Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

Some recent results on Ramsey-type numbers

Andrzej Dudek^{a,*}, Peter Frankl^b, Vojtěch Rödl^c

^a Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States

^b Peter Frankl Office, Ltd., 3-12-25 Shibuya, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150-0002, Japan

^c Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 April 2009 Received in revised form 9 September 2010 Accepted 17 September 2010 Available online 8 October 2010

Keywords: Ramsey-type numbers Folkman numbers

ABSTRACT

In this paper we survey the authors' recent results on quantitative extensions of Ramsey theory. In particular, we discuss our recent results on Folkman numbers, induced bipartite Ramsey graphs, and explicit constructions of Ramsey graphs.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ramsey theory can be loosely described as the study of structure which is preserved under finite decomposition. Its underlying philosophy is captured succinctly by the statement "Complete disorder is impossible". Since the publication of the seminal paper of Ramsey [31] in 1930, the subject has grown with increasing vitality. For more information about Ramsey theory, see *e.g.*, [21,22].

Ramsey Theorem ([31]). Let a_1, \ldots, a_r and l be given. Then, there exists an integer n with the property that if the l-subsets of an n-set are colored with r colors, say c_1, \ldots, c_r , then for some i, $1 \le i \le r$, there is an a_i -set, all of whose l-subsets have color c_i .

In particular, Ramsey's theorem implies that for every integer k and r there exists an n such that any r-coloring of the edges of K_n yields a monochromatic clique K_k (the smallest such n is called a *Ramsey number*). In Sections 1.1 and 1.2 we discuss some extensions of this statement. In Section 1.3 we give constructive bounds on off-diagonal Ramsey numbers. In Section 1.4 we present a generalization of induced Ramsey-type problems.

1.1. Edge Folkman numbers

For k < l, let $\mathcal{F}_e(r, k, l)$ be the family of K_l -free graphs with the property that if $G \in \mathcal{F}_e(r, k, l)$, then every *r*-coloring of the edges of *G* yields a monochromatic copy of K_k . Folkman [15] showed that $\mathcal{F}_e(2, k, l) \neq \emptyset$ for any k < l. The general case, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{F}_e(r, k, l) \neq \emptyset$, $r \ge 2$, was settled positively by Nešetřil and Rödl [29]. Let

 $f_e(r, k, l) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \in \mathcal{F}_e(r, k, l)\}$

be an *edge Folkman number*. The problem of determining the numbers $f_e(r, k, l)$, which in general includes the classical Ramsey numbers, is not easy.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 412 268 6380.



E-mail addresses: adudek@andrew.cmu.edu (A. Dudek), Peter111F@aol.com (P. Frankl), rodl@mathcs.emory.edu (V. Rödl).

⁰¹⁶⁶⁻²¹⁸X/\$ – see front matter 0 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2010.09.005

Here we focus on the case where r = 2 and k = 3. We will write $G \rightarrow K_3$ and say that G arrows the triangle if every 2-coloring of G yields a monochromatic copy of K_3 . Since $K_6 \rightarrow K_3$, clearly $f_e(2, 3, l) = 6$, for l > 6. The value of $f_e(2, 3, 6) = 8$ was determined by Graham [20]. Piwakowski et al. [30] showed with a computer-assisted proof that $f_e(2, 3, 5) = 15$. The remaining case, bounding on $f_e(2, 3, 4)$, seems to be harder. Already the proof of $f_e(2, 3, 4) < \infty$ was not easy. The bounds from [15,29] are extremely large (iterated tower), *i.e.*,

Consequently, in 1975, Erdős [13] offered \$100 for proving or disproving that $f_e(2, 3, 4) < 10^{10}$. Based on the idea of Goodman [19] for counting triangles in a graph and in its complement, applied to random graphs, Frankl and Rödl came relatively close to the desired bound showing in [17] that $f_e(2, 3, 4) < 7 \times 10^{11}$. Later, Spencer [35] refined this argument and proved $f_e(2, 3, 4) < 3 \times 10^9$ giving a positive answer to the question of Erdős [13]. Subsequently, Chung and Graham in their book *Erdős on Graphs—His Legacy of Unsolved Problems* [5] conjectured that $f_e(2, 3, 4) < 10^6$ and offered \$100 for a proof or disproof. In 2008, Lu [27] showed that $f_e(2, 3, 4) \leq 9697$ proving the conjecture. A weaker result, which also gives an affirmative answer to the Chung and Graham question, was obtained by Dudek and Rödl [9]. Similarly to [17,35], the proofs in [9,27] are based on a modification of the idea from Goodman's paper [19]. This idea explores a local property of every vertex neighborhood in a graph. While this property easily yields that a graph contains a monochromatic triangle in every edge coloring, it seems to be stronger than needed. Therefore, finding a construction for a "relatively small" graph, whose membership in $\mathcal{F}_e(2, 3, 4)$ would not be based on the argument of [19], seems to be crucial. Recently we developed such a technique.

