## Vertex Colourings

We assume in this chapter that $G$ is simple.
A $k$ - colouring of (the vertices of) $G$ is a mapping

$$
c: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\} .
$$

$c(v)$ is the colour of vertex $v$.
$K_{i}=\{v \in V: c(v)=i\}$ is the set of vertices with colour $i$.

$c$ is proper if $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, K_{k}$ are independent sets i.e. adjacent vertices $v, w$ have $c(v) \neq c(w)$.

## Greedy Colouring Algorithm

Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $V_{i}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

```
begin
    for i=1 to }n\mathrm{ do
    begin
    c(vi):= min {j:\not\existsw\inN
                                    c(w)=j}
    end
end
```



## Theorem 1

$$
\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1
$$

The Greedy Colouring algorithm produces a proper $k$-colouring for some $k \leq \Delta+1$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq 1+\max _{i}\left|N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap V_{i-1}\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) The colouring is proper: Suppose $v_{r} v_{s} \in E$ and $r<s . c\left(v_{r}\right) \neq c\left(v_{s}\right)$ since $c\left(v_{s}\right)$ is the lowest numbered colour that is not used by a neighbour of $v_{s}$ in $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s-1}\right\}$,
(b) At most $\Delta+1$ colours are used: $\left|N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \leq \Delta$ and so the minimum above is never more than $\Delta+1$.

If $G$ is a complete graph or an odd cycle then $\chi(G)=$ $\Delta+1$.

## Colouring Number

Let

$$
\delta^{*}(G)=\max _{S \subseteq V} \delta(G[S])
$$

(the maximum over the vertex induced subgraphs of their minimum degrees.)

$\delta(G)=2$ and $\delta^{*}(G)=3$.

## Theorem 2

$$
\chi(G) \leq \delta^{*}(G)+1
$$

Proof Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ where $v_{i}$ is a minimum degree vertex of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$.


Run the greedy colouring algorithm with this vertex order.

$$
\left|N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap V_{i-1}\right|=\delta\left(G\left[V_{i}\right]\right) \leq \delta^{*}
$$

The theorem follows from (1).

## Brook's Theorem

Theorem 3 If $G$ is a connected graph which is not a complete graph or an odd cycle then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Proof We shall prove this by induction on the number of vertices in $G$.

Assume that $G$ is connected but not a complete graph or an odd cycle.

If $G$ has a cutpoint $v$ let $G-v$ have components $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{p}$ and let $W_{i}=C_{i}+v$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, p$. Let $k_{i}=\chi\left(G\left[W_{i}\right]\right)$ and properly $k_{i}$-colour the vertices of each $W_{i}$ so that $v$ has colour 1 in each.


This induces a proper $k$-colouring of $G$ where $k=$ $\max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}$.


We argue that $k \leq \Delta$. If say $k_{1}=\Delta+1$ then (by induction) either $W_{1}$ is an odd cycle or a complete graph on $k_{1}$ vertices..

If $W_{1}$ is an odd cycle then $k_{1}=3$ and $\Delta=2$ but now $d_{G}(v) \geq 3$ - contradiction.

If $W_{1}$ is a complete graph on $k_{1}$ vertices then $\Delta \geq$ $d_{G}(v) \geq k_{1}$ - contradiction.

Suppose next that $G$ contains a vertex $v$ with $d_{G}(v) \leq$ $\Delta-1$. Let $H=G-v$.
If $H$ is an odd cycle then $\Delta(G)=3$. We can 3-colour $H$ and then colour $v$ with a colour not used by one of its $\leq 2$ neighbours. Thus $\chi(G)=3$ as required.


If $H$ is a $k$-clique then $\Delta(G)=k$. We $k$-colour $H$ and extend the colouring to $v$ as $v$ has less than $k$ neighbours in $H$.


If $H$ is is neither a clique or an odd cycle then we can $\Delta$-colour it. We can extend this colouring to $v$ by using one of the colours not used so far in $N_{G}(v)$.

We can therefore assume that $G$ is $\Delta$-regular and 2connected with $\Delta \geq 3$.

We now consider 2-vertex cutsets. Suppse first that $G$ contains vertices $u, v$ such that $u v \in E$ and $u$ is a cut point of $H=G-v$.


Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$ be the components of $H-v$. Each $C_{i}$ contains at least one neighbour $x_{i}$ of $v$, else $u$ is a cutpoint of $G$.

Take a $\Delta$-colouring of $H$. Assume first that all neighbours of $u$ have different colours. Interchange colours $c_{1}, c_{2}$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ within $C_{2}$ only.


Because $u$ does not have colour $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$ and $C_{1}$ has no neighbours other than $u$ we see that this yields a new proper colouring of $H$, but now $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ have the same colour $c_{1}$.

Thus we can assume that we have a $\Delta$-colouring of $H$ in which 2 neighbours of $v$ have the same colour. This colouring can be extended to $v$ since fewer than $\Delta$ colours are being used by neighbours of $v$.

Suppose then that there are no two neighbours which form a 2 -vertex cut set. We prove the existence of vertices $a, b, c$ such that $a b, a c \in E$ and $b c \notin E$ and $G-\{b, c\}$ is connected.

Choose $y \in V$ and let $x$ be at distance 2 from $x$. $y$ cannot be a neighbour of every other vertex else $G$ is ( $\Delta+1$ )-clique. Let $x$ be the middle vertex of a path from $x$ to $y$ of length 2. Then $x y, x z \in E$ and $y z \notin E$.

If $G-\{y z\}$ is connected then let $a, b, c=x, y, z$.


Suppose $C_{2}-\beta$ has components $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots$ Then $z$ is adjacent to $D_{1}$ else $\beta$ is a cutpoint of $G-y$. Similarly, $z$ is adjacent to all components of $C_{1}-\alpha$ and $C_{2}-\beta$. Now $H$ contains the path $x, y, z$ and every other component $C_{3}, \ldots, C_{k}$ is connected to $y, z$ and so $H$ is connected.

Otherwise let $G-\{y z\}$ have components $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$. $y$ has a neighbour $\alpha \neq x$ in $C_{1}$ else $x$ is of degree 2 or is a neighbour of $z$ which is a cutpoint of $G-z$. Similarly, $y$ has a neighbour $\beta \neq x$ in $C_{2}$.


We claim that $H=G-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is connected and so we can take $a, b, c=y, \alpha, \beta$.

Suppose that (2) holds. We run the Greedy colouring algorithm with

$$
v_{1}=b, v_{2}=c, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}=a
$$

The sequence $v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}$ is obtained by doing BFS from $a$ in $G-\{b, c\}$.


The important thing is that for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists j>i \text { such that } v_{j} \text { is a neighbour of } v_{i} \text {. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Greedy uses at most $\Delta$ colours.

$v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ both get colour 1.

For $3 \leq i \leq n-1$, (3) implies that at most $\Delta-1$ of $v_{i}$ 's neighbours have already been coloured when we come to colour $v-i$.

Finally, $v_{n}=a$ has at least 2 neighbours, $b, c$ using the same colour and so at most $\Delta-1$ colours have been used so far in $a$ 's neighbourhood.

## Chromatic Polynomial

$\pi_{k}(G)$ is the number of distinct proper $k$-colourings of $G$.


$$
\pi_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}-1)(\mathrm{k}-2)
$$



Theorem 4 Let $e=u v$ be an edge of $G$. Then

$$
\pi_{k}(G)=\pi_{k}(G-e)-\pi_{k}(G \cdot e)
$$

Proof $\quad \pi_{k}(G)=$ the number of $k$-colourings of $G-e$ in which $u, v$ have different colours. $\pi_{k}(G \cdot e)=$ the number of $k$-colourings of $G-e$ in which $u, v$ have the same colour.

Theorem $5 \pi_{k}(G)$ is a polynomial of degree $\nu$ in $k$ with integer coefficients, leading term $k^{\nu}$ and constant term zero. The coefficients alternate in sign.

Proof $\quad$ By induction on $|E|$. If $E=\emptyset$ then $\pi_{k}(G)=$ $k^{\nu}$.

Assume true for all graphs with $<m$ edges and let $G$ be a graph with $m$ edges. Then by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{k}(G-e) & =k^{\nu}+\sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1}(-1)^{\nu-i} a_{i} k^{i} \\
\pi_{k}(G \cdot e) & =k^{\nu-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\nu-2}(-1)^{\nu-1-i} b_{i} k^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu-1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{\nu-2}$ are non-negative integers. Then
$\pi_{k}(G)=k^{\nu}-\left(a_{\nu-1}+1\right) k^{\nu-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\nu-2}(-1)^{\nu-i}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right) k^{i}$.

Triangle free graphs with high chromatic number
Theorem 6 For any positive integer $k$, there exists a triangle-free graph with chromatic number $k$.

Proof For $k=1,2$ we use $K_{1}, K_{2}$ respectively.
For larger $k$ we use induction on $k$. Suppose we have a triangle-free graph $G_{k}=\left(V_{k}, E_{k}\right)$ of chromatic number $k$. Let $V_{k}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Form $G_{k}$ as follows:


Add vertices $\{v\} \cup U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ to $G_{k}$. Join $u_{i}$ to $v$ and the neighbours of $v_{i}$ in $G_{k}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
(a) $G_{k+1}$ has no triangles.
$U$ is an independent set and so any triangle will have at most one vertex from $U$. Thus there are no triangles involving $v$. Finally, if $u_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}$ is a triangle then $v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}$ is a triangle of $G_{k}$.
(b) $G_{k+1}$ does not have a proper $k$-colouring.

Suppose there was one $c^{*}$. We can assume that $c^{*}(v)=$ $k$ and then $U$ is coloured from $\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$. But now we can define a proper $(k-1)$-colouring $c$ of $G_{k}$ by

$$
c\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}c^{*}\left(v_{i}\right) & \text { if } c^{*}\left(v_{i}\right) \neq k \\ c^{*}\left(u_{i}\right) & \text { if } c^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)=k\end{cases}
$$

This is a proper colouring of $G_{k}$ since if $v_{i} v_{j}$ is an edge of $G_{k}$ with $c\left(v_{i}\right)=c\left(v_{j}\right)$ then exactly one of $c\left(v_{i}\right) \neq c^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)$ or $c\left(v_{j}\right) \neq c^{*}\left(v_{j}\right)$ holds. Assume the former. Then $c^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)=k$ and $c\left(v_{i}\right)=c^{*}\left(u_{i}\right) \neq$ $c^{*}\left(v_{j}\right)=c\left(v_{j}\right)$. Thus $G_{k+1}$ is $k$-colourable implies $G_{k}$ is $(k-1)$-colourable, which it isn't.
(c) $G_{k+1}$ has a proper $(k+1)$-colouring.

Let $c$ be a proper $k$-colouring of $G_{k}$. Extend this to $U$ by putting $c\left(u_{i}\right)=c\left(v_{i}\right)$ and then let $c(v)=k+$ 1. Note that $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ have the same colour and the same neighbours in $V_{k}$ and so the colouring remains proper.

