}$.

Conjecture 3. Let a, b and n be integers with $a \leq b$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are nontrivial cross-intersecting families. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \left| \binom{[a+1]}{a} \right| + \left| \left\{ B \in \binom{[n]}{b} : |[a+1] \cap B| \geq 2 \right\} \right|.$$

Conjecture 1 was known to be true if $n \geq \max\{2a, 2b\}$ (see [10], [13]). But if $n < \max\{2a, 2b\}$ then the conjecture is not true in general. A simple counterexample is given in Section 2.

In Section 3, we show that Conjecture 2 is a direct consequence of a theorem of Mörs.

Conjecture 3 is false even if we fix $|\mathcal{A}| = a + 1$. In this case, the best construction is the following. Let

$$A_i := \{1, \dots, a - 1\} \cup \{a + i\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < a,$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \{A_0, \dots, A_{a-1}\} \cup \{\{a, \dots, 2a - 1\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \left\{ B \in \binom{[n]}{b} : A \cap B \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we do not restrict $|\mathcal{A}|$, the following construction is much better.

Example. Choose disjoint $A_0, A_1 \in \binom{[n]}{a}$, and set $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{A_0, A_1\}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \left\{ B \in \binom{[n]}{b} : B \cap A_0 \neq \emptyset, B \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{B}_0 are nontrivial cross-intersecting families. (\mathcal{A}_0 has size 2.)

Actually, if $b \geq a + 2$ then we have the following result.

Theorem 1. Let a, b and n be integers with $2 \leq a \leq b - 2$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that two families $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are cross-intersecting, and the family \mathcal{A} is nontrivial. Then, $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_0| + |\mathcal{B}_0|$ holds. For $n > a + b$, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}_0$.

Note that in the above theorem it is not assumed that \mathcal{B} is nontrivial. We prove Theorem 1 in Section 5. If $|\mathcal{A}|$ is relatively small then the same inequality holds for the cases $b = a$ or $b = a + 1$ as well.

Theorem 2. Let a, b and n be integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that two families $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are cross-intersecting, and the family \mathcal{A} is nontrivial. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) If $b = a + 1$ and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2}{a-1}$, then $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_0| + |\mathcal{B}_0|$. For $n > a + b$, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}_0$.
- (ii) If $b = a$ and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$ then $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_0| + |\mathcal{B}_0|$. For $n > a + b$ and $a \geq 3$, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}_0$.

Using Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following.

Theorem 3. Let a, b and n be integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$ and $n \geq a + b$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$ are nontrivial cross-intersecting families. Then $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_0| + |\mathcal{B}_0|$. For $n > a + b$ and $b \geq 3$, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}_0$.

Since Theorems 1, 2, 3 are trivial if $n = a + b$, throughout this paper we consider the case $n > a + b$.

2. Counterexample to Conjecture 1

Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \in \binom{[n]}{a} : \{1, 2\} \cap A \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ B \in \binom{[n]}{b} : \{1, 2\} \subset B \right\}.$$

These two families are cross-intersecting, and

$$|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2}{a-1}, \quad |\mathcal{B}| = \binom{n-1}{b-1} - \binom{n-2}{b-1}.$$

Set $\delta := |\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| - \binom{n-1}{a-1} \binom{n-1}{b-1}$. Then $\delta > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{(n-1)(b-a)}{(n-b)(n-a)} > 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $n = (2 - \alpha)b$, $a = (1 - \beta)b$, where

$$0 < \alpha < \beta < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $n > a + b$ holds and condition (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(1 - 1/b)\beta > (1 - \alpha)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

If we choose α, β and b so that (2.2) and (2.3) hold, then $n > a + b$, but $\delta > 0$. For example, choose an integer $c > 5$ and set $n = 17c$, $a = 5c$ and $b = 10c$: then the pair of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is a counterexample to Conjecture 1.

3. The Mörs theorem

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ and $0 < l < k$. The l th shadow $\Delta_l(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$\Delta_l(\mathcal{F}) := \{G : |G| = l, G \subset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Let us define the colex order on $\binom{[n]}{k}$ by

$$A < B \text{ if and only if } \max\{A - B\} < \max\{B - A\}.$$

Define $\text{Colex}(k, j)$ to be the first j sets in $\binom{[n]}{k}$ with respect to the colex order. Let us define $\|\mathcal{F}\| := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$. For given integers n, k, i, l , what is the minimum of $|\Delta_l(\mathcal{F})|$ if $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$, $\|\mathcal{F}\| = n$ and $|\mathcal{F}| = i$? The Mörs theorem (Theorem C below) gives the complete answer to this question.

