

## Functional Analysis, BSM, Spring 2012

Homework set, Week 1

Solutions

1. If  $Lx = \lambda x$  for some nonzero  $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ , then we have  $x = (\alpha_1, \lambda\alpha_1, \lambda^2\alpha_1, \lambda^3\alpha_1, \dots)$  with  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . So we need to determine the set of those  $\lambda$  for which  $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \dots)$  is in the given spaces  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\ell_\infty$  and  $\ell_p$ :

a)  $\mathbb{C}$ ;

b)  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ ;

c)  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ .

2. Clearly, the kernel is the set of constant functions and the range is the whole space  $C^\infty[0, 1]$ . The set of eigenvalues is  $\mathbb{R}$ , since for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $f(x) = e^{\lambda x}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ :

$$(Df)(x) = f'(x) = \lambda e^{\lambda x} = \lambda f(x).$$

3. Our assumption is that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2 < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\beta_i|^2 < \infty;$$

we need to prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i + \beta_i|^2 < \infty.$$

Using the triangle inequality and the fact that  $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$  for nonnegative reals  $a, b$ :

$$|\alpha_i + \beta_i|^2 \leq (|\alpha_i| + |\beta_i|)^2 = |\alpha_i|^2 + |\beta_i|^2 + 2|\alpha_i||\beta_i| \leq 2|\alpha_i|^2 + 2|\beta_i|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i + \beta_i|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\beta_i|^2 < \infty.$$

One can prove the same statement for any  $\ell_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$  space instead of  $\ell_2$ . For nonnegative real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  it holds that

$$\sqrt[p]{\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}} \geq \frac{a + b}{2}.$$

This implies that  $(a + b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$ . Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i + \beta_i|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|\alpha_i| + |\beta_i|)^p \leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^p + 2^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\beta_i|^p < \infty.$$

4. Consider the map  $T$  that takes

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7, \alpha_8, \alpha_9, \dots)$$

to

$$(\alpha_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_5, \alpha_2, \alpha_7, \alpha_4, \alpha_9, \alpha_6, \alpha_{11}, \dots).$$

It is not hard to check that  $T$  is a linear transformation from  $\ell_\infty$  to itself. Clearly, 0 is not an eigenvalue for  $T$ . Suppose that some  $\lambda \neq 0$  is an eigenvalue. The corresponding eigenvector must be

$$(\alpha_1, \lambda^{-1}\alpha_1, \lambda^1\alpha_1, \lambda^{-2}\alpha_1, \lambda^2\alpha_1, \lambda^{-3}\alpha_1, \lambda^3\alpha_1, \dots)$$

for some  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $|\lambda| < 1$  or  $|\lambda| > 1$ , then the above sequence is clearly not bounded. So in these cases none of the possible eigenvectors are in  $\ell_\infty$ . However, if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then the above sequence is bounded and it is indeed an eigenvector for  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

5. Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/2 - 1/(4n) \\ 2nx - n + 1/2 & \text{if } 1/2 - 1/(4n) < x < 1/2 + 1/(4n) \\ 1 & \text{if } 1/2 + 1/(4n) \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $(f_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(C[0, 1], d)$ . However, it is not convergent. A heuristic proof: the sequence *should converge* to the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/2 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1/2 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

but this is not a continuous function.

A rigorous proof: suppose that  $(f_n)$  converges to a continuous function  $f$ . We distinguish two cases. First, assume that  $f(1/2) \geq 1/2$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 1/2$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x) \geq 1/4$  for  $x \in [1/2 - \delta, 1/2 + \delta]$ . It follows that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(x)| \geq 1/4$  for  $x \in [1/2 - \delta, 1/2 - 1/(4n)]$ . Then

$$d(f_n, f) = \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \geq \int_{1/2 - \delta}^{1/2 - 1/(4n)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \geq \left(\delta - \frac{1}{4n}\right) \frac{1}{4},$$

which does not tend to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $f(1/2) \leq 1/2$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x) \leq 3/4$  for  $x \in [1/2 - \delta, 1/2 + \delta]$ . It implies that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |1 - f(x)| \geq 1/4$  for  $x \in [1/2 + 1/(4n), 1/2 + \delta]$ . It follows (similarly as in the first case) that  $d(f_n, f) \not\rightarrow 0$ .

6.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - a_m| &= |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| = |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y_m) + d(x_n, y_m) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq \\ &|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y_m)| + |d(x_n, y_m) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(y_n, y_m) + d(x_n, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, there is an  $N_1$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for  $n, m \geq N_1$ . Similarly, there is an  $N_2$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for  $n, m \geq N_2$ . Set  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Consequently, if  $n, m \geq N$ , then

$$|a_n - a_m| \leq d(y_n, y_m) + d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

7. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$  is a Cauchy sequence. We need to prove that it is convergent. Let

$$x_n = (a_1^{(n)}, a_2^{(n)}, a_3^{(n)}, \dots)$$

and  $\varepsilon = 1/k$  for some fixed positive integer  $k$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, there is an  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon = 1/k$  for  $n, m \geq N$ . It follows that for  $n, m \geq N$  we have

$$a_1^{(n)} = a_1^{(m)}; a_2^{(n)} = a_2^{(m)}; \dots; a_k^{(n)} = a_k^{(m)}.$$

In other words, the first  $k$  elements of the sequences  $x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots$  are the same.

We showed that for any  $k$ , after a while ( $n \geq N$ ) the  $k$ -th elements are the same in all  $x_n$ 's. Let  $b_k$  denote this *stabilized*  $k$ -th element. Let  $y$  denote the 0-1 sequence  $(b_1, b_2, \dots)$ . It is clear that  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} y$ .

8. We need to prove that for arbitrary  $x \in X_1 \setminus \{0\}$ :

$$\frac{\|STx\|_3}{\|x\|_1} \leq \|S\|_{2,3} \|T\|_{1,2}.$$

If  $Tx = 0$ , then  $STx = 0$ , and we are done. Otherwise:

$$\frac{\|STx\|_3}{\|x\|_1} = \frac{\|STx\|_3}{\|Tx\|_2} \cdot \frac{\|Tx\|_2}{\|x\|_1} \leq \|S\|_{2,3} \|T\|_{1,2}.$$