

# Some Best Possible Inequalities Concerning Cross-Intersecting Families

PETER FRANKL

*C.N.R.S., Paris, France*

AND

NORIHIDE TOKUSHIGE

*Meiji Univ., Kanagawa, Japan*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received July 30, 1990

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-empty family of  $a$ -subsets of an  $n$ -element set and  $\mathcal{B}$  a non-empty family of  $b$ -subsets satisfying  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $n \geq a + b$ ,  $b \geq a$ . It is proved that in this case  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-a}{b} + 1$  holds. Various extensions of this result are proved. Two new proofs of the Hilton–Milner theorem on non-trivial intersection families are given as well. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be an  $n$ -element set. For an integer  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we denote by  $\binom{X}{k}$  the set of all  $k$ -element subsets of  $X$ . A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  is called intersecting if  $F \cap F' \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . One of the best known results in extremal set theory is the following.

**THEOREM [EKR].** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  be an intersecting family with  $n = |X| \geq 2k$ . Then,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .*

Two families  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{X}{a}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{X}{b}$  are said to be cross-intersecting if and only if  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  holds for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Recall the following result of Hilton and Milner.

**THEOREM A [HM].** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{X}{a}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{X}{a}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting families with  $n = |X| \geq 2a$ . Then,  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{a} - \binom{n-a}{a} + 1$ .*

Recently, Simpson [S] rediscovered this theorem. In this paper, we generalize the above result in various ways. Probably the following is the most natural extension of Theorem A.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{X}{a}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{X}{b}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting families with  $n = |X| \geq a + b$ ,  $a \leq b$ . Then the following hold:*

(i)  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-a}{b-a} + 1.$

(ii) *If  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \binom{n-1}{n-a}$ , then*

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{n}{a} - \binom{n-a}{a} + 1 & \text{if } a = b \geq 2 \\ \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-1}{b-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Putting restrictions on the size of  $\mathcal{A}$  we can obtain stronger bounds.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{X}{a}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{X}{b}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting families with  $n = |X| \geq a + b$ ,  $a \leq b$ . Suppose that  $\binom{n-\alpha}{n-a} \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{n-a}$  holds for some real number  $\alpha$  with  $n-a \leq \alpha \leq n-1$ . Then the following holds:*

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{n}{b} - \binom{\alpha}{b} + \binom{\alpha}{n-a} & \text{if } a < b \text{ or } \alpha \leq n-2 \\ 2 \binom{n-1}{a-1} & \text{if } a = b \text{ and } \alpha \geq n-2. \end{cases}$$

The next result is of similar flavor, and it will be used for one of the new proofs for the Hilton–Milner theorem (see Section 4).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{Y}{a}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{Y}{a-1}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting families with  $m = |Y| \geq 2a - 1$ . Suppose that  $|\mathcal{A}| < \binom{m-1}{a-1}$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{m-1}{a-1} - \binom{m-a}{a-1} + 1.$*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove the theorem, we start with an easy inequality.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $n$  be integers. Suppose that  $n \geq a + b$  and  $a \leq b$ . Then, it follows that*

$$\binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-1}{b-1} \leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-a}{b} + 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$\binom{n-1}{n-a} - \binom{n-1}{b} \leq \binom{n-a}{n-a} - \binom{n-a}{b}.$$

*Proof.* To prove the above inequality, it suffices to show that

$$\binom{x}{n-a} - \binom{x}{b} \leq \binom{x-1}{n-a} - \binom{x-1}{b}$$

holds for all real numbers  $x$ ,  $n-a+1 \leq x \leq n-1$ . This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{x-1}{n-a-1} &\leq \binom{x-1}{b-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow (x-b) \cdot \dots \cdot (x-n+a+1) &\leq (n-a-1) \cdot \dots \cdot b \\ \Leftrightarrow x-b \leq n-a-1 &\Leftrightarrow x \leq n-1 + (b-a). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality follows from  $x \leq n-1$  and  $a \leq b$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We prove the theorem by induction on  $n$ . Since the theorem clearly holds for  $n = a + b$ , we assume that  $n > a + b$ . Further, by the Kruskal–Katona theorem [Kr, Ka1], we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}^c := \{X - A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is the collection of the smallest  $|\mathcal{A}|$  sets in  $\binom{X}{n-a}$  with respect to the colex order (see Appendix). Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(n) &:= \{A - \{n\} : n \in A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \binom{X - \{n\}}{a-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}(\bar{n}) &:= \{A : n \notin A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \binom{X - \{n\}}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{n})$  in the same way.