Let n, k, i be integers with $n/k \leq i \leq \binom{n}{k}$. Let us construct a family $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $\|\mathcal{F}_0\| = n$, $|\mathcal{F}_0| = i$ as follows. Define $g := \max\{j : n - \|\text{Colex}(k, j)\| \leq (i - j)k\}$, $h := \|\text{Colex}(k, g)\|$. For $1 \leq j < i - g$, define $F_j := \{(j - 1)k + h + 1, \dots, jk + h\}$. Further, define $G := \{(i - g - 1)k + h + 1, \dots, n, 1, 2, \dots, k - (n - (i - g - 1)k - h)\}$. Finally, define

$$\mathcal{F}_0 := \text{Colex}(k, g) \cup \{F_1, \dots, F_{i-g-1}, G\}.$$

Theorem C (Mörs [12]). *Let n, k, i, l be integers with $1 \leq l < k \leq n$, $n/k \leq i \leq \binom{n}{k}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$, $\|\mathcal{F}\| = n$, $|\mathcal{F}| = i$. Then $|\Delta_l(\mathcal{F})| \geq |\Delta_l(\mathcal{F}_0)|$.*

If $n \leq 2k$, the situation is much simpler. In this case, the optimal family \mathcal{F}_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_0 := \text{Colex}(k, i - 1) \cup \{h + 1, \dots, n, 1, 2, \dots, k + h - n\}.$$

Let us show how Conjecture 2 follows from Theorem C (see also [5]). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}| &\geq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1 \\ &= \binom{n-2}{n-a} + \binom{n-3}{n-a-1} + \dots + \binom{n-a-1}{n-2a+1} + \binom{n-a-1}{n-a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Mörs theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}| &\leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-2}{b} - \binom{n-3}{b-1} - \dots - \binom{n-a-1}{b-a+1} - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{b-1} + \binom{n-a-1}{b-a} - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Tools for proofs

In this section, we list several inequalities concerning binomial coefficients (see [2], [3], [10], [11]). These inequalities will be used in later sections.

Lemma 1. *Let $b \geq a$, $a \geq e+3$ and $n \geq a+b$. Then inequality $P(j, n)$ holds for $0 \leq e \leq a-3$ and $0 \leq j \leq e + 1$,*

$$P(j, n) : \binom{n-a+e}{b-1-j} - \binom{n-2a+e}{b-1-j} > \binom{n-a+e}{e+1-j}.$$

Proof. We prove $P(j, n)$ by double induction on j and n . Fix a, b and e .

If $j = e + 1$, then the desired inequality is

$$P(e + 1, n) : \binom{n - a + e}{b - 2 - e} - \binom{n - 2a + e}{b - 2 - e} > 1.$$

Since $b \geq a \geq e + 3$, we have $b - 2 - e \geq 1$. Thus $P(e + 1, n)$ holds for all $n \geq a + b$.

Now fix $0 < j \leq e$ and assume that $P(j, n)$ holds for all $n \geq a + b$. We prove

$$P(j - 1, n) : \binom{n - a + e}{b - j} - \binom{n - 2a + e}{b - j} > \binom{n - a + e}{e + 2 - j}$$

using induction on n .

First we check the case $n = a + b$, that is,

$$P(j - 1, a + b) : \binom{b + e}{b - j} - \binom{b - a + e}{b - j} > \binom{b + e}{e + 2 - j}.$$

The above inequality is trivial if $b - a + e \leq b - j$. So assume $a < e + j$. By the induction hypothesis $P(j, a + b)$, it follows that

$$\binom{b + e}{b - 1 - j} - \binom{b - a + e}{b - 1 - j} > \binom{b + e}{e + 1 - j} = \frac{e + 2 - j}{b - 1 + j} \binom{b + e}{e + 2 - j}.$$

Thus, to prove $P(j - 1, a + b)$, it suffices to show

$$\binom{b + e}{b - j} - \binom{b - a + e}{b - j} > \frac{b - 1 + j}{e + 2 - j} \left(\binom{b + e}{b - 1 - j} - \binom{b - a + e}{b - 1 - j} \right),$$

or, equivalently,

$$\binom{b + e}{b - j} \left(1 - \frac{b - 1 + j}{e + 2 - j} \cdot \frac{b - j}{e + 1 + j} \right) > \binom{b - a + e}{b - j} \left(1 - \frac{b - 1 + j}{e + 2 - j} \cdot \frac{b - j}{e + 1 + j - a} \right).$$

The above inequality clearly holds.

