



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta)


## The Katona theorem for vector spaces

Peter Frankl<sup>a</sup>, Norihide Tokushige<sup>b,1</sup><sup>a</sup> Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, H-1364 Budapest, P.O. Box 127, Hungary<sup>b</sup> College of Education, Ryuky University, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 18 October 2011

Available online 7 June 2013

Dedicated to Gyula O.H. Katona on his 70th birthday

#### Keywords:

Katona's  $t$ -intersection theorem

Avoiding just one intersection

Inclusion matrix

Linear algebra methods

### ABSTRACT

We present a vector space version of Katona's  $t$ -intersection theorem (Katona, 1964 [12]). Let  $V$  be the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over a finite field, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subspaces of  $V$ . Suppose that  $\dim(F \cap F') \geq t$  holds for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then we show that  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{k=d}^n \binom{n}{k}$  for  $n+t=2d$ , and  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{k=d+1}^n \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n-1}{d}$  for  $n+t=2d+1$ . We also consider the case when the condition  $\dim(F \cap F') \geq t$  is replaced with  $\dim(F \cap F') \neq t-1$ .

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

In 1964, Katona published his  $t$ -intersection theorem [12], which is one of the most basic results in extremal set theory. It has been extended in many ways, one of them being a result concerning a set-system avoiding just one intersection due to Frankl and Füredi [6]. In this article, we show vector space versions of these results using the linear algebra method.

We begin by recalling Katona's original theorem. Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $\binom{X}{k}$  denote the set of all  $k$ -element subsets of  $X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \binom{X}{k}$$

be the power set of  $X$ . We say that a family of subsets  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is  $t$ -intersecting if  $|F \cap F'| \geq t$  holds for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let us define a  $t$ -intersecting family  $\mathcal{K}(n, t)$  of subsets as follows. For  $n+t=2d$ , let  $\mathcal{K}(n, t) = \bigcup_{k=d}^n \binom{X}{k}$ . For  $n+t=2d+1$ , choose an  $(n-1)$ -element subset  $Y \subset X$ , and set  $\mathcal{K}(n, t) = \left( \bigcup_{k=d+1}^n \binom{X}{k} \right) \cup \binom{Y}{d}$ . Then Katona's  $t$ -intersection theorem states the following.

E-mail addresses: [peter.frankl@gmail.com](mailto:peter.frankl@gmail.com) (P. Frankl), [hide@edu.u-ryuky.ac.jp](mailto:hide@edu.u-ryuky.ac.jp) (N. Tokushige).

<sup>1</sup> The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 20340022 and 25287031.

**Theorem 1.** (See [12].) Let  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be  $t$ -intersecting. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |\mathcal{K}(n, t)|$ . Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}(n, t)$ .

For a family of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $X$  and  $0 \leq u \leq n$  we define the  $u$ -th shadow  $\Delta_u(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\Delta_u(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ G \in \binom{X}{u} : G \subset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

The following result is a key tool for the original proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** (See [12].) Let  $1 \leq t \leq k \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  be  $t$ -intersecting. Then, for  $k - t \leq u \leq k$ , we have

$$|\Delta_u(\mathcal{F})|/|\mathcal{F}| \geq \binom{2k-t}{u} / \binom{2k-t}{k}.$$

Now we present vector space versions of the above theorems. Fix the  $q$ -element field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $V$  be the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over this field. Let  $\binom{V}{k}$  denote the set of all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V$ , let  $\binom{n}{k} = |\binom{V}{k}| = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{n-i}-1}{q^{k-i}-1}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{L}(V) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \binom{V}{k}$$

be the lattice of subspaces of  $V$  with respect to inclusion. We say that a family of subspaces  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}(V)$  is  $t$ -intersecting if  $\dim(F \cap F') \geq t$  holds for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . For  $0 \leq u \leq n$  we define the  $u$ -th shadow  $\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}] = \left\{ G \in \binom{V}{u} : G \subset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

Then the corresponding result to Theorem 2 is as follows.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $1 \leq t \leq k \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  be  $t$ -intersecting. Then, for  $k - t \leq u \leq k$ , we have

$$|\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]|/|\mathcal{F}| \geq \left[ \begin{matrix} 2k-t \\ u \end{matrix} \right] / \left[ \begin{matrix} 2k-t \\ k \end{matrix} \right].$$

Let us define a  $t$ -intersecting family  $\mathcal{K}[n, t]$  of subspaces as follows. For  $n + t = 2d$ , let  $\mathcal{K}[n, t] = \bigcup_{k=d}^n \binom{V}{k}$ . For  $n + t = 2d + 1$ , choose an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $W \subset V$ , and set  $\mathcal{K}[n, t] = (\bigcup_{k=d+1}^n \binom{V}{k}) \cup \binom{W}{d}$ . Using Theorem 3 we will obtain the following vector space version of Katona's theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}(V)$  be  $t$ -intersecting. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |\mathcal{K}[n, t]|$ . Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}[n, t]$ .

