

# On generalized blocks for alternating groups \*

Attila Maróti

June 23, 2005

## Abstract

In a recent paper Külshammer, Olsson, Robinson gave a  $d$ -analogue for the Nakayama conjecture for symmetric groups where  $d \geq 2$  is an arbitrary integer. We prove that there is a natural  $d$ -analogue of the Nakayama conjecture for alternating groups whenever  $d$  is 2 or an arbitrary odd integer greater than 1. This generalizes an old result of Kerber.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $d$  be a prime. A  $d$ -block of a finite group  $G$  is usually defined to be a minimal two-sided ideal of the group algebra  $FG$  where  $F$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $d$ . It is a basic fact of modular representation theory that each complex irreducible character of  $G$  is assigned to a unique  $d$ -block. In this case we say that the character is in the  $d$ -block.

Recently, motivated by earlier work [2] on Hecke algebras, Külshammer, Olsson, Robinson [6] extended the definition of a  $d$ -block of a finite group for all integers  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the union of a set of conjugacy classes of a finite group  $G$ , and let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  be the set of complex irreducible characters of  $G$ . They defined a  $\mathcal{C}$ -block to be a non-empty subset  $B$  of  $\text{Irr}(G)$  which is minimal subject to the following condition. If  $\chi \in B$ ,  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , and if there exists a natural number  $k$  and a sequence  $\chi = \chi_0, \dots, \chi_k = \psi$  so that for all  $0 \leq i < k$  the truncated inner product of  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_{i+1}$  across  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, the inner product

$$\langle \chi_i, \chi_{i+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_i(g) \overline{\chi_{i+1}(g)}, \quad (1)$$

is not 0, then  $\psi \in B$ . If the expression (1) is not 0 for the irreducible characters  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_{i+1}$ , then it is said that the characters are directly  $\mathcal{C}$ -linked. We indeed got a more general definition of a block, since if  $d$  is prime and if  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all elements of  $G$  with orders relatively prime to  $d$ , then the  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks of  $G$  are precisely the subsets of  $\text{Irr}(G)$  corresponding to the usual  $d$ -blocks of  $G$ .

To state a main result of [6] we need some more definitions from that paper. The complex irreducible characters  $\chi_\lambda$  of the symmetric group  $S_n$  are labelled naturally by partitions  $\lambda$  of  $n$ . Let  $d \geq 2$  be an arbitrary integer. The  $d$ -core  $\gamma_\lambda$  of the partition  $\lambda$  is the partition that we get after removing all  $d$ -hooks from  $\lambda$ . We say that  $B \subseteq \text{Irr}(S_n)$  is a combinatorial  $d$ -block of  $S_n$  if  $B$  consists of

---

\*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 20C30, (20C15, 20C20)

all irreducible characters of  $S_n$  that are labelled by partitions with the same  $d$ -core. Külshammer, Olsson, Robinson [6] proved that if  $d \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks and combinatorial  $d$ -blocks for  $S_n$  are the same if  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all elements of  $S_n$  which have no cycle (of their disjoint cycle decompositions) of length divisible by  $d$ . If  $d$  is a prime, then this gives the so-called Nakayama conjecture proved by Brauer and Robinson [1]. The Nakayama conjecture has a natural analogue for alternating groups  $A_n$  proved by Kerber [5] (see also Theorem 6.1.46 of [4], and for a partial result see the doctoral thesis of Puttaswamaiah [10]). Let  $\lambda'$  denote the associate partition of the partition  $\lambda$ . (The diagram of  $\lambda'$  is obtained from the diagram of  $\lambda$  by interchanging its rows with its columns.) Let  $Res_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\lambda)$  be the restriction of the character  $\chi_\lambda$  to the group  $A_n$ , and let  $d$  be a prime. The theorem of Kerber states that if  $\lambda = \gamma_\lambda$ , then each irreducible constituent of  $Res_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\lambda)$  is the only element in its  $d$ -block, and if  $\lambda \neq \gamma_\lambda$ , then to the  $d$ -block of an irreducible constituent of  $Res_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\lambda)$  there belong just the constituents of such restrictions  $Res_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\mu)$ , where  $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_\lambda$  or  $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_{\lambda'}$ . Now let  $d \geq 2$  be an arbitrary integer, and define a combinatorial  $d$ -block of  $A_n$  by the combinatorial description of a  $d$ -block we just mentioned.