Next we fix n and assume $P(j - 1, n)$. We prove $P(j - 1, n + 1)$. Using the induction hypotheses $P(j - 1, n)$ and $P(j, n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{n + 1 - a + e}{b - j} - \binom{n + 1 - 2a + e}{b - j} \\ &= \left\{ \binom{n - a + e}{b - j} - \binom{n - 2a + e}{b - j} \right\} + \left\{ \binom{n - a + e}{b - j - 1} - \binom{n - 2a + e}{b - j - 1} \right\} \\ &> \binom{n - a + e}{e + 2 - j} + \binom{n - a + e}{e + 1 - j} = \binom{n - a + e + 1}{e + 2 - j} \end{aligned}$$

This proves $P(j - 1, n + 1)$, and by induction $P(j - 1, n)$ holds for all $n \geq a + b$. □

Lemma 2. Let n and a be integers with $n > 2a$, $a > 1$. Define $f(n, a) := \binom{n-1}{a} + \binom{n-2a}{a} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a} - \binom{n-1}{a-1}$. Then we have $f(n, a) > 0$.

Proof. We prove $f(n, a) > 0$ by double induction on n and a . It is easily checked that $f(n, 1) = 0$ and $f(2a, a) = 0$. Fix n and a , and assume $f(n, a) \geq 0$ and $f(n, a - 1) \geq 0$. Using

these assumptions, let us prove $f(n, a + 1) > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(n + 1, a) &= \left\{ \binom{n-1}{a} + \binom{n-2a}{a} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a} - \binom{n-1}{a-1} \right\} + \\ &\quad \left\{ \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2a}{a-1} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-1}{a-2} \right\} \\ &= f(n, a) + f(n, a - 1) + 2\binom{n-a-1}{a-2} - 2\binom{n-2a}{a-2} \left(1 + \frac{a-2}{2(n-3a+3)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show that

$$\frac{(n-a-1) \cdots (n-2a+2)}{(n-2a) \cdots (n-3a+3)} \geq 1 + \frac{a-2}{2(n-3a+3)}.$$

Let us check the above inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \left(1 + \frac{a-1}{n-2a} \right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{a-1}{n-3a+3} \right) \\ &> 1 + \frac{a-1}{n-2a} + \cdots + \frac{a-1}{n-3a+3} > 1 + \frac{a-2}{2(n-3a+3)} = \text{RHS}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $f(n + 1, a) > 0$. □

Lemma 3. *Let n and a be integers with $n > 2a + 1$, $a > 0$. Define $f(n, a) := \binom{n}{a+1} - \binom{n}{a} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a+1} + \binom{n-2a}{a+1} - \binom{n-2a-2}{a}$. Then $f(n, a) > 0$.*

Proof. We prove $f(n, a) > 0$ by double induction on n and a . One can easily check that $f(n, 0) = 0$ and $f(2a + 1, a) = 0$. Fix n and a , and assume that $f(n, a) > 0$ and $f(n, a - 1) > 0$. Using these assumptions, let us prove $f(n + 1, a) > 0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} f(n + 1, a) &= \left\{ \binom{n}{a+1} - \binom{n}{a} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a+1} + \binom{n-2a}{a+1} - \binom{n-2a-2}{a} \right\} \\ &\quad \left\{ \binom{n}{a} - \binom{n}{a-1} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a} + \binom{n-2a}{a} - \binom{n-2a-2}{a-1} \right\} \\ &= f(n, a) + f(n, a - 1) + 2 \left\{ \binom{n-a}{a} - \binom{n-a-1}{a} \right\} + \\ &\quad \left\{ \binom{n-2a}{a} - \binom{n-2a-2}{a} \right\} + \left\{ \binom{n-2a}{a-1} - \binom{n-2a-2}{a-1} \right\} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

For an integer k and a real $x \geq k$, define $\binom{x}{k} := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - i)/k!$.

Lemma 4. *Let s, t and n be integers with $n > s + t$. Define a real valued function $f(x) := -\binom{x}{s} + \binom{x}{n-t}$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *Suppose that $1 + \frac{(n-s-t)v}{s(v-n+t+1)} < \binom{v}{s} / \binom{v}{n-t}$. Then $f'(x) < 0$ holds for all real numbers $x \leq v$.*

(ii) Let u, v be real numbers with $u < v$, and let $w \in \{u, v\}$. Suppose that $f'(u) < 0$ and $f(w) = \max\{f(u), f(v)\}$. Then $f(w) \geq f(x)$ holds for all real numbers x , $u \leq x \leq v$.

Proof. (i) Since $f'(x) = -\binom{x}{s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} + \binom{x}{n-t} \sum_{j=0}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j}$, $f'(x) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) &< \binom{x}{s} / \binom{n}{n-t} \\ &= \frac{(n-t) \cdots (s+1)}{(x-s) \cdots (x-n+t+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

By simple estimation, we have

$$\text{LHS} = 1 + \left(\sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) \leq 1 + \frac{n-t-s}{x-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{x}{s}.$$

Thus, to prove (4.1), it suffices to show that

$$(x-s) \cdots (x-n+t+1) \left(1 + \frac{n-t-s}{x-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{x}{s} \right) < (n-t) \cdots (s+1). \tag{4.2}$$

Since the LHS of (4.2) is increasing with x , it suffices to show (4.2) for $x = v$, that is,

$$1 + \frac{n-t-s}{v-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{v}{s} < \binom{v}{s} / \binom{v}{n-t}.$$

But this was our assumption.

(ii) Suppose on the contrary that $f(w) < f(x)$ holds for some x , $x > u$. Then, we may assume that there exist p, q which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} u &< p < q \leq v, \\ f'(p) &= f'(q) = 0, \\ f(p) &< f(w) < f(q). \end{aligned}$$

If $f'(x) = 0$, it follows that

$$\binom{x}{s} = \binom{x}{n-t} \left\{ 1 + \left(\sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) \right\}.$$

Substituting this into $f(x)$, we define a new function:

$$g(x) := -\binom{x}{n-t} \left(\sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right).$$

Note that $g(x) = f(x)$ holds if $f'(x) = 0$. Thus, $f(w) < g(q)$ must hold. We derive a contradiction by showing that $f(w) \geq g(x)$ or, equivalently,

$$\left\{ \binom{w}{s} - \binom{w}{n-t} \right\} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \leq \binom{x}{n-t} \sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j}$$

holds for all $x \geq p$. We may assume that $\binom{w}{s} - \binom{w}{n-t}$ is nonnegative. Then the LHS is decreasing with x . On the other hand, the RHS is increasing with x . Therefore, it suffices to check the inequality for $x = p$, that is, $f(w) \geq g(p) = f(p)$. But this was our assumption. \square

Lemma 5. *Let a, b and n be integers with $n > a + b$. Define a real valued function $f(y) := -\binom{y}{b-1} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$. Then, the following hold.*

- (i) *If $b \geq a + 3$ then $f(y) < f(n - a - 1)$ holds for $n - a - 1 < y \leq n - 1$.*
- (ii) *If $b = a + e$ then $f(y) < f(n - a - 1)$ holds for $n - a - 1 < y \leq n - 3 + e$, $e = 0, 1, 2$.*

Proof. Set $s := b - 1$ and $t := a + 1$.

(i) Set $v := n - 1$. Then, we have

$$1 + \frac{(n - s - t)v}{s(v - n + t + 1)} = \frac{(n - a - 1)(n - b + 1) - (n - a - b)}{(b - 1)(a + 1)},$$

$$\binom{v}{s} / \binom{v}{n-t} = \frac{(n - a - 1) \cdots (n - b + 1)}{(b - 1) \cdots (a + 1)} \geq \frac{(n - a - 1)(n - b - 1)}{(b - 1)(a + 1)}.$$

Using Lemma 4(i), we have $f'(y) < 0$ for $y \leq n - 1$.