*Proof of (i).* Since the RHS of the inequality in (ii) does not exceed the RHS of that of (i) we may suppose that  $|\mathcal{A}| < \binom{n-1}{a-1}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{n}) = \emptyset$ .

*Case 1.*  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{n}) \neq \emptyset$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(n)| + |\mathcal{B}(\bar{n})| \leq \binom{n-1}{b} - \binom{(n-1)-(a-1)}{b} + 1.$$

This, together with  $|\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n-1}{b-1}$ , gives

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}(n)| + |\mathcal{B}(\bar{n})| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{n-a}{b} + 1.$$

Case 2.  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{n}) = \emptyset$ . In this case, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}(n)| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-1}{b-1}.$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain the desired inequality.

*Proof of (ii).* Since the theorem holds if  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{n-1}{a-1}$ , we assume that  $|\mathcal{A}(n)| = \binom{n-1}{a-1}$  and  $|\mathcal{A}(\bar{n})| > 0$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{A}(n)| = \binom{n-1}{a-1}$  implies  $|\mathcal{B}(\bar{n})| = 0$ .

Case 1.  $a < b$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(\bar{n})| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n-1}{b-1} - \binom{(n-1)-a}{b-1} + 1.$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| &= |\mathcal{A}(n)| + |\mathcal{A}(\bar{n})| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \\ &\leq \left\{ \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-1}{b-1} \right\} + \left\{ 1 - \binom{n-a-1}{b-1} \right\} \\ &< \binom{n-1}{a-1} + \binom{n-1}{b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain the desired inequality.

Case 2.  $a = b$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(\bar{n})| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n-1}{a} - \binom{(n-1)-(a-1)}{a} + 1.$$

This, together with  $|\mathcal{A}(n)| = \binom{n-1}{a-1}$ , gives

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}(n)| + |\mathcal{A}(\bar{n})| + |\mathcal{B}(n)| \leq \binom{n}{a} - \binom{n-a}{a} + 1.$$

This completes the proof of (ii).  $\blacksquare$

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 2 AND THEOREM 3

In this section, we use Lovász' version of the Kruskal–Katona theorem, and so we need the following technical lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $s, t$ , and  $n$  be integers with  $n > s + t$ . Define a real valued function  $f(x) := \binom{n}{s} - \binom{x}{s} + \binom{x}{n-t}$ . Then, the following hold:*

(i) Suppose that  $1 + (n - s - t)v/s(v - n + t + 1) < \binom{v}{s} / \binom{v}{n-t}$ , then  $f'(x) < 0$  holds for all real numbers  $x \leq v$ .

(ii) Let  $u, v$  be real numbers with  $u < v, u < n - t + s$ . Suppose that  $f'(u) < 0$  and  $f(u) \geq f(v)$ , then  $f(u) \geq f(x)$  holds for all real numbers  $x, u \leq x \leq v$ .

*Proof.* Proof of (i). Since

$$f'(x) = -\binom{x}{s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} + \binom{x}{n-t} \sum_{j=0}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j},$$

the inequality  $f'(x) < 0$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) &< \binom{x}{s} / \binom{x}{n-t} \\ &= \frac{(n-t) \cdot \dots \cdot (s+1)}{(x-s) \cdot \dots \cdot (x-n+t+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

By simple estimation, we have

$$\text{LHS} = 1 + \left( \sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) \leq 1 + \frac{n-t-s}{x-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{x}{s}.$$

Thus, to prove (1), it suffices to show that

$$(x-s) \cdot \dots \cdot (x-n+t+1) \left( 1 + \frac{n-t-s}{x-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{x}{s} \right) < (n-t) \cdot \dots \cdot (s+1). \tag{2}$$

Since the LHS of (2) is increasing with  $x$ , it suffices to show (2) for  $x = v$ , that is,

$$1 + \frac{n-t-s}{v-n+t+1} \cdot \frac{v}{s} < \binom{v}{s} / \binom{v}{n-t}.$$

This was exactly our assumption.

