

Functional Analysis, BSM, Spring 2012

Exercise sheet: Inner product spaces

Solutions

1.

$$|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)| = |(x_n, y_n) - (x_n, y) + (x_n, y) - (x, y)| \leq |(x_n, y_n) - (x_n, y)| + |(x_n, y) - (x, y)| = |(x_n, y_n - y)| + |(x_n - x, y)| \leq \|x_n\| \|y_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y\| \rightarrow 0.$$

(The last inequality follows from the Cauchy inequality.)

2. a) The parallelogram law is the sum of the following two equations:

$$\|x + y\|^2 = (x + y, x + y) = (x, x) + (x, y) + (y, x) + (y, y);$$

$$\|x - y\|^2 = (x - y, x - y) = (x, x) - (x, y) - (y, x) + (y, y).$$

b) In real spaces we have $(x, y) = (y, x)$, so the difference of the above equations gives the polarisation formula.

In a complex space $(x, y) + (y, x) = (x, y) + \overline{(x, y)} = 2\Re(x, y)$. Thus we get

$$\Re(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2).$$

Now we use this formula for x and iy :

$$\Re(x, iy) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + iy\|^2 - \|x - iy\|^2).$$

However,

$$\Re(x, iy) = \Re(-i(x, y)) = \Im(x, y), \text{ so}$$

$$(x, y) = \Re(x, y) + i\Im(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2).$$

3. We have seen that the ℓ_2 -norm is induced by the inner product

$$(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \overline{\beta_n}, \text{ where } x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \text{ and } y = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots).$$

We show that all other ℓ_p -norms fail to satisfy the parallelogram law and thus cannot be induced by an inner product. Let $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $y = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$. It is easy to see that for $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\|x\|_p = \|y\|_p = 1 \text{ and } \|x + y\|_p = \|x - y\|_p = \sqrt[p]{2},$$

while for $p = \infty$

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \|y\|_{\infty} = \|x + y\|_{\infty} = \|x - y\|_{\infty} = 1.$$

Consequently, the parallelogram law only holds for $p = 2$.

4. First suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x$, that is, $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. On the one hand,

$$\| \|x_n\| - \|x\| \| \leq \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, the Cauchy inequality implies

$$|(x_n - x, y)| \leq \|x_n - x\| \|y\| \rightarrow 0$$

for any fixed $y \in H$, thus $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$.

Now we assume that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$. Then

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = (x_n - x, x_n - x) = (x_n, x_n) - (x_n, x) - \overline{(x_n, x)} + (x, x) = \|x_n\|^2 - (x_n, x) - \overline{(x_n, x)} + \|x\|^2,$$

which converges to

$$\|x\|^2 - (x, x) - \overline{(x, x)} + \|x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = 0.$$

5.* We prove the statement for real normed spaces. The complex case is similar. We define the inner product as the polarisation formula suggests:

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2).$$

We need to prove that it is indeed an inner product. (It is clear that it induces the original norm.) For any x, y :

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2) = \frac{1}{4} (\|y + x\|^2 - \|y - x\|^2) = (y, x).$$

For any $x \neq 0$:

$$(x, x) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + x\|^2 - \|x - x\|^2) = \frac{1}{4} \|2x\|^2 > 0.$$

For any x_1, x_2, y :

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, y) + (x_2, y) &= \frac{1}{4} (\|x_1 + y\|^2 - \|x_1 - y\|^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\|x_2 + y\|^2 - \|x_2 - y\|^2) = \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} + y \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - y \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} + y \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - y \right\|^2 \right) &= \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(2 \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + y \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} \right\|^2 - 2 \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - y \right\|^2 - 2 \left\| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} \right\|^2 \right) &= \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(2 \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + y \right\|^2 - 2 \left\| \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - y \right\|^2 \right) &= 2 \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, y \right). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtained that

$$(x_1, y) + (x_2, y) = 2 \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, y \right). \quad (1)$$