For a given graph *G* we say that *H* is its *triangle graph* if V(H) = E(G) and $E(H) = \{\{e, f\} : e \text{ and } f \text{ lie on a triangle in } G\}$. That means, *H* is a subgraph of the line graph of *G* with the set of vertices being the set of edges of *G* such that *e* and *f* are adjacent in *H* if *e* and *f* belong to a triangle in *G*. Denote by MAXCUT(*H*) the value corresponding to the solution of the maxcut problem for *H*. Moreover, let $t_{\Delta} = t_{\Delta}(G)$ be the number of triangles (K_3 copies) in *G*. It is easy to see that the triangle graph *H* is formed by the edge-disjoint union of triangles. Therefore, the following holds.

Proposition 1.1 (Dudek and Rödl [10,11]). For a given graph G let H be its triangle graph. Then, MAXCUT(H) $\leq 2t_{\Delta}(G)$, and $G \rightarrow K_3$ if and only if MAXCUT(H) $< 2t_{\Delta}(G)$.

Based on Proposition 1.1 we were able to show that $f_e(2, 3, 4) \le 941$, which is the best known bound. In fact, we proved the following. Let G_{941} be the circulant graph defined as follows:

$$V(G_{941}) = \mathbb{Z}_{941},$$

and

 $E(G_{941}) = \{\{x, y\} : x - y = \alpha^{5} (\text{mod } 941) \text{ for some } \alpha\},\$

i.e., the set of edges consists of those pairs of vertices *x* and *y* which differ by a fifth residue of 941.

Theorem 1.2 (Dudek and Rödl [11]). $G_{941} \in \mathcal{F}_{e}(2, 3, 4)$.

A related, interesting question is to find a reasonable upper bound for $f_e(3, 3, 4)$ (or for more colors). The existence of such a small graph *G* that contains a monochromatic triangle under every 3-coloring is an open problem which we address here.

Problem 1.3. Is it true that $f(3, 3, 4) \le 3^{3^4}$?

1.2. Vertex Folkman numbers

A crucial step in the proof of $\mathcal{F}_e(r, k, l) \neq \emptyset$ in [15,29] was to show an analogous result when the vertices are partitioned. Let $\mathcal{F}_v(r, k, l)$ be the family of K_l -free graphs with the property that if $H \in \mathcal{F}_v(r, k, l)$, then every *r*-coloring of vertices of *H* yields a monochromatic copy of K_k . By analogy to the edge Folkman numbers we define

$$f_{v}(r, k, l) = \min\{|V(H)| : H \in \mathcal{F}_{v}(r, k, l)\}$$

as a *vertex Folkman number*. Folkman [15] proved that for any r, k, l, k < l, the vertex Folkman number $f_v(r, k, l)$ is well defined. Determining the precise value of $f_v(r, k, l)$ does not seem an easy problem in general. Only a few of these numbers are known. Mostly they were found with the aid of computers (see, *e.g.*, [7]). Some special cases were considered for example in [25,37,28]. Obviously, since $f_v(r, k, l) \leq f_v(r, k, k + 1)$ for any k < l, the most restrictive and challenging case is to determine (or more realistically to estimate) the exact value of $f_v(r, k, k + 1)$. The upper bound on $f_v(r, k, k + 1)$, based on Folkman's proof [15], is a tower function (see also Theorem 2 in [29]). Nenov [28] improved this bound and showed that for instance for 2 colors $f_v(2, k, k + 1) = O(k!)$. This result was also independently obtained by Łuczak et al. [37]. One can improve the previous bounds significantly.