*Proof of (ii).* Suppose on the contrary that  $f(u) < f(x)$  holds for some  $x, x > u$ . Then, we may assume that there exist  $p, q$  which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} u &< p < q \leq v, \\ f'(p) &= f'(q) = 0, \\ f(p) &< f(u) < f(q). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f'(x) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\binom{x}{s} = \binom{x}{n-t} \left\{ 1 + \left( \sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) \right\}.$$

Substituting this into  $f(x)$ , we define a new function:

$$g(x) := \binom{n}{s} - \binom{x}{n-t} \left( \sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right) / \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \right).$$

Note that  $g(x) = f(x)$  holds if  $f'(x) = 0$ . Thus,  $f(u) < g(q)$  must hold. We derive a contradiction by showing that  $f(u) \geq g(x)$ , or equivalently,

$$\left\{ \binom{u}{s} - \binom{u}{n-t} \right\} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{x-j} \leq \binom{x}{n-t} \sum_{j=s}^{n-t-1} \frac{1}{x-j}$$

holds for all  $x \geq p$ . Since  $u < n - t + s$ ,  $\binom{u}{s} - \binom{u}{n-t}$  is positive, and so the LHS is decreasing with  $x$ . On the other hand, the RHS is increasing with  $x$ . Therefore, it suffices to check the inequality for  $x = p$ , that is  $f(u) \geq g(p) = f(p)$ . This was our assumption. ■

Using the above lemma, we prove Theorem 2, which contains Theorem 1 (i).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since the theorem holds for  $n = a + b$ , we assume that  $n > a + b$ . Let  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{x}{n-a}$ ,  $n - a \leq \alpha \leq x \leq n - 1$ . Then, by the Kruskal–Katona theorem we have  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{b} - \binom{x}{b}$ . Define  $f(x) := \binom{n}{b} - \binom{x}{b} + \binom{x}{n-a}$ .

*Case 1.*  $a < b$ . In this case, we prove that  $f'(x) < 0$  holds for  $n - a \leq x \leq n - 1$ . By Lemma 2 (i), it suffices to show that

$$1 + \frac{(n-a-b)(n-1)}{ba} < \binom{n-1}{b} / \binom{n-1}{n-a}. \tag{1}$$

This holds for  $n = a + b + 1$ . So we may assume that  $n \geq a + b + 2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \frac{(n-a) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-b)}{b \cdot \dots \cdot a} \\ &= \frac{(n-a)(n-a-1)}{a(a+1)} \cdot \frac{(n-a-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-b)}{b \cdot \dots \cdot (a+2)} \\ &\geq \frac{(n-a)(n-a-1)}{a(a+1)}, \\ \text{LHS} &= 1 + \frac{n-a-(a+1)}{a+1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (1), it suffices to show that

$$1 + \frac{(n-2a-1)(n-1)}{a(a+1)} < \frac{(n-a)(n-a-1)}{a(a+1)},$$

or equivalently,  $n > 2a + 1$ , and this was our assumption.

Case 2.  $a = b$ .

Subcase 2.1.  $\alpha \leq n - 2$ . In this case, we prove that  $f'(x) < 0$  holds for  $n - a \leq x \leq n - 2$ . By Lemma 2 (i), it suffices to show that

$$1 + \frac{(n-2a)(n-2)}{a(a-1)} < \binom{n-2}{a} / \binom{n-2}{n-a}. \tag{2}$$

This holds for  $n = 2a + 1$ . So we assume that  $n \geq 2a + 2$ . Then,

$$(2) \leftrightarrow 1 + \frac{(n-2a)(n-2)}{a(a-1)} < \frac{(n-a)(n-a-1)}{a(a-1)} \leftrightarrow n > 2a.$$

This was our assumption.

Subcase 2.2.  $\alpha \geq n - 2$ . Note that  $f(n-2) = f(n-1) = 2\binom{n-1}{a-1}$ . So by Lemma 2 (ii),  $f(x) < 2\binom{n-1}{a-1}$  holds for  $n-2 < x \leq n-1$ . ■

Next we prove Theorem 3, which will be used to prove the Hilton–Milner theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Since the theorem clearly holds for  $m = 2a - 1$ , we assume that  $m \geq 2a$ . We distinguish two cases according to the size of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Case 1.  $1 \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{m-2}{m-a}$ . Let  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{x}{m-a}$ ,  $m-a \leq x \leq m-2$ . Then, by the Kruskal–Katona theorem we have  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{m}{a-1} - \binom{x}{a-1}$ . Define  $f(x) := \binom{m}{a-1} - \binom{x}{a-1} + \binom{x}{m-a}$ . First we prove that  $f'(x) < 0$  holds for all  $x$ ,  $m-a \leq x \leq m-3$ . By Lemma 2(i), it suffices to show that

$$1 + \frac{(m-2a+1)(m-3)}{(a-1)(a-2)} < \binom{m-3}{a-1} / \binom{m-3}{m-a}.$$

This holds for  $m = 2a$ , and if  $m > 2a$  this is equivalent to  $m > 2a - 1$  which is our assumption.