We say that a family of subsets  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding if  $|F \cap F'| \neq t - 1$  holds for all distinct  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Notice that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $t$ -intersecting then it is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. In 1975, Erdős [4] asked what happens if in Theorem 1 we weaken the condition “ $t$ -intersecting” to “ $(t - 1)$ -avoiding.” Define a  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family  $\mathcal{K}^*(n, t - 1)$  of subsets of  $X$  by  $\mathcal{K}^*(n, t - 1) = \mathcal{K}(n, t) \cup \bigcup_{k < t-1} \binom{X}{k}$ . In [5], Frankl conjectured that this construction gives the maximum possible size for  $n > n_0(t)$ , and he proved this for the case  $t = 2$  (1-avoiding families) for all  $n$ . This conjecture was solved by Frankl and Füredi in 1984 [6] using the so-called “linear algebra method.” We present the corresponding vector space version. To state our result, we need some definitions. We say that a family of subspaces  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}(V)$  is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding if  $\dim(F \cap F') \neq t - 1$  holds for all distinct  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Define a  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family  $\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]$  of subspaces of  $V$  by  $\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1] = \mathcal{K}[n, t] \cup \bigcup_{k < t-1} \binom{V}{k}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $t \geq 1$ ,  $n > n_0(t)$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}(V)$  be  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]|$ . Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]$ .

Since a  $t$ -intersecting family is always a  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family, the following result is an obvious extension of [Theorem 3](#) (for the case  $k \geq 2t - 1$ ), which will be used to prove [Theorem 5](#).

**Theorem 6.** Let  $t \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq k \geq 2t - 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  be  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. Then, for  $k - t \leq u \leq k$ , we have

$$|\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]|/|\mathcal{F}| \geq \binom{2k - t}{u} / \binom{2k - t}{k}.$$

In [\[6\]](#) the corresponding set-system version of [Theorem 6](#) is conjectured to be true but it is proved only under the assumption of  $k > k_0(t)$ . This is because the proof relies on a result of Frankl and Singhi [\[10\]](#) stating that every  $k$ -uniform,  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family of subsets is  $(k - t)$ -independent, provided  $k > k_0(t)$ . (We will define “ $(k - t)$ -independence” in [Section 2.](#)) This proof, in turn, uses a divisibility property of integers which requires  $k > k_0(t)$ . On the other hand, we will use some basic properties of the cyclotomic polynomials to show that every  $k$ -uniform,  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family of subspaces is  $(k - t)$ -independent provided  $k \geq 2t - 1$  ([Lemma 5](#)). In this sense, [Theorem 6](#) is an example where a vector space version of a theorem has a stronger result than a set-system version, with a simpler proof.

Finally we mention the maximum size of  $k$ -uniform,  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding families. As for the case  $k \geq 2t - 1$ , we only have the following weaker bound, which is stated in [\[8\]](#) without a proof. (In [\[8\]](#) they claimed that [Theorem 7](#) follows from their [Theorem 1.1](#), but this is true only for  $t$ -intersecting families.)

**Theorem 7.** Let  $t \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq k \geq 2t - 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  be  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{k-t}$ .

Frankl and Graham [\[8\]](#) conjecture that if  $k \geq 2t$  then the upper bound can be improved to  $\binom{n-t}{k-t}$ . ([Theorem 7](#) for the case  $k = 2t - 1$  is almost sharp as described below.) On the other hand, Frankl and Füredi [\[7\]](#) obtained the sharp upper bound  $\binom{n-t}{k-t}$  for the corresponding set-system version, provided  $k \geq 2t$  and  $n > n_0(k)$ . The proof technique used in [\[7\]](#) is more combinatorial, and different from that in [\[6\]](#).

For the case  $k \leq 2t - 1$  we will derive the following result from [Theorem 7](#).

**Theorem 8.** Let  $t \geq 1$ ,  $2t - 1 \geq k > t - 1$ ,  $n \geq k$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  be  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{t-1} \binom{2k-t}{k} / \binom{2k-t}{t-1}$ .

[Theorem 8](#) is asymptotically tight as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $t, k$ . We show the tightness ([Theorem 9](#) in [Section 4](#)) using a packing result of Rödl [\[14\]](#).

We will use the linear algebra method to prove our results. The proofs are similar to those in [\[6\]](#), but we will follow the formulation in the Babai–Frankl book [\[2\]](#). The key idea is an independence of row vectors of the inclusion matrix. This idea was already used by Frankl and Graham in [\[8\]](#), and we could use their results but we choose to give direct and elementary proofs for self-completeness.

This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#) we prepare some basic tools for the linear algebra method, and prove [Theorem 3](#) and [Theorem 4](#) (the Katona theorem for vector spaces). Then in [Section 3](#) we consider families avoiding just one intersection, and prove [Theorem 5](#) and [Theorem 6](#). In [Section 4](#) we focus on uniform families and prove [Theorem 7](#) and [Theorem 8](#).

## 2. The Katona theorem for vector spaces

In this section, we prepare some basic tools for the linear algebra method, and prove [Theorem 3](#) and [Theorem 4](#).

