

## THE SET ON WHICH AN ENTIRE FUNCTION IS SMALL.\*

By R. P. BOAS, JR., R. C. BUCK, and P. ERDŐS.

Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function and  $M(r)$  the maximum of  $|f(z)|$  on  $|z| = r$ . We give some results on the density of the set of points at which  $|f(z)|$  is small in comparison with  $M(r)$ ; although simple, these results seem not to have been noticed before.

If  $E$  is a measurable set in the  $z$ -plane, we denote by  $D_R(E)$  the ratio  $m(z \in E, |z| \leq R) / \pi R^2$  and by  $\bar{D}(E)$  and  $\underline{D}(E)$  the upper and lower densities of  $E$ , that is the superior and inferior limits of  $D_R(E)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . For a fixed function  $f(z)$ , let  $E_\lambda$  be the set of points  $z$  for which  $\log |f(z)| \leq (1 - \lambda) \log M(|z|)$ . Our results may be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *For any  $\lambda > 1$ , there is a number  $K$ , the same for all functions  $f(z)$ , such that  $\bar{D}(E_\lambda) \leq K$ . Moreover,  $0 < K \leq \lambda^{-1}$ .*

In particular, for  $\lambda = 2$ , the upper density of the set where  $|f(z)| \leq 1/M(|z|)$  is at most  $1/2$ . Much stronger results are known for entire functions of small finite order. The interest of Theorem 1 is that it holds for all entire functions and that, contrary to what might be expected,  $K$  is strictly positive. We shall show that a lower bound on  $K$  is given by  $\delta^2 / (1 + \delta)^2$  where  $\delta$  is the positive root of  $\delta(2 + \delta)^{\lambda-1} = 1$ . For  $\lambda = 2$ , this can be improved to .1925; the same method will yield better values for other choices of  $\lambda$ . For lower density, the following is true.

**THEOREM 2.** *As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\underline{D}(E_\lambda) = o(\lambda^{-1})$ .*

It might be conjectured that this also holds for the upper density, and for the numbers  $K = K(\lambda)$ .

We first prove that  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an upper bound for  $\bar{D}(E_\lambda)$ . Consider the integral

$$I = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \{\log M(r) - \log |f(re^{i\theta})|\} d\theta.$$

Let  $f(z) = z^p g(z)$ ,  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Then, by Jensen's theorem

---

\* Received July 28, 1947.

$$I = \log M(r) - p \log r - (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \log |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ \leq \log M(r) - p \log r - \log |g(0)|.$$

Let  $H_{r,\lambda}$  be the set of values of  $\theta$  for which  $\log |f(re^{i\theta})|$  is less than  $(1-\lambda) \log M(r)$ . By applying to the integral  $I$  the identity  $\int \phi(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \psi(r) dr$  where  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  and  $\psi(r)$  is the measure of the set on which  $\phi(x) \geq r$ , the integral  $I$  may also be expressed as

$$I = (2\pi)^{-1} \log M(r) \int_0^\infty m(H_{r,\lambda}) d\lambda.$$

Hence, writing  $C = \log |g(0)|$ , we have

$$(1) \quad (1/2\pi) \int_0^\infty m(H_{r,\lambda}) d\lambda \leq 1 - \frac{p \log r + C}{\log M(r)}.$$

Choose  $R_0$  so that  $M(r) > 1$  for  $r \geq R_0$ ; then

$$m(z \in E, R_0 \leq |z| \leq R) = \int_{R_0}^R m(H_{r,\lambda}) r dr.$$

Integrating this with respect to  $\lambda$  and using (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \int_0^\infty \bar{D}_R(E_\lambda^*) d\lambda \leq 1 - R_0^2/R^2 - (2/R^2) \int_{R_0}^R \frac{(p \log r + C)r dr}{\log M(r)}$$

where  $E_\lambda^*$  is  $E_\lambda$  with the circle  $|z| \leq R_0$  deleted.