Next, we prove that  $f(m-2) \geq f(x)$  holds for all  $x$ ,  $m-3 \leq x \leq m-2$ . By Lemma 2 (i), it suffices to show that  $f(m-a) \geq f(m-2)$ , or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{(m-1)-1}{(m-1)-(a-1)} - \binom{(m-1)-1}{a-1} \\ & \leq \binom{(m-1)-(a-1)}{(m-1)-(a-1)} - \binom{(m-1)-(a-1)}{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from Lemma 1.

*Case 2.*  $|\mathcal{A}| > \binom{m-2}{m-a}$ . In this case, we have  $|\mathcal{B}| < \binom{m}{a-1} - \binom{m-2}{a-1} = \binom{m-1}{m-(a-1)} + \binom{m-2}{m-(a-1)-1}$ . Let  $|\mathcal{B}| = \binom{m-1}{m-(a-1)} + \binom{m-2}{m-(a-1)-1}$ ,  $m-a \leq x < m-2$ . Then, by the Kruskal-Katona theorem, we have  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{m}{a} - \binom{m-1}{a-x} - \binom{m-1}{a-1} = \binom{m-1}{a-x} + \binom{m-1}{a-1} - \binom{m-1}{a-1} = \binom{m-1}{a-x} = \binom{m-1}{m-a}$ . By arguments in Case 1,  $f(x) \leq f(m-a)$  holds for all  $x$ ,  $m-a \leq x \leq m-2$ . ■

#### 4. APPLICATION

Using results of earlier sections, we give two new proofs of the Hilton-Milner theorem. Let us mention that other short proofs were given in [FF, M]. Recall that an intersecting family  $\mathcal{F}$  is called non-trivial if  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$  holds.

**THEOREM [HM].** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  be a non-trivial intersecting family with  $n = |X| \geq 2k$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 1$ .*

*Proof I.* Suppose that  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is maximal with respect to the conditions. First we deal with an important special case. Suppose that there exists  $A := \{a, b\} \in \binom{X}{2}$  such that  $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$  holds for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . By the maximality of  $|\mathcal{F}|$ ,  $\{G : A \subset G \in \binom{X}{2}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  holds. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} & := \{F - \{a\} : F \in \mathcal{F}, F \cap A = \{a\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B} & := \{F - \{b\} : F \in \mathcal{F}, F \cap A = \{b\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are cross-intersecting families on  $X - A$ . By Theorem A,

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq 1 + \binom{n-2}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 1 + \binom{n-2}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-2}{k-2} = 1 + \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1},$$

as desired.



Next consider the case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is shifted (see Appendix). Note that  $\{2, 3, \dots, k+1\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \{F - \{1\} : F \cap \{1, 2\} = \{1\}, F \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \{F - \{2\} : F \cap \{1, 2\} = \{2\}, F \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &:= \{F - \{1, 2\} : \{1, 2\} \subset F \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\ \mathcal{D} &:= \{F - \{1, 2\} : \{1, 2\} \cap F = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem A and  $\{3, 4, \dots, k+1\} \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq 1 + \binom{n-2}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$  holds.

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  are cross-intersecting and  $\mathcal{D}$  is 2-intersecting. Thus,  $\mathcal{D}^c := \{X - D : D \in \mathcal{D}\} \subset \binom{X - \{1, 2\}}{n-k-2}$  is  $(n-2) - (2k-2) = (n-2k)$ -intersecting. By the Intersecting Kruskal-Katona theorem (cf. [Ka2]),  $|\mathcal{S} := \sigma_{k-2}(\mathcal{D}^c)| \geq |\mathcal{D}^c| = |\mathcal{D}|$  (see Appendix) and by the cross-intersecting property  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{C}| + |\mathcal{D}| \leq |\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{C}| \leq \left| \binom{X - \{1, 2\}}{k-2} \right| = \binom{n-2}{k-2}.$$

Again, we obtain  $|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{C}| + |\mathcal{D}| \leq 1 + \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$ .