Let  $V$  be the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[V]}{k}$ , and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[V]}{i}$ , define the inclusion matrix  $M(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  as follows. This is an  $|\mathcal{F}| \times |\mathcal{G}|$  matrix whose  $(F, G)$ -entry  $m(F, G)$ , where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , is defined by

$$m(F, G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F \supset G, \\ 0 & \text{if } F \not\supset G. \end{cases}$$

For  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[V]}{k}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq i \leq k$ , simple counting yields

$$M\left(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[V]}{i}\right)M\left(\binom{[V]}{i}, \binom{[V]}{j}\right) = \binom{k-j}{i-j}M\left(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[V]}{j}\right). \tag{1}$$

In fact, the  $(F, J)$ -entry of (1), where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $J \in \binom{[V]}{j}$ , counts

$$\#\left\{I \in \binom{[V]}{i} : J \subset I \subset F\right\}.$$

In particular, (1) shows the following.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $0 \leq j \leq i \leq k$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[V]}{k}$ . Then  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[V]}{j})$  is contained in  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[V]}{i})$ , where  $\text{colsp } M$  denotes the column space of  $M$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

We say that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[V]}{k}$  is  $s$ -independent if the rows of  $M(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[V]}{s})$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that is, the inclusion matrix has full row-rank. In this case,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}$  immediately follows.

**Lemma 2.** (See [8].) *Let  $0 \leq s \leq u \leq k$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[V]}{k}$  be  $s$ -independent. Then*

$$|\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]|/|\mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{\binom{k+s}{u}}{\binom{k+s}{k}}. \tag{2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $A \oplus B = V$  denote the direct sum, that is,  $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $\text{span}\{A, B\} = V$ . For each line  $x \in \binom{[V]}{1}$  choose  $W = W_x \in \binom{[V]}{n-1}$  so that  $x \oplus W = V$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \left\{G \in \binom{[W]}{k-1} : x \oplus G \in \mathcal{F}\right\} \subset \binom{[W]}{k-1}.$$

**Claim 1.**  $\mathcal{F}_x \subset \binom{[W]}{k-1}$  is  $s$ -independent, that is,  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}_x, \binom{[W]}{s}) = |\mathcal{F}_x|$ .

We postpone the proof of Claim 1, and we first prove the lemma by induction on  $k$  assuming Claim 1. Inequality (2) trivially holds for the following three cases:  $s = 0$ ,  $u = s$ , and  $u = k$ . So let  $1 \leq s < u < k$  and assume that (2) is true for  $k - 1$ . By Claim 1 we can apply the induction hypothesis to  $\mathcal{F}_x \subset \binom{[W]}{k-1}$ , and we get

$$|\Delta_{u-1}[\mathcal{F}_x]| \geq |\mathcal{F}_x| \frac{\binom{(k-1)+s}{u-1}}{\binom{(k-1)+s}{k-1}}. \tag{3}$$

By counting  $\#\{(x, F) \in \binom{[V]}{1} \times \mathcal{F} : x \subset F\}$  in two ways, namely, by counting the number of edges in the corresponding bipartite graph from each side, we have

$$\sum_{x \in \binom{[V]}{1}} |\mathcal{F}_x| = \binom{k}{1} |\mathcal{F}|. \tag{4}$$

Similarly by counting  $\#\{(x, G) \in \binom{V}{1} \times \Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]: x \subset G\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in \binom{V}{1}} |\Delta_{u-1}[\mathcal{F}_x]| = \binom{u}{1} |\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]|. \tag{5}$$

Using (5), (3), and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_u[\mathcal{F}]| &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \frac{1}{\binom{u}{1}} \sum_x |\Delta_{u-1}[\mathcal{F}_x]| \stackrel{(3)}{\geq} \frac{1}{\binom{u}{1}} \sum_x |\mathcal{F}_x| \frac{\binom{k-1+s}{u-1}}{\binom{k-1+s}{k-1}} \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \frac{1}{\binom{u}{1}} \cdot \binom{k}{1} |\mathcal{F}| \cdot \frac{\binom{k-1+s}{u-1}}{\binom{k-1+s}{k-1}} = |\mathcal{F}| \frac{\binom{k+s}{u}}{\binom{k+s}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (2) is true for  $k$  as well, and completes the induction.

So all we need is to prove Claim 1. Fix  $x \in \binom{V}{1}$  and let  $W \in \binom{V}{n-1}$  be such that  $x \oplus W = V$ . Divide  $\binom{V}{s}$  into two parts  $\binom{V}{s} = \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of  $s$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V$  not containing  $x$ , and the remaining part is  $\mathcal{D} = \{x \oplus T: T \in \binom{W}{s-1}\}$ . (Then  $|\mathcal{C}| = q^s \binom{n-1}{s}$  and  $|\mathcal{D}| = \binom{n-1}{s-1}$ .) Let

$$\mathcal{F}^x = \{F \in \mathcal{F}: x \subset F\} \subset \binom{V}{k}.$$

We divide the columns of  $M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{V}{s})$  into two blocks:

$$M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{V}{s}\right) = (M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{C}) | M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{D})). \tag{6}$$

A subspace  $S \in \binom{V}{s}$  can be represented by an  $s \times n$  matrix in reduced echelon form with no zero rows (see, e.g., [3]), and let  $\text{ref}(S)$  denote the matrix. We can associate  $\mathcal{D}$  with matrices for which leading 1 in the last row occurs in the last column. Then there is a natural bijection from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\binom{W}{s-1}$  by taking the  $(s-1) \times (n-1)$  principal minor of  $\text{ref}(S)$ . Thus we may assume that

$$M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{D}) = M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s-1}\right).$$