(ii) Set $v := n - 4 + e$. Using Lemma 4(i), one can check $f'(y) < 0$ for $y \leq n - 4 + e$. Next, define $u := n - 4 + e$, $v := n - 3 + e$, $w := u$. Using Lemma 4(ii), one can check $f(y) \leq f(n - 4 + e) = f(n - 3 + e)$ for $n - 4 + e \leq y \leq n - 3 + e$. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $n > a + b$ and consider cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$. Define

$$P(t) := \max\{|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| : |\mathcal{A}| = t, \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ are cross-intersecting and } \mathcal{A} \text{ is nontrivial}\}.$$

Our goal is to show $P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq P(2)$ for $2 \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{a}$.

Define the complement of \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{A}^c := \{[n] - A : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \binom{[n]}{n-a}$, and recall from Section 3 that the b th shadow of \mathcal{A}^c is

$$\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c) := \left\{ F \in \binom{[n]}{b} : F \cap A = \emptyset \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is nontrivial, we have $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{A}^c} F = [n]$. The cross-intersecting property implies $\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c) \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$.

Case 1. $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}$.

In this case, we assume $b \geq a + 1$ instead of $b \geq a + 2$. (We will use this part of the proof for a proof of Theorems 2 and 3 later.) Suppose that $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}^c| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}$ is fixed. Then, in order to maximize $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|$, we have to choose \mathcal{A} so that $|\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)|$ is minimal. (Then

$\mathcal{B} := \binom{[n]}{b} - \Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)$ has the maximal size.) By the Mörs theorem, the optimal family is the following. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{n-a}$ be the first $|\mathcal{A}| - 1$ sets with respect to the colex order. Let $\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{F}} E = \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$ and define $F := \{1, \dots, x - a\} \cup \{x + 1, \dots, n\}$. Finally, the optimal family \mathcal{A} is given by $\mathcal{A}^c = \mathcal{F} \cup \{F\}$. Then we have

$$P(|\mathcal{A}|) = P(|\mathcal{F}| + 1) = |\mathcal{F}| + 1 + \binom{n}{b} - |\Delta_b(\mathcal{F} \cup \{F\})|.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $b \geq a$. For any integer x , $n - a < x \leq n - 2$, we have $P(2) > P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 1)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}^c = \mathcal{F} \cup \{F\}$ and $|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{x}{n-a}$. In this case, $\mathcal{F} = \binom{[x]}{n-a}$ and $F = \{1, \dots, x - a\} \cup \{x + 1, \dots, n\}$ hold. Thus, $|\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)| = \binom{x}{b} + \binom{n-a}{b} - \binom{x-a}{b}$. Therefore, we have

$$P\left(\binom{x}{n-a} + 1\right) = \binom{x}{n-a} + 1 + \binom{n}{b} - \binom{x}{b} - \binom{n-a}{b} + \binom{x-a}{b}.$$

Let $f(x) := \binom{x}{n-a} - \binom{x}{b} + \binom{x-a}{b}$. We want to show $f(x) < f(n - a)$ for $n - a < x \leq n - 2$. Let us define $g(x) := f(x) - f(x + 1)$. It suffices to show $g(n - a + e) > 0$ for $0 \leq e \leq a - 3$. This follows from Lemma 1 by setting $j = 0$. □

Lemma 7. *Let $b \geq a$. For any integer x , $n - a < x \leq n - 2$, we have $P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 1) \geq P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2)$. Equality holds if and only if $x = n - 2$ and $a = b = 2$.*

Proof. We calculated $P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 1)$ in the proof of Lemma 6. Now we consider the case $|\mathcal{A}^c| = \binom{x}{n-a} + 2 = \binom{x}{n-a} + \binom{n-a-1}{n-a-1} + 1$. This time, we have $\mathcal{F} = \binom{[x]}{n-a} \cup \{1, \dots, n - a - 1, x + 1\}$ and $F = \{1, \dots, x - a + 1\} \cup \{x + 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus,

$$P\left(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2\right) = \binom{x}{n-a} + 2 + \binom{n}{b} - \binom{x}{b} - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} - \binom{n-a}{b} + \binom{x-a+1}{b}.$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(\binom{x}{n-a} + 1\right) - P\left(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2\right) = \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} - \binom{x-a}{b-1} - 1 \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 8. *Let $b \geq a + 1$, and let x be an integer with $n - a \leq x \leq n - 2$. If $\binom{x}{n-a} + 2 \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{x+1}{n-a}$ then $P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2) \geq P(|\mathcal{A}|)$. Equality holds if and only if $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{x}{n-a} + 2$.*

