Erdős for Beginners

The Mathematics of Paul Erdős for Non-mathematicians

András Gyárfás

University of Memphis, TN SZTAKI, Budapest, Hungary

gyarfas@sztaki.hu agyarfas@memphis.edu

An early visit to Memphis



The number of joint papers Erdős have with at least one coauthor affiliated (at the time the paper was written) to U. of M. (or to MSU earlier).

- The number of joint papers Erdős have with at least one coauthor affiliated (at the time the paper was written) to U. of M. (or to MSU earlier).
- At the moment I know of 62 such papers but probably there are more...

- The number of joint papers Erdős have with at least one coauthor affiliated (at the time the paper was written) to U. of M. (or to MSU earlier).
- At the moment I know of 62 such papers but probably there are more...
- The individual record is held by A. Sárközy with 62 joint papers... (Faudree, Schelp, Rousseau hold 3-5 places with 50,42,35)

How many papers of Erdős have the world "problem" in the title?

- How many papers of Erdős have the world "problem" in the title?
- **9** 547...

- How many papers of Erdős have the world "problem" in the title?
- **9** 547...
- More than 100 problems in the American Mathematical Monthly...

Marshmallows, acorns, mighty oaks

Marshmallows, acorns, mighty oaks

A testimony?

Marshmallows, acorns, mighty oaks

A testimony?

- A well-chosen problem can isolate an essential difficulty in a particular area, serving as a benchmark against which progress in this area can be measured. It might be a 'marshmallow' serving as a tasty tidbit supplying a few moments of fleeting enjoyment. Or it might be an 'acorn', requiring deep and subtle new insights from which a mighty oak can develop."
- From Paul Erdős: Some of my favorite problems and results -1997

Just from the oven...

Just from the oven...

"This prompted me to conjecture: is it true that a set of n² points in the plane always contains 2n - 2 points which do not determine a right angle? - Perhaps this is too optimistic - if a reader finds a counterexample, 2n-2 should be replaced by cn. I can only prove cn^{2/3} instead of cn." - 1977

I met Sidon first in 1932. He posed a problem about number sequences. I liked it very much but thought that it would not be very hard and optimistically assured Sidon that I would construct such a sequence in a few days. In fact it took me twenty years ..."

- I met Sidon first in 1932. He posed a problem about number sequences. I liked it very much but thought that it would not be very hard and optimistically assured Sidon that I would construct such a sequence in a few days. In fact it took me twenty years ..."
- Let me close this section with a few problems about (my old friends) the primes. In fact, my very first paper was on a new proof of Chebysev's theorem:

- I met Sidon first in 1932. He posed a problem about number sequences. I liked it very much but thought that it would not be very hard and optimistically assured Sidon that I would construct such a sequence in a few days. In fact it took me twenty years ..."
- Let me close this section with a few problems about (my old friends) the primes. In fact, my very first paper was on a new proof of Chebysev's theorem:
- Chebysev said it and I'll say it again: there is always a prime between n and 2n"

- - - - _

For reasons which are hard to explain (maybe not so hard, the two greatest evils old age and stupidity are adequate explanations) I neglected to ask this beautiful and natural question."

- For reasons which are hard to explain (maybe not so hard, the two greatest evils old age and stupidity are adequate explanations) I neglected to ask this beautiful and natural question."
- During the meeting I found a simple proof of this conjecture which I now present."

- For reasons which are hard to explain (maybe not so hard, the two greatest evils old age and stupidity are adequate explanations) I neglected to ask this beautiful and natural question."
- During the meeting I found a simple proof of this conjecture which I now present."
- I conjecture (6). The proof of (6) probably will not be very difficult, but I did not do it as yet."

"I used to offer ten thousand dollars for a proof that..."

- "I used to offer ten thousand dollars for a proof that..."
- Of course this would also imply the previous conjecture, so I suppose that it would actually cost me fifteen thousand!"

- "I used to offer ten thousand dollars for a proof that..."
- Of course this would also imply the previous conjecture, so I suppose that it would actually cost me fifteen thousand!"
- I offered 1000 dollars...At the meeting held last year in Keszthely Kostochka proved ... I immediately handed him a consolation prize of 100 dollars." - 1994

Feedback...