This together with Lemma 1 gives

$$\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{D}) = \text{colsp } M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s-1}\right) \subset \text{colsp } M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s}\right). \tag{7}$$

If  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  then the  $s \times (n-1)$  principal minor of  $\text{ref}(S)$  determines a subspace in  $\binom{W}{s}$ . This gives a map  $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \binom{W}{s}$ , and for each  $S \in \binom{W}{s}$  we have  $|\varphi^{-1}(S)| = q^s$  because  $\varphi(S) = \varphi(S')$  iff  $\text{ref}(S)$  and  $\text{ref}(S')$  differ only in the last column. Thus columns corresponding to  $S$  and  $S'$  in  $M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{C})$  are the same iff  $\varphi(S) = \varphi(S')$ , and  $M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{C})$  can be viewed as  $q^s$  copies of  $M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s})$ . Hence we have

$$\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \mathcal{C}) = \text{colsp } M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s}\right). \tag{8}$$

By (7) and (8) with (6), it follows  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{V}{s}) \subset \text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s})$ , and

$$\text{rank } M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{V}{s}\right) \leq \text{rank } M\left(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s}\right). \tag{9}$$

The opposite inequality is trivial, thus we have equality in (9). On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $s$ -independent,  $\mathcal{F}^x$  is also  $s$ -independent and  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{V}{s}) = |\mathcal{F}^x|$ . Thus equality in (9) yields that  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s}) = |\mathcal{F}^x|$ . Finally, noting that  $|\mathcal{F}^x| = |\mathcal{F}_x|$  and  $M(\mathcal{F}^x, \binom{W}{s}) = M(\mathcal{F}_x, \binom{W}{s})$ , we have  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}_x, \binom{W}{s}) = |\mathcal{F}_x|$  as needed. This completes the proof of Claim 1 and Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** (See [8].) Let  $1 \leq t \leq k$  and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[k]}{t}$  be  $t$ -intersecting. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(k - t)$ -independent.

**Proof.** Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{t \leq i < k} \begin{bmatrix} x - i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{t \leq i < k} \frac{q^{x-i} - 1}{q - 1}. \tag{10}$$

By setting  $y = q^x$ , we can rewrite  $f(x)$  as a polynomial  $g(y)$  of degree  $k - t$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[y]$ , that is,

$$f(x) = g(y) = \prod_{t \leq i < k} \frac{q^{-i}y - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Let  $\phi_s(y) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{q^{-i}y - 1}{q^{s-i} - 1}$ . Then  $\phi_0(y), \dots, \phi_{k-t}(y)$  form a basis of the vector space (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) of polynomials of degree  $k - t$  with variable  $y$ . Thus we can determine  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-t} \in \mathbb{Q}$  uniquely so that

$$g(y) = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \phi_s(y).$$

In other words, noting that  $\phi_s(y) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{q^{x-i} - 1}{q^{s-i} - 1} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ , we can determine  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-t}$  so that

$$f(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \begin{bmatrix} x \\ s \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

Now define an  $|\mathcal{F}| \times |\mathcal{F}|$  matrix  $A$  by

$$A = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s M\left(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right) M\left(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right)^T.$$

For  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ , the  $(F, F')$ -entry of  $A$  is

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \#\left\{W \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ s \end{bmatrix} : W \subset F \cap F'\right\} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \begin{bmatrix} \dim(F \cap F') \\ s \end{bmatrix}.$$

This equals  $f(\dim(F \cap F'))$  by (11). Moreover, using the  $t$ -intersecting property with (10), we have

$$f(\dim(F \cap F')) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } F \neq F', \\ f(k) \neq 0 & \text{if } F = F'. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $A$  is a diagonal matrix with no zero diagonal entries, and  $\text{rank } A = |\mathcal{F}|$ .

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 1 that the  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ s \end{bmatrix})$  is contained in  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k-t \end{bmatrix})$  for  $0 \leq s < k - t$ , and so  $\text{colsp } A$  is contained in  $\text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k-t \end{bmatrix})$ . This gives  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}) \geq \text{rank } A = |\mathcal{F}|$ . Thus  $M(\mathcal{F}, \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k-t \end{bmatrix})$  has full row-rank, namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(k - t)$ -independent.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Lemma 3,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(k - t)$ -independent. So letting  $s = k - t$  in Lemma 2, we get the desired inequality.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** We start with the following simple counting fact.

**Claim 2.** Let  $A \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\#\{B \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n-a \end{bmatrix} : A \oplus B = V\} = q^{a(n-a)}$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that  $\text{ref}(A) = (O \ I_a)$ . Then  $A \oplus B = V$  gives  $\text{ref}(B) = (I_{n-a} \ *)$ , and there are  $q^{a(n-a)}$  ways for choosing the  $*$  part.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(a, n - a)$  be a bipartite graph with the vertex partition  $V(\mathbf{G}) = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ a \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$  and the edge set  $E(\mathbf{G}) = \{(A, B) : A \oplus B = V\}$ . Then, by Claim 2, this is a  $q^{a(n-a)}$ -regular graph. For a vertex subset  $\mathring{A} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ , let

$$N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathring{A}) = \left\{ B \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n-a \end{bmatrix} : (A, B) \in E(\mathbf{G}) \text{ for some } A \in \mathring{A} \right\}$$

denote the neighborhood of  $\mathring{A}$ . We count the number of edges between  $\mathring{A}$  and  $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathring{A})$  in two ways. Then this number is exactly  $q^{a(n-a)}|\mathring{A}|$  on one hand, and at most  $q^{a(n-a)}|N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathring{A})|$  on the other hand. Namely, we have the Hall condition:

$$|\mathring{A}| \leq |N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathring{A})|.$$

Thus the bipartite graph has a perfect matching, which can be stated as follows.

