

On the Number of Sets in a Null t -Design

PETER FRANKL AND JÁNOS PACH

In this paper we prove that the symmetric difference of any two distinct $S_\lambda(n, k, t)$ Steiner-systems contains at least 2^{t+1} different sets (Corollary 2). The proof also yields an extremal set theoretical result of Sauer (Theorem 2).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be an n -element set, and let k, t, λ be positive integers, $n \geq k \geq t$. A system \mathcal{S} of k -element subsets of X is called an $S_\lambda(n, k, t)$ Steiner-system if every t -element subset of X is contained in exactly λ members of \mathcal{S} . (Notice that two members of \mathcal{S} are not necessarily distinct.) We denote by $h_{\mathcal{S}}$ the characteristic function of \mathcal{S} i.e. $h_{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined on all subsets of X , and for any $B \subseteq X$, $h(B)$ is the number of occurrences of B in \mathcal{S} .

Further, let $V(X)$ denote the vector space of all real valued functions $f: 2^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (Obviously, $\dim V(X) = 2^n$.)

DEFINITION. For a fixed integer t , $0 \leq t \leq n$, we say that f is a null t -design if for every $A \subseteq X$, $|A| \leq t$, we have

$$\sum_{A \subseteq F \subseteq X} f(F) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Notice that for $t = n$ the only null t -design is the identically zero one. A null t -design is called k -uniform if $f(F) \neq 0$ implies $|F| = k$. If \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are two $S_\lambda(n, k, t)$ Steiner-systems, then $h_{\mathcal{S}_1} - h_{\mathcal{S}_2}$ is a k -uniform null t -design.

Of course, both null t -designs and k -uniform null t -designs form vector spaces.

These vector spaces were considered in several papers (Graver and Jurkat [4], Graham, Li and Li [3], Deza and Frankl [1]): the dimensions were determined and bases were exhibited.

For simplicity we identify a set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ with the product $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_t$, and a null t -design, f , with the polynomial

$$\sum_{F \subseteq X} f(F) \prod_{x \in F} x.$$

In this terminology the simplest null t -design is $(1 - x_1) \cdots (1 - x_{t+1})$ (the constant term is just $f(\emptyset)$, while the simplest k -uniform null t -design is $(x_1 - x_2)(x_3 - x_4) \cdots (x_{2t+1} - x_{2t+2})x_{2t+3} \cdots x_{k+t+1}$.

In both these designs there are exactly 2^{t+1} terms. The aim of this note is to prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a nonidentically zero null t -design. Then f has at least 2^{t+1} nonzero terms, i.e.,*

$$|\{F \subseteq X: f(F) \neq 0\}| \geq 2^{t+1}.$$

COROLLARY 1. *A nonidentically zero k -uniform null t -design has at least 2^{t+1} nonzero terms.*

COROLLARY 2. *The symmetric difference of any two distinct $S_\lambda(n, k, t)$ Steiner-systems contains at least 2^{t+1} distinct sets.*

REMARK 1. The truth of Corollary 2 in the special case $k = 3, t = 2$ follows from a result of Lindner and Rosa [5]. The statement of Corollary 1 was conjectured by Singhi [7].

THEOREM 2. *Suppose*

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X, \quad |\mathcal{F}| > \sum_{0 < i < t} \binom{n}{i}.$$

Then there exists an $H \subseteq X, |H| = t + 1$, such that for every $H_0 \subseteq H$ one can find $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $F \cap H = H_0$ (i.e. $\{F \cap H : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^H$).

REMARK 2. Theorem 2 was originally proved by Sauer [6], and in [2] a simpler proof is given. However, we think the present proof gives more insight.

2. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

For a null t -design f and a subset S of X we define the trace $f_S: 2^S \rightarrow R$ by

$$f_S(G) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{X}, F \cap S = G} f(F), \quad \text{for every } G \subseteq S.$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The function f_S is a null t -design on S .*

PROOF. In fact, let $A \subseteq S$ and $|A| \leq t$. Then we have

$$\sum_{A \subseteq G \subseteq X} f_S(G) = \sum_{A \subseteq G \subseteq S} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{X}, \\ F \cap S = G}} f(F) = \sum_{A \subseteq F \subseteq X} f(F) = 0.$$

We now give the proof of Theorem 1. Let f be a nonidentically zero null t -design. Let s be the maximal integer for which f is a null s -design (in most cases $t = s$ but in any case $t \leq s \leq n - 1$). Then we can find a $(s + 1)$ -element subset S of X for which (1) is violated, i.e.

$$\sum_{S \subseteq F \subseteq X} f(F) = a \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Let us consider the trace of f on S , i.e. f_S . In view of Proposition 1, f_S is a null s -design.

PROPOSITION 2. *For $G \subseteq S$ we have $f_S(G) = (-1)^{|S-G|} a$.*

PROOF. We apply induction on $|S - G|$. If $G = S$, then the statement is just (2). Suppose now we are given some G and we know the proposition holds for all its supersets $H, G \subseteq H \subseteq S$. Since f_S is a null s -design and $|G| \leq s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{G \subseteq H \subseteq S} f_S(H) = f_S(G) + \sum_{G \subseteq H \subseteq S} f(H) \\ &= f_S(G) + a \sum_{0 < i \leq |S-G|} \binom{|S-G|}{i} (-1)^{|S-G|-i} \\ &= f_S(G) - (-1)^{|S-G|} a. \end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 1 is immediate: $f_S(G) \neq 0$ implies that for some $F \subseteq X$, $F \cap S = G$ we have $f(F) \neq 0$. Since $|2^S| = 2^{s+1}$, this gives us $2^{s+1} \geq 2^{t+1}$ nonzero terms, as desired. As for Theorem 2, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, define $f^F \in V(X)$ by

$$f^F(G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } G \subseteq F, |G| \leq t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

All these functions are in a $(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq t} \binom{s}{i})$ -dimensional subspace $V_{\leq t} = \{f \in V(X) : f(G) = 0, \text{ whenever } |G| > t\}$. Thus they cannot be linearly independent. Let $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \alpha(F) f^F = 0$ be a linear dependence among them. This means that the function g defined by $g(F) = \alpha(F)$ if $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and $g(F) = 0$ otherwise, is a null t -design. Now, in the terminology of the proof of Theorem 1, for an arbitrary $(t+1)$ -element subset T of S we have

$$\{T \cap F : \alpha(F) \neq 0\} = 2^T,$$

and in particular

$$\{T \cap F ; F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^T,$$

which proves Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. M. Deza and P. Frankl, On the vector space of 0-configurations, *Combinatorica*, (to appear).
2. P. Frankl, "On the trace of finite sets," *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* (to appear).
3. R. L. Graham, S. Y. R. Li and W. C. W. Li, On the structure of t -designs, *SIAM J. Algebraic and Discr. Methods* **1** (1980), 8-14.
4. J. E. Graver and W. B. Jurkat, The module structure of integral designs, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **15** (1973), 75-90.
5. C. C. Lindner, A. Rosa, Steiner triple systems having a prescribed number of triples in common. *Canad. J. Math.* **27** (1975), 1166-1176.
6. N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **13** (1972) 145-147.
7. N. M. Singhi, Private communication.

Received 26 June 1982

P. FRANKL
CNRS, 15 Quai Anatole France, 75007, Paris, France

J. PACH
University College London, London WC1, U.K.