In this paper we prove the following generalization of the above-mentioned result of Kerber.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $d$  be 2 or an arbitrary odd integer greater than 1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all permutations of the alternating group  $A_n$  so that no cycle of their disjoint cycle decompositions has length divisible by  $d$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks and combinatorial  $d$ -blocks coincide for  $A_n$ .*

When  $d$  is a prime, then this theorem reduces to Kerber's result, so there is nothing to prove in case  $d = 2$ . In fact, most of our argument for the proof of Theorem 1.1 only works for  $d > 1$  odd in which case we are able to prove an even stronger result (see Theorem 2.1) using ideas from [8]. If  $d$  is an even integer greater than 2, then there are integers  $n$  for which the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is false.

Finally, we note that  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks are investigated for other classes of groups also. In connection with Broué's conjectures, Jean-Baptiste Gramain [3] studied generalized blocks of some groups of Lie rank one.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $H$  be an arbitrary (finite or infinite) set of positive integers. Following [8] we say that a permutation (of finite order) is  $H$ -regular if for all  $h \in H$  no cycle (of its disjoint cycle decomposition) has length equal to  $h$ . Let  $G$  be the symmetric group  $S_n$  or the alternating group  $A_n$ , and let  $H(G)$  denote the set of  $H$ -regular elements of  $G$ . For complex irreducible characters  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $G$  we define  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{H(G)}$  as in (1). We will prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.1 for  $d > 1$  odd.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $d > 1$  be odd, and let  $H$  be a set of positive integers so that  $d \in H$  and all elements of  $H$  are divisible by  $d$ . Then  $H(A_n)$ -blocks and combinatorial  $d$ -blocks are the same for  $A_n$ .*

To perform our calculations for the proof of Theorem 2.1, we will first need

to move from  $A_n$  to  $S_n$  and then to a generalized symmetric group  $Z_d \wr S_w$  for some integer  $w$ .

How can we label the characters of  $A_n$ ? As in the Introduction, for any partition  $\lambda$ , we denote the associate partition by  $\lambda'$ . If  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , then it is said that the partition  $\lambda$  is self-associate. Otherwise it is non-associate. The irreducible characters of  $A_n$  are canonically labelled by symbols  $\lambda^0, \mu^+, \mu^-$  where  $\{\lambda, \lambda'\}$  and  $\mu$  run through the set of all associate pairs of non-associate and the set of all self-associate partitions of  $n$ , respectively. Denote the irreducible character of  $A_n$  associated to the non-associate partition  $\lambda$  by  $\chi_{\lambda^0}$ . This is exactly the restriction to  $A_n$  of both irreducible characters  $\chi_\lambda$  and  $\chi_{\lambda'}$  of  $S_n$ . For convenience, let us call such a character a stalk. Denote the irreducible characters of  $A_n$  associated to the self-associate partition  $\mu$  by  $\chi_{\mu^+}$  and  $\chi_{\mu^-}$ . These are characters of  $A_n$  such that the restriction to  $A_n$  of the irreducible character  $\chi_\mu = \chi_{\mu'}$  of  $S_n$  is  $\chi_{\mu^+} + \chi_{\mu^-}$ . Let us call such characters cherries. For the time being, this is all we will need to know about characters of  $A_n$ . Later we will introduce formulas (4) and (5). For more information the reader is referred to [4].

To prepare our step of moving from  $S_n$  to the relevant generalized symmetric group, we need some more definitions. Let  $d > 1$  be an arbitrary integer. For a partition  $\lambda$  we denote the  $d$ -core of  $\lambda$  by  $\gamma_\lambda$ , and the  $d$ -quotient by  $\beta_\lambda$ . (The  $d$ -core,  $\gamma_\lambda$  (as mentioned earlier) is the partition obtained by removing all  $d$ -hooks from  $\lambda$ , and the  $d$ -quotient is a  $d$ -tuple of partitions recording the  $d$ -hook removals obtained by moving from  $\lambda$  to its  $d$ -core.) Note that the empty partition, the partition of 0, could occur as a  $d$ -core. There are  $1 - 1$  correspondences between partitions of  $n$  with a fixed  $d$ -core and their  $d$ -quotients. Let us fix one. If there are  $w$  hooks of length  $d$  to be removed from  $\lambda$  to go to its  $d$ -core, then  $w$  is called the  $d$ -weight of  $\beta_\lambda$ . The  $d$ -quotients serve as a natural index set for the conjugacy classes and the irreducible characters of the generalized symmetric group  $Z_d \wr S_w$ . If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  so that  $\beta_\lambda$  has  $d$ -weight  $w$ , then we denote the associated character of  $Z_d \wr S_w$  by  $\chi_{\beta_\lambda}$ . Again, the reader is referred to [4] for detailed information. Finally, by Pages 61-63 of [9] and by Theorem 4.53 of [11], for each partition  $\lambda$ , there is a sign,  $\sigma_\lambda$ , called the  $d$ -sign of  $\lambda$  which is equal to  $(-1)^{\sum_i l_i}$  where  $l_i$  is the leg length of the  $i$ -th  $d$ -hook to be removed from  $\lambda$  when ‘moving down’ to its  $d$ -core  $\gamma_\lambda$  along an arbitrary path. We will make use of the simple observation that if  $d > 1$  is odd, then  $\sigma_\lambda = \sigma_{\lambda'}$ .

We will next describe a certain set of elements of the group  $Z_d \wr S_w$ . Let  $d > 1$  be a positive integer, and let  $H$  be any set of positive integers so that all elements of  $H$  are divisible by  $d$ . Put  $H_d = \{h/d : h \in H\}$ . Following [8], we define an  $H_d$ -regular element of  $Z_d \wr S_w$  to be an element  $(a_1, \dots, a_w)\sigma$  where  $(a_1, \dots, a_w)$  is in the base group  $Z_d^w$  (which we consider to be the  $w$ -th power of the group of complex  $d$ -th roots of unity) and  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S_w$ , such that for all  $h \in H$ , the product of the  $a_j$ 's corresponding to each  $h$ -cycle of  $\sigma$  is different from 1. The set of all  $H_d$ -regular elements is a union of conjugacy classes of  $Z_d \wr S_w$ . Let us denote this set by  $H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)$ . For complex irreducible characters  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $Z_d \wr S_w$  we define  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)}$  as in (1).

With the notations above, Theorem 5.1 of [8] states that if  $d > 1$  is an arbitrary integer, and  $\lambda, \mu$  are arbitrary partitions of  $n$ , then

$$\langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_\mu \rangle_{H(S_n)} = \langle \sigma_\lambda \chi_{\beta_\lambda}, \sigma_\mu \chi_{\beta_\mu} \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)} \quad (2)$$

holds. Formula (2) is a generalization of the ‘perfect isometry’ of [6].

In this paper, as in [6] and [8], the ‘perfect isometry’ will only be applied in the special case when  $\chi_{\beta_\lambda}$  is the trivial character  $\mathbf{1}$  of the generalized symmetric group  $Z_d \wr S_w$ . A special case of Theorem 5.2 of [8], and a slight extension of Theorem 5.12 of [6], is the following. If  $d \in H$ , then for all irreducible characters  $\chi_{\beta_\mu}$  of  $Z_d \wr S_w$ , the algebraic integer

$$\frac{d^w w! \cdot \langle \mathbf{1}, \chi_{\beta_\mu} \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)}}{\chi_{\beta_\mu}(\mathbf{1})}$$

is an integer so that

$$\frac{d^w w! \cdot \langle \mathbf{1}, \chi_{\beta_\mu} \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)}}{\chi_{\beta_\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \equiv (-1)^w \pmod{d}. \quad (3)$$

We are now in the position to prove our theorems.

### 3 The proof of Theorem 2.1

Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $d > 1$  be arbitrary integers. Let  $H$  be a set of positive integers so that  $d \in H$  and all elements of  $H$  are divisible by  $d$ .

We prove Theorem 2.1 in steps.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an arbitrary odd integer. For all  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(A_n)$ , the truncated inner product  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{A_n}, \alpha \rangle_{H(A_n)}$  is non-zero if and only if  $\alpha$  is inside the combinatorial  $d$ -block of the trivial character  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  is a cherry, then by Frobenius reciprocity, we have  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{A_n}, \alpha \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(S_n)}$  for some self-associate partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$  where  $\mathbf{1}_{S_n}$  denotes the trivial character of  $S_n$ . Now by the ‘perfect isometry’ (2) and by (3) we see that  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(S_n)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{1}_{S_n}$  and  $\chi_\lambda$  are in the same combinatorial  $d$ -block of  $A_n$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a stalk, then by Frobenius reciprocity again, we have  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{A_n}, \alpha \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\mu + \chi_{\mu'} \rangle_{H(S_n)}$  for some non-associate partition  $\mu$  of  $n$ . We have four cases to consider. If  $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_{(n)} \neq \gamma_{\mu'}$  or if  $\gamma_\mu \neq \gamma_{(n)} = \gamma_{\mu'}$ , then again by the ‘perfect isometry’ (2) and by (3) we see that  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\mu + \chi_{\mu'} \rangle_{H(S_n)} \neq 0$ . If  $\gamma_\mu \neq \gamma_{(n)} \neq \gamma_{\mu'}$ , then  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\mu + \chi_{\mu'} \rangle_{H(S_n)} = 0$ . Finally, in case  $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_{(n)} = \gamma_{\mu'}$ , we claim that  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\mu + \chi_{\mu'} \rangle_{H(S_n)} \neq 0$ .

Let  $n = dw + r$  where  $w$  and  $r$  are integers such that  $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$ . Let the characters of  $Z_d \wr S_w$  corresponding to  $\chi_\mu$  and  $\chi_{\mu'}$  be  $\chi_{\beta_\mu}$  and  $\chi_{\beta_{\mu'}}$ , respectively. By (2) we have

$$d^w w! \langle \mathbf{1}_{S_n}, \chi_\mu + \chi_{\mu'} \rangle_{H(S_n)} = d^w w! \langle \mathbf{1}_{Z_d \wr S_w}, \sigma_\mu \chi_{\beta_\mu} + \sigma_{\mu'} \chi_{\beta_{\mu'}} \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)},$$

where  $\sigma_\mu = \sigma_{\mu'}$  is the  $d$ -sign of  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$ . These are equal since  $d$  is odd. Notice that the quotients  $\beta_\mu$  and  $\beta_{\mu'}$  are associate to each other as necklaces, which implies that  $\chi_{\beta_\mu}(\mathbf{1}) = \chi_{\beta_{\mu'}}(\mathbf{1})$ . Hence, by (3), we see that

$$\frac{\sigma_\mu d^w w! \langle \mathbf{1}_{Z_d \wr S_w}, \chi_{\beta_\mu} + \chi_{\beta_{\mu'}} \rangle_{H_d(Z_d \wr S_w)}}{\chi_{\beta_\mu}(\mathbf{1})}$$

is an integer congruent to  $2\sigma_\mu(-1)^w$  modulo  $d$ . This is never 0. The proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

By reading the proof of Lemma 3.1 more carefully, one can see that for any stalk  $\alpha$  and any irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $A_n$ , the truncated inner product  $\langle \alpha, \chi \rangle_{H(A_n)}$  is 0 if  $\alpha$  and  $\chi$  lie in different combinatorial  $d$ -blocks of  $A_n$ .

Next we investigate the truncated inner products of cherries. But before we do so, we recall a few results from Page 67 of [4].

Let  $\mu$  be a self-associate partition of  $n$ , and let  $h(\mu)$  be the partition (of  $n$ ) with parts consisting of the main hooks of  $\mu$ . Let  $d(\mu)$  denote the product of all parts of this partition  $h(\mu)$ . Now  $\text{Res}_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\mu) = \chi_{\mu^+} + \chi_{\mu^-}$ . For all permutations  $\pi$  of  $A_n$  of cycle-shape different from  $h(\mu)$ , it is known that  $\chi_{\mu^+}(\pi) = \chi_{\mu^-}(\pi) = \chi_\mu(\pi)/2$ . Otherwise, if  $\pi$  has cycle-shape equal to  $h(\mu)$ , then it is a member of one of two conjugacy classes of  $A_n$ . Let  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  denote two representatives of these conjugacy classes with respect to the following identities (see Theorem 2.5.13 of [4]):

$$\chi_{\mu^+}(\pi^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) \pm \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi) \cdot d(\mu)} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\chi_{\mu^-}(\pi^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) \mp \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi) \cdot d(\mu)} \right). \quad (5)$$

We are now in the position to state

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer, and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be irreducible characters of  $A_n$  lying in different combinatorial  $d$ -blocks of  $A_n$ . Then  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{H(A_n)} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the remark after Lemma 3.1 we may (and do) suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are cherries. There are two possibilities to consider:  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are associate with the same stalk or they are not.

Let us start with the case when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are associate with different stalks. There are four cases to be dealt with. These are  $\alpha = \chi_{\mu^\pm}$  and  $\beta = \chi_{\lambda^\pm}$  where  $\lambda, \mu$  are different self-associate partitions of  $n$ . However, we only need to consider one of these cases. Indeed, by Frobenius reciprocity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\lambda^-} \rangle_{H(A_n)} + \langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\lambda^+} \rangle_{H(A_n)} &= \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(S_n)} = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\mu^-}, \chi_{\lambda^+} \rangle_{H(A_n)} + \langle \chi_{\mu^-}, \chi_{\lambda^-} \rangle_{H(A_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(S_n)} = 0$ . So suppose that  $\alpha = \chi_{\mu^+}$  and  $\beta = \chi_{\lambda^+}$ . Define  $\epsilon(\mu)$  to be 1 if the partition  $h(\mu)$  is  $H$ -regular and to be 0 if it is not. Define  $\epsilon(\lambda)$  similarly. Now evaluating  $\langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\lambda^+} \rangle_{H(A_n)}$  using formula (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\lambda^+} \rangle_{H(A_n)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(A_n)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \cdot \epsilon(\mu)n!(2z_\mu)^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\chi_\mu(g) \cdot d(\mu)} \chi_\lambda(g^{-1}) - \sqrt{\chi_\mu(g) \cdot d(\mu)} \chi_\lambda(g^{-1}) \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \cdot \epsilon(\lambda)n!(2z_\lambda)^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\chi_\lambda(h) \cdot d(\lambda)} \chi_\mu(h^{-1}) - \sqrt{\chi_\lambda(h) \cdot d(\lambda)} \chi_\mu(h^{-1}) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(A_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(A_n)$  is considered as a union of conjugacy classes in  $S_n$ , where  $g$  and  $h$  are permutations of cycle-shape  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively, and  $z_\mu, z_\lambda$  are the orders

of the centralizers of  $g$  and  $h$ , respectively. Since  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are self-associate partitions, both  $\chi_\mu$  and  $\chi_\lambda$  vanish outside  $A_n$ , so we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\lambda \rangle_{H(S_n)} = 0.$$

Suppose now that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are associate with the same stalk. Without loss of generality, put  $\alpha = \chi_{\mu^+}$  and  $\beta = \chi_{\mu^-}$  for some self-associate  $d$ -core partition,  $\mu$ . Let us calculate  $\langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\mu^-} \rangle_{H(A_n)}$ . We use formulas (4), (5), and the facts that  $z_{h(\mu)} = d(\mu)$  (since  $h(\mu)$  is a partition with distinct parts) and  $\chi_\mu(\pi) = \pm 1$  where  $\pi$  is an element of  $A_n$  of cycle-shape  $h(\mu)$  (this follows from the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula). Also note that  $\pi$  is an  $H$ -regular permutation since  $\mu$  is a  $d$ -core partition.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\mu^-} \rangle_{H(A_n)} &= \frac{1}{2n!} \left( \sum \chi_\mu(g) \overline{\chi_\mu(g)} + \right. \\ &+ \frac{n!}{2z_{h(\mu)}} \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) + \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi)d(\mu)} \right) \cdot \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) - \chi_\mu(\pi) \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi)d(\mu)} \right) + \\ &+ \left. \frac{n!}{2z_{h(\mu)}} \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) - \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi)d(\mu)} \right) \cdot \left( \chi_\mu(\pi) + \chi_\mu(\pi) \sqrt{\chi_\mu(\pi)d(\mu)} \right) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\mu \rangle_{H(A_n)} - \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all elements  $g$  of  $H(A_n)$  of cycle-shape different from  $h(\mu)$  and where the last truncated inner product means that we are only summing over the subset  $H(A_n)$  of  $S_n$ .

Since  $\mu$  is a self-associate partition of  $n$ , we have

$$\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\mu \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\mu \rangle_{H(S_n)}.$$

Also, since  $\mu$  is a  $d$ -core partition, by part (d) of Example 1.8 of [7] and by the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula (see Example 7.5 of [7]), we see that  $\chi_\mu$  vanishes off the set of  $H$ -regular permutations of  $S_n$ . From this we conclude that  $\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\mu \rangle_{H(A_n)} = 1$ , and hence that  $\langle \chi_{\mu^+}, \chi_{\mu^-} \rangle_{H(A_n)} = 0$ .  $\square$

So far we know that for all integers  $d > 1$ , combinatorial  $d$ -blocks for  $A_n$  are unions of  $H(A_n)$ -linked blocks.

Let  $B$  be a combinatorial  $d$ -block of  $S_n$  consisting of characters labelled by partitions with  $d$ -quotients of weight  $w$ . Let  $\chi_\tau \in B$  be the character of  $S_n$  for which  $\chi_{\beta_\tau}$  is the trivial character of  $Z_d \wr S_w$ . There are two cases to consider:  $\tau$  is a non-associate partition and  $\tau$  is a self-associate partition.

Suppose that  $\tau$  is a non-associate partition of  $n$ . In this case, notice that in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we may replace the character  $1_{A_n}$  by  $\chi_{\tau^0}$  (and the partition  $(n)$  by  $\tau$ ), and we may conclude that  $\chi_{\tau^0}$  is directly  $H(A_n)$ -linked to (this definition is found after formula (1)) all characters in its combinatorial  $d$ -block.

Let  $\tau$  be a self-associate partition of  $n$ . First of all, we may (and do) suppose that  $\tau$  is *not* a  $d$ -core partition.

We claim that  $\chi_{\tau^+}$  is directly  $H(A_n)$ -linked to every irreducible character  $\alpha$  different from  $\chi_{\tau^-}$  of its combinatorial  $d$ -block. If  $\alpha := \text{Res}_{A_n}^{S_n}(\chi_\lambda)$  is

a stalk where  $\lambda$  is some non-associate partition of  $n$ , then  $\langle \chi_{\tau^+}, \alpha \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \langle \chi_{\tau}, \chi_{\lambda} \rangle_{H(S_n)} \neq 0$ . If  $\alpha := \chi_{\mu^+}$  is a cherry where  $\mu$  is some self-associate partition of  $n$ , then the calculations in Lemma 3.2 yield

$$\langle \alpha, \chi_{\tau^+} \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \chi_{\mu}, \chi_{\tau} \rangle_{H(A_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \chi_{\mu}, \chi_{\tau} \rangle_{H(S_n)} \neq 0.$$

The same is true if  $\alpha = \chi_{\mu^-}$ . This proves the claim.

Similarly, it is also true that  $\chi_{\tau^-}$  is directly  $H(A_n)$ -linked to every irreducible character  $\alpha$  different from  $\chi_{\tau^+}$  of its combinatorial  $d$ -block.

By the fact that  $\tau$  is not a  $d$ -core partition and by the two claims above, we conclude that there exists a third character  $\alpha$  in the combinatorial  $d$ -block of  $A_n$  containing  $\chi_{\tau^+}$  and  $\chi_{\tau^-}$  which is directly  $H(A_n)$ -linked to both  $\chi_{\tau^+}$  and  $\chi_{\tau^-}$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now complete.

What if  $d > 1$  is arbitrary, not necessarily odd? From the above, we know that combinatorial  $d$ -blocks are unions of  $H(A_n)$ -linked blocks for  $A_n$ . Can we say more?

We remark, that by part (e) of Example 1.8 of [7], it follows - in the last case of the proof above - that  $\chi_{\tau}$  can only correspond to the trivial character of  $Z_d \wr S_w$  if the  $d$ -quotient of  $\tau$  is equal (as a necklace) to the  $d$ -quotient  $\beta_{(n)}$  where one entry is  $(w)$  and all other entries are the empty partitions. This happens only if  $w = 0$  or if  $w = 1$ . If  $w = 1$  is the case, then  $\gamma_{\tau}$  is self-associate and hence  $d$  has to be odd. So in the case when  $\tau$  is a self-associate partition of  $n$ , there is no need to assume in the beginning that  $d$  is odd.

By Theorem 6.1.46 of [4], we see that the two notions coincide when  $d = 2$  and when  $H$  is the set of all positive even integers. However, if  $d > 2$  is even, then combinatorial  $d$ -blocks and  $H(A_n)$ -linked blocks do *not* coincide for the groups  $A_d$ ,  $A_{d+1}$ , or  $A_{d+3}$  if  $H$  is the set of all positive integers divisible by  $d$ . Indeed, in the first two cases, there is no  $H(A_n)$ -linked block containing at least two irreducible characters, however the combinatorial  $d$ -block containing the trivial character contains at least two irreducible characters. The group  $A_{d+3}$  contains precisely one conjugacy class of *non*  $H$ -regular elements. If the value of an irreducible character of  $A_{d+3}$  is 0 on this conjugacy class, then that character forms a separate  $H(A_n)$ -linked block of its own. All irreducible characters not vanishing on that conjugacy class form one  $H(A_n)$ -linked block. So there is at most one  $H(A_n)$ -linked block of  $A_{d+3}$  containing more than one irreducible character. On the other hand, there are precisely two combinatorial  $d$ -blocks having more than one irreducible character. (One associated to the  $d$ -core  $(3)$  and the other to the  $d$ -core  $(2, 1)$ .)

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Professor G. R. Robinson, Professor J. B. Olsson, and Professor A. Kerber for providing many valuable information on the topic. This work was mainly done at the University of Birmingham, U.K. The research was mainly supported by the School of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Birmingham, U.K., and partially by NSF Grant DMS 0140578 and by OTKA T034878.

## References

- [1] Brauer, R.; Robinson, G. de B. On a conjecture by Nakayama. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. Sect. III.* (3) **41**, (1947). 11-25.
- [2] Donkin, S. Representations of Hecke algebras and characters of symmetric groups. Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000), 49-67, *Progr. Math.*, **210**, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [3] Gramain, J. Generalized perfect isometries in some groups of Lie rank one, submitted.
- [4] James, G.; Kerber, A. The representation theory of the symmetric group. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* **16** (1981).
- [5] Kerber, A. Zur modularen Darstellungstheorie symmetrischer und alternierender Gruppen. II. *Arch. Math.* **19** 1968, 588-594 (1969).
- [6] Külshammer, B.; Olsson, J. B.; Robinson, G. R. Generalized blocks for symmetric groups. *Invent. math.* **151** (2003), 513-552.
- [7] Macdonald, I. G.; Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [8] Maróti, A. A proof for a generalized Nakayama conjecture, submitted.
- [9] Morris, A. O.; Olsson, J. B. On  $p$ -quotients for spin characters. *J. Algebra* **119** (1988), no. 1, 51-82.
- [10] Puttaswamaiah, B. M. Group representations; alternating and generalized symmetric groups. Ph.D. thesis, University of Toronto 1963.
- [11] Robinson, G. de B. Representation theory of the symmetric group. *Mathematical expositions*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, VIII, (1961).

*Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113, U.S.A.*  
*E-mail address: maroti@usc.edu*