**Lemma 4.** *There is a bijection  $\psi : \begin{bmatrix} V \\ a \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $A \oplus \psi(A) = V$  holds for all  $A \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ .*

We will use  $\psi(A)$  as a ‘‘complement’’ of  $A$  here, and also in the proof of Theorem 8 later. (Notice that the orthogonal space  $A^\perp$  does not necessarily satisfy  $A \oplus A^\perp = V$ . The authors thank one of the referees for notifying this fact.)

Let  $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F} \cap \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $f_k = |\mathcal{F}_k|$ ,  $d = \lfloor (n + t)/2 \rfloor$ , and  $a = k - t + 1$ .

**Claim 3.**  $|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| + |\mathcal{F}_{n-a}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$  for  $t \leq k \leq d$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G \in \Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]$  and  $G \subset F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . Using Lemma 4 let  $H = \psi(G) \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$ . Since  $V = G \oplus H \subset F + H \subset V$  we have  $n = \dim(F + H)$  and

$$\dim(F \cap H) = \dim F + \dim H - \dim(F + H) = k + (n - a) - n = t - 1.$$

Then it follows from the  $t$ -intersecting property of  $\mathcal{F}$  that  $H = \psi(G) \notin \mathcal{F}_{n-a}$ . This gives  $\psi(\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]) \cap \mathcal{F}_{n-a} = \emptyset$ , and

$$|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| + |\mathcal{F}_{n-a}| = |\psi(\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k])| + |\mathcal{F}_{n-a}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$$

as desired.  $\square$

We notice for later use in the proof of Theorem 5 in Section 3 that the proof above did not use the full  $t$ -intersecting property, but only the  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding property.

Let  $t \leq k < d$ . Applying Theorem 3 with  $u = a = k - t + 1$ , we have

$$|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| \geq |\mathcal{F}_k| \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2k-t \\ a \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2k-t \\ k \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{q^k - 1}{q^a - 1} f_k.$$

Since  $\frac{q^k - 1}{q^a - 1} \geq 1$  iff  $a \leq k$ , that is,  $t \geq 1$ , it follows that  $|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| \geq f_k$  with equality holding iff  $\mathcal{F}_k = \emptyset$  or  $t = 1$ . Then we can infer from Claim 3 that

$$f_k + f_{n-a} \leq |\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| + |\mathcal{F}_{n-a}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

(This is true for  $k = d$  as well but we will not use this case.) Moreover if  $t > 1$  then  $f_k + f_{n-a} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$  iff  $f_k = 0$  and  $f_{n-a} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-a \end{bmatrix}$  where  $t \leq k < d$ .

First consider the case  $n + t = 2d$ . Applying (12) for  $k = t, t + 1, \dots, d - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{F}| &= \sum_{k=0}^n f_k = f_n + \sum_{k=t}^{n-1} f_k \\
 &= f_n + ((f_t + f_{n-1}) + (f_{t+1} + f_{n-2}) + \dots + (f_{d-1} + f_d)) \\
 &\leq \binom{n}{n} + \left( \binom{n}{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{d} \right) = |\mathcal{K}[n, t]|.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $f_k = 0$  for  $0 \leq k < d$  and  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $d \leq k \leq n$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}[n, t]$ .

Next consider the case  $n + t - 1 = 2d$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_d \subset \binom{V}{d}$  is a  $t$ -intersecting family with  $2d - t < n < 2d$ , we can use a result in [11] to get

$$f_d = |\mathcal{F}_d| \leq \binom{2d-t}{d} = \binom{n-1}{d}. \tag{13}$$

Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}_d = \binom{W}{d}$  for some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $W \subset V$ . Using (12) for  $k = t, t + 1, \dots, d - 1$  and using (13) for  $k = d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{F}| &= \sum_{k=0}^n f_k = f_n + \sum_{k=t}^{n-1} f_k + f_d \\
 &= f_n + ((f_t + f_{n-1}) + (f_{t+1} + f_{n-2}) + \dots + (f_{d-1} + f_{d+1})) + f_d \\
 &\leq \binom{n}{n} + \left( \binom{n}{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{d+1} \right) + \binom{n-1}{d} = |\mathcal{K}[n, t]|.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $f_k = 0$  for  $0 \leq k < d$ ,  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $d + 1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_d = \binom{W}{d}$  for some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $W$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}[n, t]$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

### 3. Avoiding just one intersection

In this section we prove Theorem 5 and Theorem 6.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $t \geq 1, k \geq 2t - 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  be  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(k - t)$ -independent.*

**Proof.** We proceed as in Lemma 3 but using a different  $f(x)$ , that is,

$$f(x) = \frac{(q^{k-1} - q^x)(q^{k-2} - q^x) \dots (q^t - q^x)}{(q^{k-t} - 1)(q^{k-t-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1)}. \tag{14}$$

As we did in the proof of Lemma 3 we can write  $f(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \binom{x}{s}$  for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-t} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Define an  $|\mathcal{F}| \times |\mathcal{F}|$  matrix  $A$  by

$$A = \sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s M\left(\mathcal{F}, \binom{V}{s}\right) M\left(\mathcal{F}, \binom{V}{s}\right)^T.$$

Then, for  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ , the  $(F, F')$ -entry of  $A$  is  $\sum_{s=0}^{k-t} a_s \binom{\dim(F \cap F')}{s} = f(\dim(F \cap F'))$ .