Proof. Choose a real y , $n - a - 1 \leq y < x$, so that $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{x}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1} + 1$. In this case, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^c = \mathcal{F} \cup \{F\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &\subset \binom{[x]}{n-a} \cup \left\{ G \cup \{x + 1\} : G \in \binom{[[y]]}{n-a-1} \right\}, \\ F &= \{1, \dots, x - a + 1\} \cup \{x + 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Kruskal–Katona theorem ([7], [8], [9]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|\mathcal{A}|) &= |\mathcal{A}| + \binom{n}{b} - |\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)| \\
 &\leq \binom{x}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1} + 1 + \\
 &\quad \binom{n}{b} - \binom{x}{b} - \binom{y}{b-1} - \binom{n-a}{b} + \binom{x-a+1}{b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now define a real valued function $f(y) := -\binom{y}{b-1} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$ for $n-a-1 \leq y < n-2$. By Lemma 5, we have $f(y) \leq f(n-a-1)$, that is, $P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2)$. Equality holds if and only if $y = n-a-1$, that is, $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{x}{n-a} + 2$. \square

By Lemmas 6, 7 and 8, we have $P(2) \geq P(|\mathcal{A}|)$ for $2 < |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}$. Equality holds only if $a = b = 2$. Since we have assumed $b \geq a + 1$, we obtain $P(2) > P(|\mathcal{A}|)$.

Case 2. $|\mathcal{A}| > \binom{n-1}{a-1}$.

By the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem ([1]), \mathcal{A} is nontrivial no matter how we choose \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}^c| > \binom{n-1}{a-1}$ is fixed. Then, to maximize $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|$, we have to choose \mathcal{A} so that $|\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)|$ is minimal. By the Kruskal–Katona theorem, we may assume that \mathcal{A}^c is the first $|\mathcal{A}^c|$ sets with respect to the colex order. Choose a real y , $n-a-1 \leq y \leq n-1$, so that $|\mathcal{A}^c| = \binom{n-1}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|\mathcal{A}|) &= |\mathcal{A}| + \binom{n}{b} - |\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)| \\
 &\leq \binom{n-1}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1} + \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-1}{b} - \binom{y}{b-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us define a real valued function $f(y) := -\binom{y}{b-1} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$ for $n-a-1 \leq y \leq n-1$. Then, by our assumption $b \geq a + 2$ and Lemma 5, we have $f(y) \leq f(n-a-1)$. Thus,

$$P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq P\left(\binom{n-1}{a-1} + 1\right) = P\left(\binom{n-1}{a-1}\right) + 1 - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} < P(2).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. We leave some of the computations in the proof of Theorem 2 to the reader. We use the same definitions and notation as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2 (i)

Case 1. $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}$.

The proof of this case is exactly same as the proof of Theorem 1.

Case 2. $\binom{n-1}{a-1} < |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2}{a-1}$.

Choose a real y , $n - a - 1 \leq y \leq n - 2$, so that $|\mathcal{A}^c| = \binom{n-1}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\mathcal{A}|) &= |\mathcal{A}| + \binom{n}{b} - |\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)| \\ &\leq \binom{n-1}{n-a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1} + \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-1}{b} - \binom{y}{b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define a real valued function $f(y) := -\binom{y}{b-1} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$ for $n - a - 1 \leq y \leq n - 2$. Then, by Lemma 5, we have $f(y) \leq f(n - a - 1)$. Thus,

$$P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq P\left(\binom{n-1}{a-1} + 1\right) = P\left(\binom{n-1}{a-1}\right) + 1 - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} < P(2). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2 (ii)

Let us settle the case $a = b = 2$ first. In this case, it is not difficult to check that $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq 6 = |\mathcal{A}_0| + |\mathcal{B}_0|$ by hand. Equality holds if and only if $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\} \cong \{\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{B}_0\}$ or $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 23\}$ or $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\} \cong \{\{12, 23, 34\}, \{13, 23, 24\}\}$.

From now on, we assume $a = b \geq 3$.