Theorem 1.4 (Dudek and Rödl [12]). For a given natural number r there exists a constant c = c(r) such that for every k the vertex Folkman number satisfies

 $f_v(r,k,k+1) \le ck^2 \log^4 k.$

It would be interesting to improve this bound. Perhaps an easier problem is bounding $f_v(r, k, l)$ when l = ck for some constant c > 1. Łuczak et al. [37] showed that $f_v(r, k, r(k-1)) \le r(k-1) + k^2 + 1$. Subsequently, Kolev and Nenov [25] proved that $f_v(r, k, r(k-1)) \le r(k-1) + 3k + 1$. Complementing their result one can prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.5 (Dudek and Rödl [12]). For a given natural number r and an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $c = c(r, \varepsilon)$ such that for every k the vertex Folkman number satisfies

 $f_v(r, k, \lceil (2+\varepsilon)k \rceil) \le ck.$

We were unable to find any nontrivial lower bound on $f_v(r, k, k+1)$. It would be interesting to decide if the ratio $\frac{f_v(r, k, k+1)}{k}$ tends to infinity together with *k*. Here we propose the following problems.

Problem 1.6. Let an integer $r, r \ge 2$, be given. Is it true that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{f_v(r,k,k+1)}{k}=\infty?$$

Problem 1.7. Is it true that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and a given $r \ge 2$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{f_v(r,k,(1+\varepsilon)k)}{k}<\infty?$$

1.3. Explicit Ramsey graphs

In 1947 Erdős proved that there are graphs on *n* vertices which contain neither a clique nor an independent set of size $(2+o(1)) \log_2 n$. This was one of the first applications, of the probabilistic method in combinatorics, a method with which one can prove the existence of finite structures, without finding a concrete definition of it. Therefore, Erdős asked for an explicit construction of such a graph, possibly with a constant larger than 2. This problem is still open. Frankl and Wilson [18] found an explicit construction for graphs that do not contain a clique or independent set of size $2^{\Theta}(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$. This has been recently superseded by Barak et al. [4] who gave a "strongly explicit construction"¹ of a graph which contains neither a clique nor an independent set of size $2^{(\log n)}$.

In this section we discuss a nonsymmetric version of this problem. For two positive integers s and m the Ramsey number R(s, m) is the least integer R such that every graph on R vertices contains a clique of size s or an independent set of size m. The best known lower bounds on Ramsey numbers are proven by probabilistic methods which do not give explicit constructions. All known constructions give worse bounds. For example, Kim [23] showed that

$$R(3,m) = \Theta\left(\frac{m^2}{\log m}\right),\,$$

while the best constructive lower bound (found by Alon [1]) is

$$R(3,m) \ge \Omega\left(m^{\frac{3}{2}}\right). \tag{1}$$

In [6] the lower bound (1) was proved by another algebraic argument. One can prove the following.

Theorem 1.8 (Kostochka et al. [26]). There are three families of explicitly defined graphs that give the following constructive bounds

 $R(4,m) \geq \Omega\left(m^{8/5}\right), \qquad R(5,m) \geq \Omega\left(m^{5/3}\right), \qquad R(6,m) \geq \Omega\left(m^2\right).$

Note that the best known lower bounds [23,36] (which are nonconstructive) are $R(4, m) \ge \Omega((m/\log m)^{2.5})$, $R(5, m) \ge \Omega((m/\log m)^3)$, and $R(6, m) \ge \Omega((m/\log m)^{3.5})$.

Another construction was considered in [2]. That construction gives polynomial lower bounds on R(s, m) with degree slowly increasing with *s*. However, for small values of *s* (such as s = 3, 4, 5, 6) that does not give anything interesting.

¹ An algorithm that decides whether $\{x, y\}$ is an edge in polynomial time over the size of the encoding of x and y, *i.e.*, in time polylog(n).

1.4. Bipartite graphs with the ε -density property

For a given graph *G* we say that *R* is its *induced Ramsey graph* if for any 2-coloring of the edges of *R* there is a monochromatic copy of *G* which is an induced subgraph of *R*. We write $R \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} G$ to denote this fact. It is well known that every graph *G* has a

Ramsey graph *R* as was proved by Erdős et al. [14], Deuber [8], and Rödl [32]. This variant of Ramsey's theorem immediately raises a numerical problem. For a given graph *G*, let $r_{ind}(G)$ denote the smallest integer *n* for which *G* has a Ramsey graph of order *n*. In 1975 Erdős and Rödl stated the following problem (see, *e.g.*, [5]).

Problem 1.9. If *G* has *n* vertices, is it true that $r_{ind}(G) < c^n$ for some absolute constant *c*?

This problem remains open. A weaker upper bound $r_{ind}(G) < c^{n \log^2 n}$ was given by Kohayakawa et al. [24]. A different proof of the same upper bound was recently found by Fox and Sudakov [16]. Note that if true, the exponential upper bound in Problem 1.9 is best possible since it is known that $r_{ind}(K_n) \ge 2^{n/2}$. Finally, let us note that for *G* bipartite the answer to Problem 1.9 is affirmative. This follows from the proof of Rödl [32].

Here we prove a stronger result. For a given bipartite graph G we write $R \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \text{ ind}} G$ and say that a bipartite graph R has the *induced* ε -*density property* if every subgraph of R with at least $\varepsilon |E(R)|$ edges contains a copy of G which is an induced subgraph of R.

Theorem 1.10. For every ε , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is a constant $c = c(\varepsilon)$ such that for each n there exists a bipartite graph of order 2^{cn} such that $R \xrightarrow[sind]{} G$ for every bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ with $|V_1|, |V_2| \le n$.

Our proof is deterministic. In Section 2 we give an explicit construction of the graph *R* from Theorem 1.10. Clearly, setting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ in Theorem 1.10 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1.11. For every integer k there is a constant c = c(k) such that for each n there exists a bipartite graph of order 2^{cn} such that any k-coloring of its edges yields a monochromatic and induced copy of every $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ with $|V_1|, |V_2| \le n$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.10

In order to prove Theorem 1.10 we will need the following result from combinatorics of finite sets obtained independently by Sauer [33], Shelah [34], and Vapnik and Chervonenkis [38].

Lemma 2.1 ([33,34,38]). Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of an n-element set X satisfying

$$|\mathcal{F}| > \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i}.$$
(2)

Then, there is a set $K \subseteq X$ of size k such that for every subset $S \subseteq K$ there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $S = F \cap K$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.10. Let ε , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, be given. The existence of a constant $c = c(\varepsilon)$ will follow from the proof (see inequality (4) below). Let N = cn. For simplicity we will always assume that n is sufficiently large (*i.e.*, $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$) and that $\frac{\varepsilon N}{4}$ is an integer.

Define $R = (W_1, W_2, F)$ to be a bipartite graph with $|W_1| = N$ and $|W_2| = {N \choose N/2}$. Every vertex of W_2 is connected to all vertices of precisely one N/2-subset of W_1 . Consequently, $\deg_R(w) = N/2$ for every $w \in W_2$, and hence, $|F| = {N \choose N/2} (N/2)$.

Clearly, the order of *R* is bounded by

$$|W_1| + |W_2| \le N + \binom{N}{\frac{N}{2}} \le 2^N.$$

It remains to show that *R* has the induced ε -density property for any bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ with $|V_1|, |V_2| \le n$.

Let $R' = (W_1, W_2, F')$ be a subgraph of R with at least $\varepsilon |F| = \varepsilon {N \choose N/2} (N/2)$ edges. Then, there are at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} {N \choose N/2}$ vertices in W_2 of degree deg_{R'} $(w) > \frac{\varepsilon N}{4}$. Otherwise there would be at most $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} {N \choose N/2} - 1$ vertices in W_2 of degree higher than $\frac{\varepsilon N}{4}$, which leads to the following contradiction

$$|F'| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \binom{N}{N/2} - 1\right) N/2 + \binom{N}{N/2} \frac{\varepsilon N}{4} < \varepsilon \binom{N}{N/2} N/2 \le |F'|.$$

Among the vertices of degree higher than $\frac{\varepsilon N}{4}$ we find at least

$$\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\binom{N}{N/2}}{\frac{N}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon N}{4}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)N}\binom{N}{N/2}$$

vertices of degree *j*, for some fixed *j*, $\frac{\varepsilon N}{4} < j \le N/2$. Let $U \subseteq W_2$ be the set of these vertices. Clearly, deg_{R'}(u) = *j* for every $u \in U$, and

$$|U| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)N} \binom{N}{N/2}.$$

For $u \in U$ let $J_u = N_R(u) - N_{R'}(u) \subseteq W_1$. Clearly, $|J_u| = N/2 - j$ for every $u \in U$. Consequently, there exists a set $J \in \binom{W_1}{N/2-j}$ such that for at least

$$\frac{|U|}{\binom{N}{N/2-j}} > \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})N}\binom{N}{N/2}}{\binom{N}{N/2-\varepsilon N/4}}$$
(3)

vertices $u \in U$ the equality $J_u = J$ holds. Let $P = P_I$ be the set of all these vertices. Since all vertices $u \in P$ have the same $J_u = J$, they have distinct neighborhoods $N_{R'}(u)$. Later, we will apply to these neighborhoods Lemma 2.1.