By (14), we have  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x = t, t + 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(k) &= \prod_{j=1}^{k-t} \frac{q^{k-j} - q^k}{q^j - 1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-t} \frac{q^{k-j}(1 - q^j)}{q^j - 1} \\
 &= (-1)^{k-t} q^{(k-1)+(k-2)+\dots+t} = (-1)^{k-t} q^{(k-t)(k-t+1)/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$



For the remaining values except  $t - 1$ , namely, for  $x = 0, 1, \dots, t - 2$ , we have  $f(x) = q^{x(k-t)} \binom{k-x-1}{k-t}$  and

$$\binom{k-x-1}{k-t} = \binom{k-x-1}{t-x-1} = \frac{(q^{k-x-1} - 1) \dots (q^{k-t+1} - 1)}{(q^{t-x-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]. \tag{16}$$

Recall that  $q^n - 1 = \prod_{j|n} \Phi_j(q)$ , where  $\Phi_j(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  is the  $j$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Let us look at the RHS of (16). The numerator contains  $\Phi_{k-t+1}(q)$  as a factor coming from  $q^{k-t+1} - 1$ . On the other hand,  $j = t - x - 1$  is the maximum  $j$  such that  $\Phi_j(q)$  appears in the denominator as a factor. Using  $x \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 2t - 1$  we have  $t - x - 1 \leq t - 1 < k - t + 1$ . So  $\Phi_{k-t+1}(q)$  does not appear in the denominator. Since cyclotomic polynomials are pairwise relatively prime, it follows from (16) that  $\Phi_{k-t+1}(q)$  divides  $\binom{k-x-1}{k-t}$ , namely,

$$\Phi_{k-t+1}(q) \mid f(x) \quad \text{for } x = 0, 1, \dots, t - 2.$$

But  $\Phi_{k-t+1}(q)$  does not divide  $f(k)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by (15). Note also that  $f(t - 1)$  never appears in  $A$  because of the  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding property. Consequently it follows that  $f(\dim(F \cap F')) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  and

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{k-t+1}(q) \mid f(\dim(F \cap F')) & \text{if } F \neq F', \\ \Phi_{k-t+1}(q) \nmid f(\dim(F \cap F')) & \text{if } F = F'. \end{cases}$$

This means that  $A$  is a diagonal matrix with no zero diagonal entries in the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}[q]/(\Phi_{k-t+1}(q))$ , and thus  $\text{rank } A = |\mathcal{F}|$ . On the other hand, it follows from (1) and the definition of  $A$  that  $\text{colsp } A \subset \text{colsp } M(\mathcal{F}, \binom{V}{k-t})$ . Therefore we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \text{rank } A \leq \text{rank } M\left(\mathcal{F}, \binom{V}{k-t}\right) \leq |\mathcal{F}|.$$

Thus  $\text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}, \binom{V}{k-t}) = |\mathcal{F}|$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(k - t)$ -independent.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 6.** This follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F} \cap \binom{V}{k}$ ,  $f_k = |\mathcal{F}_k|$ ,  $d = \lfloor (n + t)/2 \rfloor$ , and  $a = k - t + 1$ . Let  $t \leq k < d$ . By Theorem 6, we have

$$|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| \geq |\mathcal{F}_k| \binom{2k-t}{a} / \binom{2k-t}{k} = \frac{q^k - 1}{q^a - 1} f_k,$$

and so  $|\Delta_a[\mathcal{F}_k]| \geq f_k$  with equality holding iff  $\mathcal{F}_k = \emptyset$  or  $t = 1$ . Then we can infer from Claim 3 (see the notice right after the proof of Claim 3) that

$$f_k + f_{n-a} \leq \frac{q^k - 1}{q^a - 1} f_k + f_{n-a} \leq \binom{n}{n-a}. \tag{17}$$

Moreover if  $t > 1$  then  $f_k + f_{n-a} = \binom{n}{n-a}$  iff  $f_k = 0$  and  $f_{n-a} = \binom{n}{n-a}$  where  $t \leq k < d$ . For  $k < t$  we will use a trivial upper bound  $f_k \leq \binom{n}{k}$ .