Feedback...

"... important not only for the interesting problems, but for our deeper understanding of the mathematical soul of this great problem proposer and problem solver." W. Moser Math. Reviews, 1997

Feedback...

- "... important not only for the interesting problems, but for our deeper understanding of the mathematical soul of this great problem proposer and problem solver." W. Moser Math. Reviews, 1997
- Anyone who has had the privilege of attending a talk of Paul Erdős will certainly enjoy both the tone and content of this paper. Those without that exposure will experience both the flavor of his wide expertise and his outstanding technique of describing problems." -D.W.Vanderjagt, Math. Reviews 1998

3739 [1935]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, The University of Manchester, England. Given n + 1 integers, a₁, a₂, ..., a_{n+1}, each less than or equal to 2n, prove that at least one of them is divisible by some other of the set. (*Erdős used this problem often later to challenge child prodigies like Bollobás, Pósa, Lovász...*)

3739 [1935]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, The University of Manchester, England. Given n + 1 integers, a₁, a₂, ..., a_{n+1}, each less than or equal to 2n, prove that at least one of them is divisible by some other of the set. (*Erdős used this problem often later to challenge child prodigies like Bollobás, Pósa, Lovász...*)

9

- 4083 [1943]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, Princeton, N.J.
- 4137 [1943] Proposed by P. Erdős, Purdue University.

3739 [1935]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, The University of Manchester, England. Given n + 1 integers, a₁, a₂, ..., a_{n+1}, each less than or equal to 2n, prove that at least one of them is divisible by some other of the set. (*Erdős used this problem often later to challenge child prodigies like Bollobás, Pósa, Lovász...*)

9

- 4083 [1943]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, Princeton, N.J.
- 4137 [1943] Proposed by P. Erdős, Purdue University.
- **)**
- 10282 [1993]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.
- 114 problems altogether!

Erdős with Memphis students



Solution to his child prodigy test

- **9 3739** [1935, 42]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, The University of Manchester, England. Given n + 1 integers, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}$, each less than or equal to 2n, prove that at least one of them is divisible by some other of the set.
- Solution by Martha Wachsberger and E. Weiszfeld, Budapest, Hungary. We may write

$$a_r = 2^{b_r} c$$

where c is an odd integer. Since the number of odd integers less than 2n is n, there are at least two a-s e.g. a_i and a_k having the same c; and it is then evident that one of these is a divisor of the other.
How many integers can be given between 1 and 50 so that none of them divides the product of the others? One can give 15:

- How many integers can be given between 1 and 50 so that none of them divides the product of the others? One can give 15:
- 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47 and Erdős asked to prove that this is the maximum.

- How many integers can be given between 1 and 50 so that none of them divides the product of the others? One can give 15:
- 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47 and Erdős asked to prove that this is the maximum.
- 4083 [1943]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, Princeton, N.J. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_x \leq n$ be an arbitrary sequence of positive integers such that no a_i divides the product of the others, then $x \leq \pi(n)$, where $\pi(n)$ denotes the number of primes not exceeding n.

- How many integers can be given between 1 and 50 so that none of them divides the product of the others? One can give 15:
- 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47 and Erdős asked to prove that this is the maximum.
- 4083 [1943]. Proposed by Paul Erdős, Princeton, N.J. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_x \leq n$ be an arbitrary sequence of positive integers such that no a_i divides the product of the others, then $x \leq \pi(n)$, where $\pi(n)$ denotes the number of primes not exceeding n.
- In the spirit of Erdős, I offer two dollars for the solution [offer limited to students and non-mathematicians]

• $n! = 1 \times 2 \times \cdots \times n$ • $4! = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$

n! = 1 × 2 × ··· × n
4! = 1 × 2 × 3 × 4 = 2 × 2 × 2 × 3
9! = 3 × 3 × 3 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 5 × 6 × 7

- $4! = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$
- $9! = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7$
- $14! = 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 6 \times 6 \times 7 \times 7 \times 9 \times 11 \times 13$