Plugging $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = 0$ we get

$$(x, y) = 2 \left(\frac{x}{2}, y \right). \quad (2)$$

First using (2) with $x = x_1 + x_2$ and then (1):

$$(x_1 + x_2, y) = 2 \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, y \right) = (x_1, y) + (x_2, y).$$

The only property of an inner product that remains to verify is that $(\alpha x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$. If α is a positive integer, then one can prove this by induction using additivity:

$$((n+1)x, y) = (nx + x, y) = (nx, y) + (x, y) = n(x, y) + (x, y) = (n+1)(x, y).$$

It follows that it is also true when α is a negative integer, because

$$0 = (0, y) = (nx + (-n)x, y) = (nx, y) + ((-n)x, y) = n(x, y) + ((-n)x, y).$$

Then for $\alpha = 1/n$:

$$(x, y) = \left(n \left(\frac{1}{n} x \right), y \right) = n \left(\frac{1}{n} x, y \right), \text{ so } \left(\frac{1}{n} x, y \right) = \frac{1}{n} (x, y).$$

For arbitrary rational number $\alpha = m/n$:

$$\left(\frac{m}{n} x, y \right) = \left(m \frac{1}{n} x, y \right) = m \left(\frac{1}{n} x, y \right) = \frac{m}{n} (x, y).$$

Finally, for an arbitrary real number α let us pick a sequence of rational numbers α_n converging to α . Obviously, $\alpha_n(x, y) \rightarrow \alpha(x, y)$. On the other hand, $\alpha_n(x, y) = (\alpha_n x, y) \rightarrow (\alpha x, y)$. (This follows from the continuity of our inner product, which in turn follows from its definition and the fact that the norm is continuous.) The limits must be equal, so $(\alpha x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$. We are done.

6. We know that the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$ on H satisfies the parallelogram law. We claim that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\sim}$ on the completion \tilde{H} also satisfies the parallelogram law. (Then by the previous exercise it follows that $\|\cdot\|_{\sim}$ is induced by an inner product, thus \tilde{H} is a Hilbert space.) Let $x, y \in \tilde{H}$ be arbitrary vectors in the completion. Since H is dense in \tilde{H} , there exist vectors $x_n, y_n \in H$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$. We know that

$$\|x_n + y_n\|^2 + \|x_n - y_n\|^2 = 2\|x_n\|^2 + 2\|y_n\|^2,$$

which clearly converges to

$$\|x + y\|_{\sim}^2 + \|x - y\|_{\sim}^2 = 2\|x\|_{\sim}^2 + 2\|y\|_{\sim}^2.$$

7. a) If $x, y \in M^{\perp}$, then for any $m \in M$

$$(x + y, m) = (x, m) + (y, m) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

thus $x + y \in M^{\perp}$. If α is an arbitrary scalar, then

$$(\alpha x, m) = \alpha(x, m) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0$$

for all $m \in M$, so $\alpha x \in M^{\perp}$, too. Hence M^{\perp} is a linear subspace. To see that it is closed, let us suppose that $x_n \in M^{\perp}$ for all n and $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $(x_n, m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(x_n, m) \rightarrow (x, m)$ by Exercise 1, it follows that $(x, m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$, thus $x \in M^{\perp}$.

b) It is clear from the definition that if $A \subset B$, then $A^{\perp} \supset B^{\perp}$. Consequently,

$$M^{\perp} \supset (\text{cl}(\text{span } M))^{\perp}.$$

Now let $x \in M^{\perp}$ be arbitrary. Then $\{x\}^{\perp} \supset M$. However, $\{x\}^{\perp}$ is a closed linear subspace by part a). It follows that $\{x\}^{\perp} \supset \text{cl}(\text{span } M)$, which means that

$$x \in (\text{cl}(\text{span } M))^{\perp}.$$

This proves that

$$M^{\perp} \subset (\text{cl}(\text{span } M))^{\perp}.$$

c) Let $m \in M$ be arbitrary. By definition, m is perpendicular to each vector $x \in M^{\perp}$. Consequently, $m \in (M^{\perp})^{\perp}$ and we are done.