Case 1.  $n + t = 2d$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &= \sum_{k=0}^n f_k = f_n + \sum_{k=t}^{n-1} f_k + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} f_k \\ &= f_n + ((f_t + f_{n-1}) + (f_{t+1} + f_{n-2}) + \dots + (f_{d-1} + f_d)) + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} f_k. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

First suppose that  $f_{t-1} = 0$ . Then applying (17) for  $k = t, t + 1, \dots, d - 1$  we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{n} + \left( \binom{n}{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{d} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} \binom{n}{k} = |\mathcal{K}^*[n, t-1]|.$$

If  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $0 \leq k < t - 1$ ,  $f_k = 0$  for  $t - 1 \leq k < d$  and  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $d \leq k \leq n$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]$ .

Next suppose that  $f_{t-1} \neq 0$ , that is, there is an  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding, no subspace containing  $F_0$  can be a member of  $\mathcal{F}$ , which implies that

$$f_k \leq \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n - (t - 1)}{k - (t - 1)}$$

for  $k \geq t$ . In particular we have

$$f_d \leq N - M,$$

where  $N = \binom{n}{d}$ ,  $M = \binom{n - (t - 1)}{d - (t - 1)}$ . Setting  $k = d - 1$  in (17) we have

$$\alpha f_{d-1} + f_d \leq N,$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q^{d-t-1}-1} \geq 1$ . So  $f_{d-1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}(N - f_d)$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{d-1} + f_d &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha}(N - f_d) + f_d = \frac{1}{\alpha}N + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)f_d \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha}N + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(N - M) = N - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$f_{t-1} + f_{d-1} + f_d \leq \binom{n}{t-1} + \binom{n}{d} - \frac{q^{d-1} - q^{d-t}}{q^{d-1} - 1} \binom{n - (t - 1)}{d - (t - 1)}.$$

The RHS is less than  $\binom{n}{d}$  for  $n > n_0(t)$ . Using this with (17) for  $k = t, t + 1, \dots, d - 2$  we can infer from (18) that  $|\mathcal{F}| < |\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]|$ .

Case 2.  $n + t - 1 = 2d$ .

If  $F, F' \in \binom{V}{d}$  then  $\dim(F \cap F') \geq t - 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding,  $\mathcal{F}_d \subset \binom{V}{d}$  is actually  $t$ -intersecting. So we can use a result in [11] to get

$$f_d = |\mathcal{F}_d| \leq \binom{n - 1}{d}. \tag{19}$$

Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}_d = \binom{W}{d}$  for some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $W \subset V$ . Write  $|\mathcal{F}|$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &= \sum_{k=0}^n f_k = f_n + \sum_{k=t}^{n-1} f_k + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} f_k \\ &= f_n + ((f_t + f_{n-1}) + (f_{t+1} + f_{n-2}) + \dots + (f_{d-1} + f_{d+1})) + f_d + f_{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} f_k. \end{aligned}$$

First suppose that  $f_{t-1} = 0$ . We use (17) for  $k = t, t + 1, \dots, d - 1$ , (19) for  $k = d$ , and  $f_k \leq \binom{n}{k}$  for the remaining  $k$ . In this way we get  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]|$ . Moreover if  $t > 1$  then equality holds iff  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $0 \leq k < t - 1$ ,  $f_k = 0$  for  $t - 1 \leq k < d$ ,  $f_k = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $d + 1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_d = \binom{W}{d}$  for some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $W$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}^*[n, t - 1]$ .

Next suppose that  $f_{t-1} \neq 0$ . Then we can argue as in Case 1 to conclude that  $f_{t-1} + f_{d-1} + f_{d+1} < \binom{n}{d+1}$  for  $n > n_0(t)$ , which gives  $|\mathcal{F}| < |\mathcal{K}^*[n, t-1]|$ . This completes the proof of [Theorem 5](#).  $\square$

If we change the definition of a  $(t-1)$ -avoiding family  $\mathcal{F}$  so that  $\dim(F \cap F') \neq t-1$  is required for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f_{t-1} = 0$  follows from this new definition. In this case the above proof shows that [Theorem 5](#) holds without assuming  $n > n_0(t)$ .

**4. Uniform families**

In this section we prove [Theorem 7](#) and [Theorem 8](#). Then we will show that [Theorem 8](#) is asymptotically sharp using a packing result of Rödl.

**Proof of Theorem 7.** This is a direct consequence of [Lemma 5](#).  $\square$

In the rest of this section we follow the proof in [7]. Recall the bijection  $\psi$  from [Lemma 4](#).

**Proof of Theorem 8.** Let  $b = 2t - k - 1$ . For  $B \in \binom{V}{b}$  let

$$\mathcal{F}(B) := \left\{ C \in \binom{\psi(B)}{k-b} : B \oplus C \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{B \in \binom{V}{b}} |\mathcal{F}(B)| = \binom{k}{b} |\mathcal{F}|. \tag{20}$$

Let  $\tilde{k} = k - b = 2k - 2t + 1$  and  $\tilde{t} - 1 = (t - 1) - b = k - t$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  is a  $\tilde{k}$ -uniform,  $(\tilde{t} - 1)$ -avoiding family with  $\tilde{k} = 2\tilde{t} - 1$ . (In fact if there are  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{F}(B)$  such that  $\dim(C_1 \cap C_2) = \tilde{t} - 1$ , then  $F_i = B \oplus C_i \in \mathcal{F}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) but  $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2) = b + (\tilde{t} - 1) = t - 1$ , a contradiction.) Thus, by [Theorem 7](#), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(B)| \leq \binom{n-b}{\tilde{k}-\tilde{t}} = \binom{n-b}{k-t}. \tag{21}$$

Now it follows from (20) and (21) that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{b} \binom{n-b}{k-t} / \binom{k}{b} = \binom{n}{t-1} \binom{2k-t}{k} / \binom{2k-t}{t-1}$$

as needed.  $\square$

The bound in [Theorem 8](#) is asymptotically sharp. Namely, we have the following.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $t \geq 1$  and  $k > t - 1$  be fixed. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$  there is a  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| > (1 - \epsilon) \binom{n}{t-1} \binom{2k-t}{k} / \binom{2k-t}{t-1}$ .

To prove [Theorem 9](#) we need the following variant of the packing theorem of Rödl [14].

**Theorem 10.** Let  $r$  and  $s$  be fixed. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$  there is a family  $\mathcal{H} \subset \binom{V}{r}$  which satisfies  $\dim(H \cap H') < s$  for all  $H, H' \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $|\mathcal{H}| > (1 - \epsilon) \binom{n}{s} / \binom{r}{s}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 9.** By [Theorem 10](#) we can take a family  $SS \subset \binom{V}{2k-t}$  with  $\dim(S \cap S') < t - 1$  for all  $S, S' \in SS$  and  $|SS| \sim \frac{\binom{n}{t-1}}{\binom{r}{t-1}} / \binom{2k-t}{t-1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \Delta_k(SS)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(t - 1)$ -avoiding and  $|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{2k-t}{k} |SS|$  because  $k > t - 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the desired properties.  $\square$

Finally we remark that [Theorem 10](#) is derived from the following result stating that almost regular hypergraphs have almost perfect matchings. This result was originally obtained by Frankl and Rödl [\[9\]](#) and we use a stronger version given by Pippenger (see [\[1\]](#) or [\[13\]](#)).

**Theorem 11.** (See [\[9,1,13\]](#).) Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  satisfy the following.

- (1) There is  $D$  such that  $\#\{F \in \mathcal{F} : x \in F\} = D$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (2) For all  $\{x, y\} \in \binom{X}{2}$ ,  $\#\{F \in \mathcal{F} : \{x, y\} \subset F\} = o(D)$  as  $D \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then there exist pairwise disjoint  $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $m \sim |X|/k$  (as  $D \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $|X| \rightarrow \infty$ ).

**Proof of Theorem 10.** Let  $X = \binom{V}{s}$  and  $k = \binom{r}{s}$ . Define  $\mathcal{F} := \{\binom{R}{s} : R \in \binom{V}{r}\} \subset \binom{X}{k}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $D$ -regular, where  $D = \binom{n-s}{r-s}$ . Moreover, for a pair  $\{x, y\} \subset X$ , we have

$$\#\{F \in \mathcal{F} : \{x, y\} \subset F\} \leq \binom{n-s-1}{r-s-1} = o(D).$$

In fact if  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $r$  and  $s$ , then  $D \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\binom{n-s-1}{r-s-1}/D = \frac{q^{r-s}-1}{q^{n-s}-1} \rightarrow 0$ , namely,  $\binom{n-s-1}{r-s-1} = o(D)$ . Thus, by [Theorem 11](#), we have a matching  $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $m \sim \binom{n}{s}/\binom{r}{s}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we can write  $F_i = \binom{R_i}{s}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H} := \{R_1, \dots, R_m\} \subset \binom{V}{r}$  satisfies the desired properties of [Theorem 10](#).  $\square$

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the referees for their careful reading and many helpful comments.

**References**

- [1] N. Alon, J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, second edition, 2000. A Wiley–Interscience publication.
- [2] L. Babai, P. Frankl, *Linear Algebra Methods in Combinatorics with Applications to Geometry and Computer Science*. Preliminary Version 2, September 1992.
- [3] P.J. Cameron, *Combinatorics: Topics, Techniques, Algorithms*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [4] P. Erdős, Problems and results in graph theory and combinatorial analysis, in: *Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference*, Univ. Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 1975, in: *Congr. Numer.*, vol. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, MB, 1976, pp. 169–192.
- [5] P. Frankl, An intersection problem for finite sets, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 30 (1977) 371–373.
- [6] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, On hypergraphs without two edges intersecting in a given number of vertices, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 36 (1984) 230–236.
- [7] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, Forbidding just one intersection, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 39 (1985) 160–176.
- [8] P. Frankl, R.L. Graham, Intersection theorems for vector spaces, *European J. Combin.* 6 (1985) 183–187.
- [9] P. Frankl, V. Rödl, Near perfect coverings in graphs and hypergraphs, *European J. Combin.* 6 (1985) 317–326.
- [10] P. Frankl, N.M. Singhi, Linear dependencies among subsets of a finite set, *European J. Combin.* 4 (1983) 313–318.
- [11] P. Frankl, R.M. Wilson, The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 43 (1986) 228–236.
- [12] G.O.H. Katona, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 15 (1964) 329–337.
- [13] N. Pippenger, J. Spencer, Asymptotic behavior of the chromatic index for hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 51 (1989) 24–42.
- [14] V. Rödl, On a packing and covering problem, *European J. Combin.* 6 (1985) 69–78.