COMBINATORICA

Akadémiai Kiadó – Springer-Verlag

## NOTE

## EFFECTIVE ON-LINE COLORING OF *P*<sub>5</sub>-FREE GRAPHS

# ANDRÁS GYÁRFÁS and JENŐ<sup>,</sup>LEHEL

Received November 10, 1989 Revised May 10, 1990

An on-line algorithm is given that colors any  $P_5$ -free graph with  $f(\omega)$  colors, where f is a function of the clique number  $\omega$  of the graph.

A proper coloring of a graph is an assignment of positive integers called colors to its vertices such that adjacent vertices have distinct colors.

An *on-line coloring* is an algorithm that colors vertices of a (finite) graph in the following way:

- vertices are taken in some order  $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ ;
- a color  $c_i$  is assigned to  $v_i$  by only looking at the subgraph  $G_i$  induced by  $v_1$ , ...,  $v_i$ , i = 1, 2, ...;
- the color of  $v_i$  never changes during the algorithm,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ ;
- the obtained coloring is a proper coloring of  $G_i$ , i = 1, 2, ...

The most common on-line coloring is the first fit coloring,  $\mathbf{FF}$ , that at each step assigns the smallest possible integer as color to the current vertex of the graph. The concept of on-line and first fit chromatic number was introduced and investigated recently in [2], [3], [4] and [5]. Here we investigate the problem of effectivity of on-line coloring for a particular family of graphs.

An on-line coloring **A** is said to be *effective* on a family  $\mathcal{K}$  if there exists a function  $f(\chi)$  such that the number of colors used by **A** for any ordering of V(G) is at most  $f(\chi(G))$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\chi(G)$  denotes the chromatic number of G. In most cases a stronger statement is proved, namely that the number of colors is at most  $f(\omega(G))$ , where  $\omega(G)$  is the order of the maximum clique of G.

A graph is called  $P_k$ -free if it contains no path on k vertices as induced subgraph. In [2], it is proved that **FF** is perfect for  $P_4$ -free graph, i.e., if G is a  $P_4$ -free graph, then **FF** colors G by exactly  $\chi(G)$  colors.

On the other hand on-line colorings are ineffective on the family of  $P_6$ -free graphs: there is a sequence  $G_1, G_2, \ldots$  of bipartite  $P_6$ -free graphs such that every on-line coloring colors  $G_n$  by at least n colors for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  (cf. [2]).

In this paper we fill the gap by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem.** There is an effective on-line algorithm for the family of  $P_5$ -free graphs.

AMS subject classification code (1991): 05 C 85

The vertex set of a graph G = (V, E) is considered as an ordered set, and we assume that vertices are taken by the on-line coloring procedure according to that ordering. We denote by G[A] the ordered subgraph induced by  $A \subseteq V$ . For  $x \in A$  let  $A_x = \{v \in A : v \leq x\}$  (in particular  $V_x = \{v \in V : v \leq x\}$ ). Let  $G_x = G[V_x]$  and  $C_x$  be the component of  $G_x$  containing x. Let  $\omega(G)$  denote the order of a maximum clique of G.

Our on-line coloring algorithm is a function  $c(x, G_x)$  defined by recursion on subgraphs of  $G_x$  with smaller clique size. The value of  $c(x, G_x)$  is a list of non-negative integers, all less than or equal to  $\omega(G)$ .

The algorithm maintains certain rooted forests, called "frames" of height at most three on subsets of V. If F is a frame and x > y for every  $y \in V(F)$  then we shall define a new frame  $F_x$  on  $V(F) \cup \{x\}$ . For  $u \in V(F)$  let CHAIN(u, F) be the list of colors on the unique path from a root of F to u, excluding u itself. (If u is a root of F then CHAIN(u, F) = 0.)

The function  $c(x, G_x)$  is defined for each  $x \in V$  by

$$c(x,G_x) = \begin{cases} (0,0,0) & \text{if } C_x = \{x\}\\ (\omega(C_x),CHAIN(x,F_x),c(x,G[S_x])) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where  $S_x = \{v \in V(C_x) : \omega(C_v) = \omega(C_x) \text{ and } CHAIN(v, F_v) = CHAIN(x, F_x)\}.$ We shall show that  $\omega(G_x) > \omega(G[S_x])$  which implies that the coloring procedure

terminates in at most  $\omega(G_x)$  steps. Clearly, a proper coloring of G is obtained.

Let G be a  $P_5$ -free graph. Then  $G_x - x$  does not have two components each of which contains both neighbors and non-neighbors of x. If  $G_x - x$  has one such component, call it  $MCOM(x, G_x)$  (main component), otherwise  $MCOM(x, G_x) = \emptyset$ . Let  $LCOM(x, G_x)$  (lessor component) be the union of all components of  $G_x - x$  which only contain neighbors of x. The key idea used in the construction of frames is the obvious fact that  $\omega(C_x) > \omega(LCOM(x, G_x))$ .

We say that F is a *frame* of G if it is a spanning subforest of G satisfying that (i) each component of F is a rooted tree;

- (ii) paths of F starting at roots are induced paths of G;
- (iii) if x and y are vertices from different components of F, then xy is not an edge of G.

If F is a frame with vertex set A then F is also called a *frame on* A. The empty set is also considered a frame.

For colored frames an important property (Brothers' rule) is maintained. Let  $x \in A$  and F be a frame on  $A_x - \{x\}$ . Assume for color  $c(u) = c(u, G[A_u])$  is defined for every  $u \in A_x$ .

**Brothers' rule.** If F is a colored frame and u and v are inner vertices and brothers in F then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ .

The basic step for the construction of frames is to define the frame  $F_x$  on  $A_x$ . We use the following notation.

FATHER(x, F) denotes a vertex y of  $M = MCOM(x, G[A_x])$  adjacent to x and satisfying:

- (a) The path from the root r of M to y contains no vertex but y that is adjacent to x.
- (b) if there are inner vertices with property (a), then y is an inner vertex at minimum distance from r in M.

(c) if (b) does not hold, then y is a leaf at a maximum distance from r among leaves of M with property (a).

If  $MCOM(x, G[A_x]) = \emptyset$  then set  $FATHER(x, F) = \emptyset$ . Note that frame property (ii) remains true when xy is added to F as a pendant edge.

- Then frame F on  $A_x \{x\}$  is extended to a frame  $F_x$  on  $A_x$  as follows.
- 1. The sons of x in  $F_x$  are the vertices of  $LCOM(x, G[X_x])$  and x becomes the son of FATHER(x, F). If  $FATHER(x, F) = \emptyset$  then x becomes a new root of  $F_x$ .
- 2. In order to maintain Brothers' rule the current  $F_x$  being is modified according to steps 3 or 4 or both.
- 3. (FATHER(x, F) = y becomes inner.) If Brothers' rule is violated because y becomes an inner vertex in  $F_x$ , then there is a brother y' of y in F such that  $y \neq y'$ , y' is inner and c(y) = c(y'). Let z be a son of y' and let t be the father of y and y'. Since y is defined according to (c), it follows that  $xt \notin E(G)$ ,  $xy' \notin E(G)$ ,  $xz \notin E(G)$ . Also  $yy' \notin E(G)$  because c(y) = c(y') and  $zt \notin E(G)$  by definition of a frame.

Since G is  $P_5$ -free,  $zy \in E(G)$  follows. Thus all sons of y' in F are adjacent to y in G. Therefore we can modify  $F_x$  by replacing the edges y'z with yz for all sons z of y'. Notice that in this step the sons of y' gain a new father (namely y) having the same color as the old one.

4. (x becomes inner.) If Brothers' rule is violated because x become an inner vertex in  $F_x (LCOM(x, G[A_x]) \neq \emptyset)$  then there exists an inner vertex x' in F such that x' is a brother of x in  $F_x$  and c(x') = c(x). Since the sons of x in  $F_x$  are not adjacent to any vertex of the path zx'y, by definition of a frame,  $zx \in E(G)$ follows as in step 3. So it is possible to modify  $F_x$  by replacing the edges x'zwith xz for all sons z of x'. This modification again preserves the color of the father of z (as in step 3).

Let  $C_x$  be the component of  $G[A_x]$  containing x. We show that  $\omega(G[A_x]) > \omega(G[S_x])$ , where  $S_x$  is the set of all vertices of  $C_x$  having a color with first and second fields identical to that of c(x).

By the definition of  $F_x$ , the first field of c(x), i.e.,  $\omega(C_x)$ , is larger than the first field of the color of any vertex in  $LCOM(x, G[A_x])$ . Therefore  $S_x - \{x\} \subset MCOM(x, G[A_x])$ . Assume that  $u \in S_x$ ,  $u \neq x$ . The second fields of c(x) and c(u) are equal, i.e.,  $CHAIN(x, F_x) = CHAIN(u, F_x)$ . Then Brothers' rule implies that x and u are both brothers in  $F_x$ . Thus  $S_x$  is a subset of the sons of  $FATHER(x, F_x)$  and  $\omega(G[A_x]) > \omega(G[S_x])$  follows.

To prove the main theorem we show that the number of colors used in our coloring is bounded by a function of  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . Assume that any graph H with  $\omega(H) < \omega$  is colored by at most  $f(\omega - 1)$  colors.

Let F be the final frame on V(G). It is enough to show that a component of F has at most  $f(\omega)$  colors, since on distinct components the same set of colors is used. One may assume that F has only one component.

Let x be an inner vertex of F and let L be the set of all sons of x in F. Then  $L = A \cup B$ , where  $A = \{y \in L : y < x\}$  and  $B = \{y \in L : y > x\}$ . Since  $\omega(G[A]) < \omega$  and  $A \subset (V_x - \{x\})$ , A is colored with at most  $f(\omega - 1)$  colors. If  $y \in B$ , then the first field of c(y) is at least 2 and at most  $\omega$ ; the second field is the same for all  $y \in B$ , and the third field can have at most  $f(\omega - 1)$  values. Thus L is colored with at most  $\omega \cdot f(\omega - 1)$  colors.

#### 184 ANDRÁS GYÁRFÁS, JENŐ LEHEL : EFFECTIVE ON-LINE COLORING OF $P_5$ -FREE GRAPHS

Using the fact that F is a tree of height at most 3 follows that F (together with its root) is colored with at most  $f(\omega) \leq \omega^3 \cdot f^3(\omega-1) + 1$  colors.

Notice that we could not decide whether there are simpler non-recursive algorithms, perhaps **FF** is effective to color a  $P_5$ -free graph.<sup>†</sup>

Summer proved in [6] that if G is  $P_5$ -free and  $\omega(G) = 2$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq 3$ , and in [1] it is shown that  $\chi(G) \leq 4^{\omega-1}$ , where  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . Summer's result has the following sharper form.

**Proposition.** If G is a  $P_5$ -free graph with no triangle, then **FF** colors G with at most  $3_1$  colors.

**Proof.** Let G be a  $P_5$ -free graph,  $\omega(G) = 2$ . Assume that **FF** colors G with  $k \ge 4$  colors. Let  $A_i$  be the set of vertices colored with  $i, 1 \le i \le 4$ . Since **FF** is perfect on  $P_4$ -free graphs (see [2]), there is an induced path  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  in the subgraph of G induced by  $V(G) - A_1$ . By definition of **FF**,  $B_i = \Gamma(x_i) \cap A_i \ne \emptyset$  for each  $i, 2 \le i \le 4$  ( $\Gamma(x)$  denotes the set of all vertices adjacent to x in G). Since G is  $P_5$ -free, we can find  $x \in B_1 \cap B_3$  and  $y \in B_2 \cap B_4$ . Now  $x \ne y$  follows from  $\omega(G) = 2$ , thus  $(y, x_4, x_3, x, x_1)$  is an induced  $P_5$  in G, a contradiction.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to a referee for the valuable comments improving the presentation of the algorithm.

### References

- A. GYÁRFÁS: Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs, Zastosowania Matematyki Applicationes Mathemaicae XIX. 3-4 (1987), 413-441.
- [2] A GYÁRFÁS, and JENŐ LEHEL: On-line and first fit colorings of graphs, Journal of Graph Theory, 12 (1988) 217-227.
- [3] A GYÁRFÁS, and JENŐ LEHEL: First fit and on-line chromatic number of families of graphs, Ars Combinatoria, 29 B (1990).
- [4] H. A. KIERSTEAD: The linearity of First-Fit coloring of interval graphs, preprint, 1988.
- [5] L. LOVÁSZ, M. SAKS, and W. T. TROTTER: An on-line graph coloring algorithm with sublinear performance ration, *Discrete Math.*, 75, (1989) 319–325.
- [6] D. P. SUMNER: Subtrees of a graph and the chromatic number, in: Theory and Applications of Graphs (ed. G. Chartrand), 1981, 557–576.

András Gyárfás

Jenő Lehel:

Computer and Automation Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences h731gya@ella.hu Computer and Automation Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences h265leh@ella.hu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Note added in proof: H. A. Kierstead, S. G. Penrice and W. T. Troffer answered this affirmatively.