We may suppose that  $f(z)$  is not a polynomial. (In this case, it is easily seen that  $\bar{D}(E_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .) Since  $\log M(r)$  is convex in  $\log r$ , it follows that  $\log r = o(\log M(r))$  as  $r$  tends to infinity, and hence that the right side of (2) is  $1 + o(1)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $\lambda$  increases, the sets  $E_\lambda^*$  decrease and  $D_R(E_\lambda^*)$  is monotone for fixed  $R$ . Thus,  $\lambda D_R(E_\lambda^*) \leq \int_0^\infty D_R(E_\lambda^*) d\lambda$  and letting  $R$  increase, we have  $\lambda \bar{D}(E_\lambda) = \lambda \bar{D}(E_\lambda^*) \leq 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 also falls out of the inequality (2). Letting  $R$  tend to infinity, we have

$$\int_0^\infty D(E_\lambda) d\lambda \leq 1$$

and since the integrand is monotonic,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \bar{D}(E_\lambda) = 0$ .

To obtain a lower bound on  $K$ , the least upper bound of  $\bar{D}(E_\lambda)$  for all functions  $f(z)$ , we investigate a special function. Consider the product

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/a^n)^{b^n}, \quad a > b > 1,$$

which defines an entire function of order  $\log b/\log a$ . Put

$$\phi(z) = |f(z)| M(r)^{\lambda-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ |1 - z/a^k| (1 + r/a^k)^{\lambda-1} \}^{b^k}.$$

Suppose that  $z$  lies in the region  $S$  described by

$$(3) \quad |1 - z/a^n| (1 + r/a^n)^{\lambda-1} \leq \beta < 1.$$

Let  $r/a^n$  be less than  $\gamma$  for all  $z$  in  $S$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &\leq \prod_{k < n} (1 + r/a^k)^{\lambda b^k} \beta^{b^n} \prod_{k > n} (1 + r/a^k)^{\lambda b^k} \\ &\leq (\lambda \gamma a^{n-1})^{\lambda b} (\lambda \gamma a^{n-2})^{\lambda b^2} \cdots (\lambda \gamma a)^{\lambda b^{n-1}} \beta^{b^n} \exp \{ \lambda \gamma a^n \sum_{k > n} (b/a)^k \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\log \phi(z) \leq b^n \left\{ \frac{\lambda \log \lambda \gamma}{b-1} + \frac{\lambda b \log a}{(b-1)^2} + \frac{\lambda b \gamma}{a-b} + \log \beta \right\}.$$

As  $b$  and  $a$  tend to infinity in such a manner that  $b^{-1} \log a$  and  $b/a$  approach zero (e. g.,  $a = b^2$ ), the bracket approaches  $\log \beta$  which is negative. Thus, for any  $\beta < 1$  and for suitable  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\phi(z) < 1$  for all  $z$  in  $S$ , and for the special function that we have constructed,  $S \subseteq E_\lambda$ .

There is a set of type  $S$  enclosing each of the points  $z = a^n$ . We now estimate the upper density of the union of these sets, and hence the upper density of  $E_\lambda$ . We may take  $\beta = 1$ . Put  $w = z/a^n = \rho e^{i\theta}$ ; the set  $S$  corresponds to the set  $S^*$  bounded by the curve  $|1 - w| (1 + \rho)^{\lambda-1} = 1$ . The circle  $|w - 1| < \delta$  where  $\delta(2 + \delta)^{\lambda-1} = 1$  lies in  $S^*$ . The ratio  $D_{1+\delta}(S^*)$  is at least  $\delta^2/(1 + \delta)^2$  and since this is independent of  $n$ , this number is a lower bound for  $K(\lambda)$ . A better bound can be obtained by computing the radius  $\rho_0$  for which  $D_{\rho_0}(S^*) = m(w \in S^*, |w| \leq \rho_0)/\pi \rho_0^2$  is greatest. This number is then the desired lower bound. In the special case  $\lambda = 2$ , numerical integration gives the value .1925 for this ratio.

With reference to generalizations, we observe that the relations (1) and (2) hold with  $p = 0$  with any subharmonic function  $v(z)$  replacing the function  $\log |f(z)|$ , and with  $\mu(r) = \max_\theta v(re^{i\theta})$  replacing  $\log M(r)$ , provided that  $C = v(0)$  is finite. In addition, there is equality instead of inequality in (1) and (2) if  $v(z)$  is a harmonic function without singularities.

BROWN UNIVERSITY.

SOCIETY OF FELLOWS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY.