

# A covering theorem and the random-indestructibility of the density zero ideal

Márton Elekes\*

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
P.O. Box 127, H-1364 Budapest, Hungary  
emarci@renyi.hu  
www.renyi.hu/~emarci  
and  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Department of Analysis  
Pázmány P. s. 1/c, H-1117, Budapest, Hungary

September 11, 2009

## Abstract

The main goal of this note is to prove the following theorem. If  $A_n$  is a sequence of measurable sets in a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  that covers  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  infinitely many times, then there exists a sequence of integers  $n_i$  of density zero so that  $A_{n_i}$  still covers  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  infinitely many times. The proof is a probabilistic construction.

As an application we give a simple direct proof of the known theorem that the ideal of density zero subsets of the natural numbers is random-indestructible, that is, random forcing does not add a co-infinite set of naturals that almost contains every ground model density zero set. This answers a question of B. Farkas.

## 1 Introduction

Maximal almost disjoint (MAD) families of subsets of the naturals play a central role in set theory. (Two sets are *almost disjoint* if their intersection is finite.)

---

\*Partially supported by Hungarian Scientific Foundation grants no. 49786, 61600, 72655 and János Bolyai Fellowship.

MSC codes: Primary 03E40 Secondary 28A99, 03E35

Key Words: density zero, analytic, P-ideal, random, forcing, pseudo-intersection, indestructible

A fundamental question is whether MAD families remain maximal in forcing extensions. This is often studied in a little more generality as follows. For a MAD family  $\mathcal{M}$  let  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the ideal of sets that can be almost contained in a finite union of members of  $\mathcal{M}$ . (*Almost contained* means that only finitely many elements are not contained.) Then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}$  remains MAD in a forcing extension if and only if there is no co-infinite set of naturals in the extension that almost contains every (ground model) member of  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hence the following definition is natural.

**Definition 1.1** An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of the naturals is called *tall* if there is no co-infinite set that almost contains every member of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a tall ideal and  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. We say that  $\mathcal{I}$  is  *$\mathbb{P}$ -indestructible* if  $\mathcal{I}$  remains tall after forcing with  $\mathbb{P}$ .

This notion is thoroughly investigated for various well-known ideals and forcing notions, for instance Hernández-Hernández and Hrušák proved that the ideal of density zero subsets (see Definition 2.1) of the natural numbers is random-indestructible. (Indeed, just combine [3, Thm 3.14], which is a result of Brendle and Yatabe, and [3, Thm 3.4].) B. Farkas asked if there is a simple and direct proof of this fact. In this note we provide such a proof.

This proof actually led us to a covering theorem (Thm. 2.5) which we find very interesting in its own right from the measure theory point of view. First we prove this theorem in Section 2 by a probabilistic argument, then we apply it in Section 3 to reprove that the density zero ideal is random-indestructible (Corollary 3.3), and finally we pose some problems in Section 4.

## 2 A covering theorem

Cardinality of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ .

**Definition 2.1** A set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is of *density zero* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}|}{n} = 0$ . The ideal of density zero sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

$A \subset^* B$  means that  $B$  *almost contains*  $A$ , that is,  $A \setminus B$  is finite. The following is well-known.

**Fact 2.2**  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a  *$P$ -ideal*, that is, for every sequence  $Z_n \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  so that  $Z_n \subset^* Z$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space of  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measurable sets. Suppose that there exists  $0 = N_0 < N_1 < N_2 < \dots$  so that  $A_{N_{k-1}}, \dots, A_{N_k-1}$  is a cover of  $X$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , and also that  $k$  divides  $N_k - N_{k-1}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Then there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  so that  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z}$  covers  $\mu$ -a.e. every  $x \in X$  infinitely many times.

**Proof.** Write  $\{N_{k-1}, \dots, N_k - 1\} = W_0^k \cup \dots \cup W_{k-1}^k$ , where the  $W_i^k$ 's are the  $k$  disjoint arithmetic progressions of difference  $k$ . Let  $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  be a sequence of independent random variables so that  $\xi_k$  is uniformly distributed on  $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$ . Define

$$Z = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} W_{\xi_k}^k.$$

It is easy to see that  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Hence it suffices to show that with probability 1  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  is covered infinitely many times by  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z}$ .

Let us now fix an  $x \in X$ . Let  $E_k$  be the event  $\{x \in \cup_{n \in W_{\xi_k}^k} A_n\}$ , that is,  $x$  is covered by the set chosen in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  block. As the  $k^{\text{th}}$  block is a cover of  $X$ ,  $Pr(E_k) \geq \frac{1}{k}$ , so  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} Pr(E_k) = \infty$ . Moreover, the events  $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  are independent. Hence by the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma  $Pr(\text{Infinitely many of the } E_k \text{'s occur}) = 1$ . So every fixed  $x$  is covered infinitely many times with probability 1, but then by the Fubini theorem with probability 1  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$  is covered infinitely many times, and we are done. (To be more precise, let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, Pr)$  be the probability measure space, then  $Z(\omega) = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} W_{\xi_k(\omega)}^k$ . Since the sets  $\{(x, \omega) : x \in A_n\}$  and  $\{(x, \omega) : \xi_k(\omega) = n\}$  are clearly  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ -measurable, it is straightforward to show that

$$\{(x, \omega) : x \text{ is covered infinitely many times by } \{A_n\}_{n \in Z(\omega)}\} \subset X \times \Omega$$

is  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ -measurable, and hence Fubini applies.)  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space of finite measure, and let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measurable sets that covers  $\mu$ -a.e. every  $x \in X$  infinitely many times. Then there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  so that  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z}$  still covers  $\mu$ -a.e. every  $x \in X$  infinitely many times.*

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and set  $N_0 = 0$ . By the continuity of measures, there exists  $N_1$  so that  $\mu(X \setminus (A_{N_0} \cup \dots \cup A_{N_1-1})) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq N_1}$  still covers  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  infinitely many times, we can continue this procedure, and recursively define  $0 = N_0 < N_1 < N_2 < \dots$  so that  $\mu(X \setminus (A_{N_{k-1}} \cup \dots \cup A_{N_k-1})) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . We can also assume (by choosing larger  $N_k$ 's at each step) that  $k$  divides  $N_k - N_{k-1}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

Let  $X_\varepsilon = \cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} (A_{N_{k-1}} \cup \dots \cup A_{N_k-1})$ , then  $\mu(X \setminus X_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ . Let us restrict  $\mathcal{A}$ , the  $A_n$ 's and  $\mu$  to  $X_\varepsilon$ , and apply the previous lemma with this setup to obtain  $Z_\varepsilon$ .

Let us now consider  $\varepsilon = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$ , then for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  every  $x \in X_{\frac{1}{m}}$  is covered infinitely many times by  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z_{\frac{1}{m}}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a P-ideal, there exists a  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $Z_{\frac{1}{m}} \subset^* Z$  for every  $m$ . Hence for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  every  $x \in X_{\frac{1}{m}}$  is covered infinitely many times by  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z}$ . But then we are done, since  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  is in  $\cup_m X_{\frac{1}{m}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space of  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measurable sets that covers  $\mu$ -a.e. every  $x \in X$  infinitely many times. Then there exists a set  $Z \subset \mathbb{N}$  of density zero so that  $\{A_n\}_{n \in Z}$  still covers  $\mu$ -a.e. every  $x \in X$  infinitely many times.*

**Proof.** Write  $X = \cup X_m$ , where each  $X_m$  is of finite measure. For each  $X_m$  obtain  $Z_m$  by the previous lemma. Then a  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $Z_m \subset^* Z$  for every  $m$  clearly works.  $\square$

The following example shows that the purely topological analogue of Theorem 2.5 is false.

**Example 2.6** *There exists a sequence  $U_n$  of clopen sets covering every point of the Cantor space infinitely many times so that for every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists a point covered only finitely many times by  $\{U_n : n \in Z\}$ .*

**Proof.** By an easy recursion we can define a sequence  $U_n$  of clopen subsets of the Cantor set  $C$  and a sequence of naturals  $0 = N_0 < N_1 < \dots$  with the following properties.

1.  $U_{N_{k-1}}, \dots, U_{N_k-1}$  (called a ‘block’) is a disjoint cover of  $C$ ,
2. every block is a refinement of the previous one,
3. if  $U_n$  is in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  block and is partitioned into  $U_t, \dots, U_s$  in the  $k+1^{\text{st}}$  block (called the ‘immediate successors of  $U_n$ ’) then  $s \geq 2t$ .

Let  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  be given, and let  $n_0$  be so that  $\frac{|Z \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}|}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ . By 3.  $\{U_n : n \in Z\}$  cannot contain all immediate successors of any  $U_m$  above  $n_0$ . Therefore, starting at a far enough block, we can recursively pick a  $U_{n_i}$  from each block so that  $n_i \notin Z$  for every  $i$ , and  $\{U_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a nested sequence of clopen sets. But then the intersection of this sequence is only covered finitely many times by  $\{U_n : n \in Z\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.7** We can ‘embed’ this example to any topological space containing a copy of the Cantor set by just adding the complement of the Cantor set to all  $U_n$ ’s. Of course, the new  $U_n$ ’s will only be open, not clopen.

### 3 An application: The density zero ideal is random-indestructible

In this section we give a simple and direct proof of the random-indestructibility of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , which was first proved in [3].

$[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$  denotes the set of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . It carries a natural Polish space topology where the sub-basic open sets are the sets of the form  $[n] = \{A \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega : n \in A\}$  and their complements. Let  $\lambda$  denote Lebesgue measure.

**Lemma 3.1** *For every Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$  there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $f(x) \cap Z$  is infinite for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A_n = f^{-1}([n])$ , then  $A_n$  is clearly Borel, hence Lebesgue measurable. For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$x \in A_n \iff x \in f^{-1}([n]) \iff f(x) \in [n] \iff n \in f(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Since every  $f(x)$  is infinite, (3.1) yields that every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is covered by infinitely many  $A_n$ 's. By Theorem 2.5 there exists a  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $x \in A_n$  for infinitely many  $n \in Z$ . But then by (3.1) for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $n \in f(x)$  for infinitely many  $n \in Z$ , so  $f(x) \cap Z$  is infinite.  $\square$

Recall that *random forcing* is  $\mathbb{B} = \{p \subset \mathbb{R} : p \text{ is Borel, } \lambda(p) > 0\}$  ordered by inclusion. The *random real*  $r$  is defined by  $\{r\} = \bigcap_{p \in G} p$ , where  $G$  is the generic filter. For the terminology and basic facts concerning random forcing consult e.g. [5], [4], [1], or [6]. In particular, we will assume familiarity with coding of Borel sets and functions, and will freely use the same symbol for all versions of a Borel set or function. The following fact is well-known and easy to prove.

**Fact 3.2** *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be Borel. Then  $p \Vdash "r \in B"$  iff  $\lambda(p \setminus B) = 0$ .*

**Corollary 3.3** *The ideal of density zero subsets of the natural numbers is random-indestructible, that is, random forcing does not add a co-infinite set of naturals that almost contains every ground model density zero set.*

**Proof.** For a Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$  and a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  let

$$B_{f,Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \cap Z \text{ is infinite}\},$$

then by the previous lemma for every  $f$  there is a  $Z$  so that  $B_{f,Z}$  is of full measure. By Fact 3.2 for every  $f$  there is a  $Z$  so that  $1_{\mathbb{B}} \Vdash "f(r) \cap Z \text{ is infinite}"$ . Hence for every  $f$   $1_{\mathbb{B}} \Vdash "\exists Z \in \mathcal{Z} \cap V \text{ so that } f(r) \cap Z \text{ is infinite}"$ . But every  $y \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \cap V[r]$  is of the form  $f(r)$  for some ground model (coded) Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ , so we obtain that for every  $y \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \cap V[r]$   $1_{\mathbb{B}} \Vdash "\exists Z \in \mathcal{Z} \cap V \text{ so that } y \cap Z \text{ is infinite}"$ . Therefore  $1_{\mathbb{B}} \Vdash "\forall y \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \exists Z \in \mathcal{Z} \cap V \text{ so that } y \cap Z \text{ is infinite}"$ , and setting  $x = \mathbb{N} \setminus y$  yields  $1_{\mathbb{B}} \Vdash "\forall x \subset \omega \text{ co-infinite } \exists Z \in \mathcal{Z} \cap V \text{ so that } Z \not\subseteq^* x"$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** Clearly,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is also  $\mathbb{B}(\kappa)$ -indestructible, since every new real is already added by sub-poset isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}$ . ( $\mathbb{B}(\kappa)$  is the usual poset for adding  $\kappa$  many random reals by the measure algebra on  $2^\kappa$ .)

## 4 Problems

There are numerous natural directions in which one can ask questions in light of Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 2.5. As for the former one, one can consult e.g. [2] and the references therein. As for the latter one, it would be interesting to investigate what happens if we replace the density zero ideal by another well-known one, or if we replace the measure setup by the Baire category analogue, or if we consider non-negative functions (summing up to infinity a.e.) instead of sets, or even if we consider  $\kappa$ -fold covers and ideals on  $\kappa$ .

## References

- [1] T. Bartoszyński, H. Judah, *Set theory. On the structure of the real line*. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
- [2] J. Brendle, S. Yatabe, Forcing indestructibility of MAD families, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **132** (2005), no. 2-3, 271–312.
- [3] F. Hernández-Hernández, M. Hrušák, Cardinal invariants of analytic  $P$ -ideals. *Can. J. Math.* **59** (2007), No. 3, 575-595.
- [4] T. Jech, *Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [5] Kunen, K.: *Set theory. An introduction to independence proofs*. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 102. North-Holland, 1980.
- [6] J. Zapletal, *Forcing idealized*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 174. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.