Now to the general case. Apply repeatedly to  $\mathcal{F}$  the shift operator (see Appendix)  $S_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Either we obtain a shifted non-trivial intersecting family of the same size (and we are done by the second case) or at some point the family stops to be non-trivial. That is for some  $\mathcal{G} \subset \binom{X}{k}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  non-trivial intersecting,  $|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{G}|$  we have that  $\bigcap_{H \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{G})} H \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, clearly  $\{i\} = \bigcap_{H \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{G})} H$  and consequently  $\{i, j\} \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus we are done by the first special case. ■

*Proof II.* Since the theorem clearly holds for  $n = 2k$ , we assume that  $n \geq 2k + 1$ . We may assume that  $n \in F \in \mathcal{F}$  holds for some  $F$ . Let us define  $Y := X - \{n\}$ ,  $m := |Y|$ ,  $a := k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \{F : n \notin F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \binom{Y}{a}, \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \{F - \{n\} : n \in F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \binom{Y}{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are non-empty cross-intersecting families. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is intersecting itself,  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{m-1}{a-1}$  holds. First suppose that  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{m-1}{a-1}$ . If  $m = 2a$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| = \frac{1}{2} \binom{m}{a}$ . Hence for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and for all  $y \in Y - B$ ,  $B \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{A}$

holds. Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}$  is also intersecting, and so we may that  $m \in B$  holds for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-trivial, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m \notin A$ . So,

$$|\mathcal{B}| \leq \left| \binom{Y - \{m\}}{a-2} - \binom{Y - A}{a-1} \right| = \binom{m-1}{a-2} - \binom{m-a}{a-1}.$$

This implies that  $|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{m}{a-1} - \binom{m-a}{a-1} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$ . If  $m > 2a$ , then we may assume that  $m \in A$  holds for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \binom{Y}{a} : m \in A\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-trivial, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $m \notin B$ . But, for all  $A_0 \in \binom{Y - (B \cup \{m\})}{a-1}$ ,  $A := A_0 \cup \{m\} \in \mathcal{A}$  must hold, a contradiction.

Next suppose that  $|\mathcal{A}| < \binom{m-1}{a-1}$ . Then by Theorem 3, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{m}{a-1} - \binom{m-a}{a-1} + 1 = \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{a-1} + 1,$$

as desired. ■

### APPENDIX

Let  $n, k$  be integers and let  $X$  be an  $n$ -element set. We define the colex order  $<$  on  $\binom{X}{k}$  by setting  $A < B$  if  $\max\{i : i \in A - B\} < \max\{i : i \in B - A\}$ . The shift operator  $S_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , on  $\binom{X}{k}$  is defined as follows: Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$ . For  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$s_{ij}(F) := \begin{cases} (F - \{j\}) \cup \{i\} & \text{if } i \notin F, j \in F, \text{ and } (F - \{j\}) \cup \{i\} \notin \mathcal{F} \\ F & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $S_{ij}(\mathcal{F}) := \{s_{ij}(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . It is easily checked that (i)  $|S_{ij}(\mathcal{F})| = |\mathcal{F}|$  and (ii)  $S_{ij}(\mathcal{F})$  is intersecting if  $\mathcal{F}$  is intersecting. A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  is called shifted if  $S_{ij}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$  holds for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . For a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  and an integer  $l \leq k$ , we define the  $l$ th shadow of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\sigma_l(\mathcal{F}) := \{G \in \binom{X}{l} : G \subset \exists F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to J. E. Simpson for calling their attention to Theorem A, which initiated this research.

## REFERENCES

- [EKR] P. ERDŐS, C. KO, AND R. RADO, Intersection theorems for system of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford. Ser. (2)* **12** (1961), 313–320.
- [FF] P. FRANKL AND Z. FÜREDI, Non-trivial intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **41** (1986), 150–153.
- [HM] A. J. W. HILTON AND E. C. MILNER, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **18** (1967), 369–384.
- [Ka1] G. O. H. KATONA, A theorem of finite sets, “Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq. Tihany, 1966,” pp. 187–207, Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1968.
- [Ka2] G. O. H. KATONA, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **15** (1964), 329–337.
- [Kr] J. B. KRUSKAL, The number of simplices in a complex, “Math. Optimization Techniques,” pp. 251–278, Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley, 1963.
- [M] M. MÖRS, A generalization of a theorem of Kruskal, *Graphs Combin.* **1** (1985), 167–183.
- [S] J. E. SIMPSON, A bipartite Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, manuscript, 1989.