- $4! = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$
- $9! = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7$
- $14! = 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 6 \times 6 \times 7 \times 7 \times 9 \times 11 \times 13$
- The largest value of the smallest factor is asymptotic to n/e (e = 2.71828..., the Euler constant) claims Erdős, but

- $4! = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$
- $9! = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7$
- $14! = 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 6 \times 6 \times 7 \times 7 \times 9 \times 11 \times 13$
- The largest value of the smallest factor is asymptotic to n/e (e = 2.71828..., the Euler constant) claims Erdős, but
- Ithe proof (of Erdős, Selfridge and Straus)was lost and we could never reconstruct it..."

- $4! = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$
- $9! = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7$
- $14! = 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 6 \times 6 \times 7 \times 7 \times 9 \times 11 \times 13$
- The largest value of the smallest factor is asymptotic to n/e (e = 2.71828..., the Euler constant) claims Erdős, but
- "the proof (of Erdős, Selfridge and Straus)was lost and we could never reconstruct it..."
- from "Some problems I presented or planned to present in my short talk" 1995

"undecidability raises its ugly head everywhere and many of our problems (with A. Hajnal) have been proved or disproved or shown to be undecidable (this happened most often)"

- Indecidability raises its ugly head everywhere and many of our problems (with A. Hajnal) have been proved or disproved or shown to be undecidable (this happened most often)"
- Erdős refers to consequences due to the discovery of the undecidability of the Continuum Hypothesis.

- "undecidability raises its ugly head everywhere and many of our problems (with A. Hajnal) have been proved or disproved or shown to be undecidable (this happened most often)"
- Erdős refers to consequences due to the discovery of the undecidability of the Continuum Hypothesis.
- And consequently some old problems of Erdős about infinite sets became undecidable - true or false whatever you like better...

• EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, ...\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, ...\}$

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, ...\}$
- Which hotel is larger?

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$
- Which hotel is larger? They are EQUAL...

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$
- *Mhich hotel is larger?* They are EQUAL...
- NATURAL INN: the rooms are numbered as $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \dots\}$

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$
- *Which hotel is larger?* They are EQUAL...
- NATURAL INN: the rooms are numbered as $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \dots\}$
- Is NATURAL INN larger than EVEN INN?

- EVEN INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$
- ODD INN: the rooms are numbered with $\{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$
- *Mhich hotel is larger?* They are EQUAL...
- NATURAL INN: the rooms are numbered as $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \dots\}$
- Is NATURAL INN larger than EVEN INN?
- They are EQUAL because of the EXCHANGE PLAN (one-to-one correspondence):

 $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$, $2 \rightleftharpoons 4$, $3 \rightleftharpoons 6$, $4 \rightleftharpoons 8$, $5 \rightleftharpoons 10$, ...

▶ REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$

- REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$
- Cantor proved that REAL INN is larger than NATURAL INN:

- REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$
- Cantor proved that REAL INN is larger than NATURAL INN:
- NATURAL INN < REAL INN</p>

- REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$
- Cantor proved that REAL INN is larger than NATURAL INN:
- NATURAL INN < REAL INN</p>
- NO EXCHANGE PLAN WORKS!

- REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$
- Cantor proved that REAL INN is larger than NATURAL INN:
- NATURAL INN < REAL INN</p>
- NO EXCHANGE PLAN WORKS!
- NATURAL INN < ??? < REAL INN</p>

- REAL INN: the rooms are numbered with the real numbers $1, 2, 3, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$
- Cantor proved that REAL INN is larger than NATURAL INN:
- NATURAL INN < REAL INN</p>
- NO EXCHANGE PLAN WORKS!
- NATURAL INN < ??? < REAL INN</p>
- UNDECIDABLE! (Proved by Cohen 1962, relying also on Gödel 1931).

Arithmetic progression: 3,9,15,21,27,

Arithmetic progression: 3,9,15,21,27,33

- Arithmetic progression: 3,9,15,21,27,33
- Over sixty years ago Turán and I thought that any integer sequence with positive density must contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions."

- Arithmetic progression: 3,9,15,21,27,33
- Over sixty years ago Turán and I thought that any integer sequence with positive density must contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions."
- A sequence of density .02 must contain 2 percent of the numbers $1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$ for all n.

The oak starts to grow
The oak starts to grow

In the early '70's I offered 1000 dollars for it,.. ...this was accomplished by Szemerédi in 1974. His proof is a masterpiece of combinatorial reasoning and his regularity lemma, introduced in an early form of his proof, has subsequently found many applications in combinatorics and graph theory. "

The oak starts to grow

- In the early '70's I offered 1000 dollars for it,.. ...this was accomplished by Szemerédi in 1974. His proof is a masterpiece of combinatorial reasoning and his regularity lemma, introduced in an early form of his proof, has subsequently found many applications in combinatorics and graph theory. "
- "Fürstenberg also proved it [1977] by using ergodic theory and his methods already have many applications to various problems in combinatorial number theory. In fact, there is a growing number of results in combinatorial number theory which only can be proved by methods of ergodic theory (so far)."

The oak starts to grow

- In the early '70's I offered 1000 dollars for it,....this was accomplished by Szemerédi in 1974. His proof is a masterpiece of combinatorial reasoning and his regularity lemma, introduced in an early form of his proof, has subsequently found many applications in combinatorics and graph theory. "
- "Fürstenberg also proved it [1977] by using ergodic theory and his methods already have many applications to various problems in combinatorial number theory. In fact, there is a growing number of results in combinatorial number theory which only can be proved by methods of ergodic theory (so far)."
- Both approaches with some new directions brought spectacular results in the last decade.

The conjecture that the primes contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions seemed unattackable" (1994)

- The conjecture that the primes contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions seemed unattackable" (1994)
- T. Tao and B. Green solved it (2004) relying on both approaches.

- The conjecture that the primes contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions seemed unattackable" (1994)
- T. Tao and B. Green solved it (2004) relying on both approaches.
- A branch of the oak not growing yet: There are arbitrary long arithmetic progressions in integer sequences a_1, a_2, \ldots with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i} = \infty$$

- The conjecture that the primes contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions seemed unattackable" (1994)
- T. Tao and B. Green solved it (2004) relying on both approaches.
- A branch of the oak not growing yet: There are arbitrary long arithmetic progressions in integer sequences a_1, a_2, \ldots with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i} = \infty$$

I offer 5000 dollars for a proof (or disproof) of this. Neither Szemerédi nor Fürstenberg's methods are able to settle this but perhaps the next century will see its resolution."

Memories of summer days

- - _

Memories of summer days

Some scenes of summer days from Szentendre (a small town in Hungary)...

Memories of summer days

- Some scenes of summer days from Szentendre (a small town in Hungary)...
- Erdős in the years 1993 1996 liked to spend some weeks with us there as a family guest.

Erdős works on a problem paper



Working on an Erdős problem



Going to dinner



Reading fiction - no mathematics!



We observed that $\frac{5n}{6} < f(n) < n$, I believed that the upper bound is closer to the truth (Gyárfás believed in the lower bound). Neither of us had much evidence. Many (we believe) interesting generalisations are possible, but this has to be left for another occasion."

- We observed that $\frac{5n}{6} < f(n) < n$, I believed that the upper bound is closer to the truth (Gyárfás believed in the lower bound). Neither of us had much evidence. Many (we believe) interesting generalisations are possible, but this has to be left for another occasion."
- The debate about the upper and lower bound is not resolved ever since... We submitted our paper on September 15, 1996. It turned out that only four more days left for Paul to create problems, conjectures and proofs.

- We observed that $\frac{5n}{6} < f(n) < n$, I believed that the upper bound is closer to the truth (Gyárfás believed in the lower bound). Neither of us had much evidence. Many (we believe) interesting generalisations are possible, but this has to be left for another occasion."
- The debate about the upper and lower bound is not resolved ever since... We submitted our paper on September 15, 1996. It turned out that only four more days left for Paul to create problems, conjectures and proofs.
- Nevertheless, the acorns he planted are around us and many of them are still growing to mighty oaks.