To approximate the lower bound of (3) we will use the entropy function

$$H(p) = -p \log_2 p - (1-p) \log_2 (1-p).$$

Let $c = c(\varepsilon)$ be a sufficiently large number so that

$$H(1/2) - H(1/2 - \varepsilon/4) > H(2/c)$$
(4)

holds. Since, for a fixed $p \in (0, 1)$ we have $\binom{N}{\lfloor pN \rfloor} = 2^{N(H(p)+o(1))}$ (see, *e.g.*, [3]), it follows using (3) and (4) that for N = cnlarge enough

$$|P| > \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})N} \binom{N}{N/2}}{\binom{N}{N/2-\varepsilon}N/4} = 2^{N(H(1/2)-H(1/2-\varepsilon/4)+o(1))} > 2^{N(H(2/c)+o(1))}$$
$$= 2n\binom{N}{2n-1} \ge \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \binom{N}{i} \ge \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \binom{N-j}{i}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{N_{R'}(u) : u \in P\} = \{N_R(u) - J_u : u \in P\}.$$

Note that $|\mathcal{F}| = |P|$. Lemma 2.1 yields that there exists a set $K \subseteq W_1 \setminus J$, |K| = 2n, such that for every subset $S \subseteq K$ there is a vertex $u \in P$ such that $S = N_{R'}(u) \cap K$.

Now we are going to show that the subgraph of R' induced on $P \cup W_1$ contains an induced copy of every bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ with $|V_1|, |V_2| \le n$. We may assume that $|V_1| = |V_2| = n$. For technical reasons, which will be clarified later, it will be convenient to assume that no two vertices in V_2 have the same neighborhood. If this is not the case, then we can enlarge V_1 by adding at most *n* new vertices each adjacent with precisely one vertex from V_2 . Denote this new bipartite graph by $H = (U_1, U_2, I)$, where $|U_1| = 2n$ and $|U_2| = n$. Clearly H contains an induced copy of G. Therefore, in order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.10, it is enough to show that R' contains an induced copy of $H = (U_1, U_2, I)$.