Case 1. $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-2}{a-2} + \binom{n-3}{a-2}$.

We follow the proof of Theorem 1. This time, Lemmas 6 and 7 are still valid. Instead of Lemma 8, we use the following.

Lemma 9. *Let x be any integer with $n - a \leq x \leq n - 3$. If $\binom{x}{n-a} + 2 \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{x+1}{n-a}$ then $P(\binom{x}{n-a} + 2) \geq P(|\mathcal{A}|)$ \square*

We can prove the above lemma in exactly the same way as in the proof of Lemma 8. Now using Lemmas 6, 7, 9, it follows that $P(2) < P(|\mathcal{A}|)$ for $2 < |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-2}{a-2} + \binom{n-3}{a-2}$.

Case 2. $\binom{n-2}{a-2} + \binom{n-3}{a-2} < |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-2}{a-2} + \dots + \binom{n-a-1}{a-2} + 1 = \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$.

For an integer x , $2 \leq x \leq a + 1$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &:= \binom{n-2}{a-2} + \dots + \binom{n-x}{a-2} + 1, \\ h(x) &:= \binom{n}{a} - \binom{n-2}{n-a-2} - \dots - \binom{n-x}{n-a-2} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $|\mathcal{A}| = g(x)$ then, by the Kruskal–Katona theorem, we have $|\mathcal{B}| \leq h(x)$. Note also that $h(a + 1) = \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1 = g(a + 1)$. Thus, if $|\mathcal{A}| \geq g(a + 1)$ then $|\mathcal{B}| \leq g(a + 1)$.

Lemma 10. *For any integer x , $2 \leq x \leq a + 1$, we have $P(2) > P(g(x))$.*

Proof. Using the result of Case 1, we have $P(2) > P(g(2))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} P(g(x)) - P(g(x-1)) &= g(x) + h(x) - g(x-1) - h(x-1) \\ &= \binom{n-x}{a-2} - \binom{n-x}{a-x+2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$P(g(2)) \geq P(g(3)) = P(g(4)) \leq P(g(5)) \leq \dots \leq P(g(a+1)).$$

Thus, it suffices to show $P(2) > P(g(a+1))$. Note that

$$g(a+1) = h(a+1) = \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1,$$

and

$$P(a+1) = 2g(a+1) = 2\binom{n-1}{a-1} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 2.$$

Therefore, the desired inequality $P(2) > P(g(a+1))$ is equivalent to

$$\binom{n-1}{a} + \binom{n-2a}{a} - 2\binom{n-a-1}{a} - \binom{n-1}{a-1} > 0.$$

The above inequality follows from Lemma 2. □

Lemma 11. For any integer x , $2 \leq x \leq a$, we have $P(g(x)) > P(g(x) + 1)$.

Proof. If $|\mathcal{A}| = g(x) + 1 = g(x) + \binom{n-x-a+1}{n-x-a+1}$, then by the Mörs theorem, we have $|\mathcal{B}| \leq h(x) - \binom{n-x-a+1}{a-x+1}$. Thus, $P(g(x)) > P(g(x) + 1)$ is equivalent to $\binom{n-x-a+1}{a-x+1} > 1$. This follows from our assumption $n > 2a$. □

Lemma 12. Let x be an integer with $2 \leq x \leq a$. If $g(x) + 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq g(x+1)$ then $P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq \max\{P(g(x) + 1), P(g(x+1))\}$.

Proof. Choose a real y , $n-x-a+1 \leq y \leq n-x-1$, so that $|\mathcal{A}| = g(x) + \binom{y}{n-x-a+1}$. (Note that if $y = n-x-1$ then $|\mathcal{A}| = g(x+1)$.) Using the Kruskal–Katona theorem, we have $|\mathcal{B}| \leq h(x) - \binom{y}{a-x+1}$. Now define a real valued function $f(y) := -\binom{y}{a-x+1} + \binom{y}{n-x-a+1}$ for $n-x-a+1 \leq y \leq n-x-1$. Our goal is to show $f(y) \leq \max\{f(n-x-a+1), f(n-x-1)\}$.

First we settle the case $x = a$. In this case, we have $f(y) = -\binom{y}{1} + \binom{y}{n-2a+1}$. Since $n > 2a$, $f(y)$ is an increasing function. Thus, $f(y) \leq f(n-a-1)$ holds.