We will find $U'_1 \subseteq W_1$ and $U'_2 \subseteq P$ such that the subgraph of R' induced on $U'_1 \cup U'_2$ will be isomorphic to H. Let $U'_1 = K$ and μ be any one-to-one correspondence between U_1 and U'_1 . For every vertex $u \in U_2$ consider its neighborhood $N_H(u) \subseteq U_1$. Since $\mu(N_H(u))$ is a subset of K, there is a $w \in P$ such that $\mu(N_H(u)) = N_{R'}(w) \cap K$. Let U'_2 be the set of all those vertices w. Note that $|U'_2| = |U_2|$ since all vertices in U_2 have different neighborhoods. This shows that $R'[U'_1 \cup U'_2]$ is isomorphic to H.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.10.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for carefully reading this manuscript and helpful comments. The third author's research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0800070.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Explicit Ramsey graphs and orthonormal labelings, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 1 (1994) #R12.
- [2] N. Alon, P. Pudlák, Constructive lower bounds for off-diagonal Ramsey numbers, Israel Journal of Mathematics 122 (2001) 243-251.
- [3] N. Alon, J. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, second ed., Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [4] B. Barak, A. Rao, R. Shaltiel, A. Wigderson, 2-source dispersers for sub-polynomial entropy and Ramsey graphs beating the Frankl-Wilson construction, in: STOC, 2006, pp. 671-680.
- F. Chung, R. Graham, Erdős on Graphs-His Legacy of Unsolved Problems, A.K. Peters, Wellesley, 1998.
- [6] B. Codenotti, P. Pudlák, G. Resta, Some structural properties of low rank matrices related to computational complexity, Theoretical Computer Science 235 (2000) 89-107.
- [7] J. Coles, S. Radziszowski, Computing the Folkman number $F_v(2, 2, 3; 4)$, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 58 (2006) 13-22.
- W. Deuber, Generalizations of Ramsey's theorem, in: A. Hajnal, et al. (Eds.), Infinite and Finite Sets, Keszthely, 1973, in: Colloquia Mathematica [8] Societatis János Bolyai, vol. 10, North-Holland, 1975, pp. 323-332.
- A. Dudek, Problems in extremal combinatorics, Ph.D. Dissertation, Emory University, 2008.
- [10] A. Dudek, V. Rödl, Finding Folkman numbers via Max-cut problem, in: Th. Liebling, Szwarcfiter, G. Durán, M. Matamala (Eds.), LAGOS, in: Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics, vol. 30, Elsevier, 2008, pp. 99-104.
- [11] A. Dudek, V. Rödl, On the Folkman number f(2, 3, 4), Experimental Mathematics 17 (1) (2008) 63–67.
- [12] A. Dudek, V. Rödl, An almost quadratic bound on vertex Folkman numbers, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 100 (2) (2010) 132–140.
- [13] P. Erdős, Problems and results in finite and infinite graphs, in: M. Fiedler (Ed.), Recent Advances in Graph Theory, in: Proceedings of the Second Czechoslovak Symposium, Academia Praha, 1975, pp. 183–192.
- [14] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, L. Pósa, Strong embeddings of graphs into colored graphs, in: A. Hajnal, et al. (Eds.), Infinite and Finite Sets, Keszthely, 1973, in: Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, vol. 10, North-Holland, 1975, pp. 585-595.
- [15] J. Folkman, Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 18 (1970) 19–24.
- [16] J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Induced Ramsey-type theorems, Advances in Mathematics 219 (2008) 1771–1800.
- [17] P. Frankl, V. Rödl, Large triangle-free subgraphs in graphs without K_4 , Graphs and Combinatorics 2 (1986) 135–144.
- [18] P. Frankl, R.M. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, Combinatorica 1 (4) (1981) 357–368.
- 19] A. Goodman, On sets of acquaintances and strangers at any party, American Mathematical Monthly 66 (1959) 778–783.
- [20] R. Graham, On edgewise 2-colored graphs with monochromatic triangles and containing no complete hexagon, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 4 (1968) 300.
- R. Graham, V. Rödl, in: C. Whitehead (Ed.), Numbers in Ramsey Theory, in: Surveys in Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, 1987. [21]
- [22] R. Graham, B. Rothschild, J. Spencer, Ramsey Theory, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [23] J.H. Kim, The Ramsey number R(3, t) has order of magnitude $t^2/\log t$, Random Structures and Algorithms 7 (1995) 173–207. [24] Y. Kohayakawa, H.J. Prömel, V. Rödl, Induced Ramsey numbers, Combinatorica 18 (3) (1998) 373–404.
- [25] N. Kolev, N. Nenov, New upper bound for a class of vertex Folkman numbers, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 13 (2006) #R14.
- [26] A. Kostochka, P. Pudlák, V. Rödl, Some constructive bounds on Ramsey numbers, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 100 (5) (2010) 439-445. L. Lu, Explicit construction of small Folkman graphs, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 21 (4) (2008) 1053-1060. [27]
- N. Nenov, Application of the corona-product of two graphs in Ramsey theory, Godishnik na Sofiiskiya Universitet "Sv. Kliment Okhridski". Fakultet [28] po Matematika i Informatika 79 (1985) 349-355. (in Russian).
- J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl, The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 20 (1976) 243–249. [29]
- 30] K. Piwakowski, S. Radziszowski, S. Urbański, Computation of the Folkman number $F_e(3, 3; 5)$, Journal of Graph Theory 32 (1999) 41–49.
- [31] F. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 30 (1930) 264–286.
- V. Rödl, The dimension of a graph and generalized Ramsey theorems, Master Thesis, Charles University, Praha, 1973, See also a Generalization of [32] Ramsey Theorem in Graphs, Hypergraphs and Block Systems, Zielona Góra, 1976, pp. 211–220.
- [33] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 13 (1972) 145-147.
- [34] S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem: stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 4 (1972) 247-261
- [35] J. Spencer, Three hundred million points suffice, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 49 (2) (1988) 210-217;
- see also M. Hovey, Three hundred million points suffice, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 50 (2) (1989) 323. (erratum).
- R.M. Tanner, Explicit concentrators from generalized n-gons, SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods 5 (3) (1984) 287-293.
- T. Łuczak, A. Ruciński, S. Urbański, On minimal vertex Folkman graphs, Discrete Mathematics 236 (2001) 245-262
- [38] V. Vapnik, A. Chervonenkis, The uniform convergence of frequences of the appearance of events to their probabilities, Teoriya Veroyatnosteř i ee Primeneniya 16 (1971) 264-279. (in Russian).