From now on, we assume $x < a$. Set $s := a-x+1$, $t := x+a-1$, and $v := n-2x$. Using Lemma 4(i), one can check that $f'(y) < 0$ holds for $y \leq n-2x$. Thus, we have $f'(n-x-a+1) < 0$. Therefore, $f(y) \leq \max\{f(n-x-a+1), f(n-x-1)\}$ follows from Lemma 4(ii). □

By Lemmas 10, 11, 12, we have

$$P(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq \max\{P(g(2)), P(g(a+1))\} < P(2). \quad \square$$

7. Proof of Theorem 3

Recall that $P(|\mathcal{A}|) = \max\{|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|\}$ (see Section 5). If $b \geq a + 2$, then the theorem follows from Theorem 1.

Case 1. $b = a + 1$.

If $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2}{a-1}$ then the desired inequality ($P(2) > P(|\mathcal{A}|)$ for $2 < |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-2}{a-1}$) follows from Theorem 2. So we may assume $|\mathcal{A}^c| > \binom{n-1}{n-a} + \binom{n-2}{n-a-1}$. Then, by the Kruskal–Katona theorem, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{b} - |\Delta_b(\mathcal{A}^c)| \leq \binom{n}{a+1} - \binom{n-1}{a+1} - \binom{n-2}{a} = \binom{n-2}{n-(a+1)}.$$

Define

$$Q(t) := \max\{|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| : |\mathcal{B}| = t, \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ are cross-intersecting and } \mathcal{B} \text{ is nontrivial}\}.$$

Let $|\mathcal{B}^c| = \binom{y}{n-(a+1)} + 1$ for $n-a-1 \leq y < n-2$. Then we have $Q(|\mathcal{B}|) \leq f(y) + (\text{constant})$, where $f(y) := -\binom{y}{a} + \binom{y}{n-a-1}$. Using Lemma 5, one can check that $f(y) < f(n-a-1)$ for $n-a-1 < y < n-2$, that is, $Q(2) > Q(|\mathcal{B}|)$ for $2 < |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n-2}{n-(a+1)}$. Using Lemma 3, we have $P(2) > Q(2)$. This completes the proof of this case.

Case 2. $b = a$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq |\mathcal{B}|$. If $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$ then $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$ (see the computation in the proof of Theorem 2(ii), Case 2). Thus we may assume that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} - \binom{n-a-1}{a-1} + 1$. Then the result follows from Theorem 2. \square

References

- [1] Erdős, P., Ko, C. and Rado, R. (1961) Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **12** 313–320.
- [2] Frankl, P. and Tokushige, N. (1991) The Kruskal–Katona theorem, some of its analogues and applications. *Conference on extremal problems for finite sets, Visegrád, Hungary*, pp. 92–108.
- [3] Frankl, P. and Tokushige, N. (1992) Some best possible inequalities concerning cross-intersecting families. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **61** 87–97.
- [4] Füredi, Z. (1995) Cross-intersecting families of finite sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **72** 332–339.
- [5] Füredi, Z. and Griggs, J. R. (1986) Families of finite sets with minimum shadows. *Combinatorica* **6** 335–354.
- [6] Hilton, A. J. W. and Milner, E. C. (1967) Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **18** 369–384.
- [7] Katona, G. O. H. (1968) A theorem on finite sets. In *Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq. Thihany, 1966*, Akadémiai Kiadó, pp. 187–207.
- [8] Kruskal, J. B. (1963) The number of simplices in a complex. In *Math. Opt. Techniques*, Univ. of Calif. Press, pp. 251–278.
- [9] Lovász, L. (1979) Problem 13.31. In *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, North Holland.
- [10] Matsumoto, M. and Tokushige, N. (1989) The exact bound in the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for cross-intersecting families. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **22** 90–97.
- [11] Matsumoto, M. and Tokushige, N. (1989) A generalization of the Katona theorem for cross-intersecting families. *Graphs and Combinatorics* **5** 159–171.

- [12] Mörs, M. (1985) A generalization of a theorem of Kruskal. *Graphs and Combinatorics* **1** 167–183.
- [13] Pyber, L. (1986) A new generalization of the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **43** 85–90.
- [14] Simpson, J. E. (1993) A bipartite Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem. *Discrete Math.* **113** 277–280.