A proof of

the stability of extremal graphs.

Simonovits' stability from Szemerédi's regularity

Zoltán Füredi

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (till Aug 15, 2011)

 ${\tt z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu, and}$

Rényi Alfréd Mathematical Institute, Budapest

A lecture to honour E. Szemerédi Aug. 3, 2010. Budapest

ABSTRACT:

We present a concise, contemporary proof (i.e., one using Szemerédi's regularity lemma) for the following classical stability result of Simonovits 1968:

If an *n*-vertex *F*-free graph *G* is almost extremal, $\chi(F) = p + 1$, then the structure of *G* is close to a *p*-partite Turán graph.

More precisely, for $\forall F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ and n_0 (depending on F and ε) such that if $n > n_0$ and

$$e(G) > (1 - \frac{1}{p})\binom{n}{2} - \delta n^2$$

then one can change (add and delete) at most εn^2 edges of G and obtain a complete p-partite graph.

Notations

$$[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

 G_n graph on n vertices

 $\chi(G) :=$ chromatic number,

e(G) := number of edges,

 $\deg_G(x)$ degree of vertex x of graph G

 $N_G(x) \subset V$, neighborhood $(x \notin N(x))$

 $T_{n,p} :=$ the Turán graph,

the *p*-chromatic graph having the most edges.



Turán's theorem Turán type graph problems

Theorem. Mantel (1903) (for K_3)

Turán (1940)

 $e(G_n) > e(T_{n,p}) \implies K_{p+1} \subseteq G_n.$

Unique extremal graph for K_{p+1} .

E.g.: the largest triangle-free graph is the complete bipartite one with $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ edges.

General question: Given a family \mathcal{F} of forbidden graphs, what is the maximum of $e(G_n)$ if G_n does not contain subgraphs $F \in \mathcal{F}$?

Notation: $ext(n, \mathcal{F}) := max e(G)$

 $\operatorname{ext}(n, K_{p+1}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \binom{n}{2} + O(n).$

Degree majorization

Theorem (Erdős, 1970) Suppose G is K_{p+1} -free. Then there is a p-chromatic H on the same vertex set,

V(H) = V(G)

with

 $\deg_H(x) \ge \deg_G(x).$

H majorizes the degrees of *G* for every $x \in V$.

Proof of Erdős' degree majorization: (An Algorithm.)

Input: G (with no K_{p+1}) Output: V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_p a p-partition of V(G). $H := K(V_1, \ldots, V_p)$ a p-partite complete graph

Let $x_1 :=$ a vertex with max degree. Let $V_1 := V \setminus N(x_1)$.

Let $x_i :=$ a vertex with max degree on the graph of the rest of the vertices, of $G - (V_1 \cup \ldots V_{i-1})$. Procedure stops in p steps, $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ spans a complete graph. Q.e.d.



 $\forall y \in V_1 \quad \deg(y) \le \deg(x_1) =: d$ $e(V_1) + e(V_1, \overline{V_1}) \le d(n - d).$

Toward a general theory: The Erdős-Stone theorem (1946)

 $ext(n, K_{p+1}(t, ..., t)) = ext(n, K_{p+1}) + o(n^2).$

Here $K_{p+1}(t,...,t)$ is the blow up of the K_{p+1} . It is a $T_{t(p+1),p+1}$.

General asymptotics

Erdős-Stone-Simonovits (1946), (1966)

$$\min_{F\in\mathcal{F}}\chi(F)=p+1$$

then

If

$$\mathbf{ext}(n,\mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \binom{n}{2} + o(n^2).$$

The asymptotics depends only on the **minimum chromatic number**.

How to prove the asymptotic from Erdős-Stone?

- pick $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\chi(F) = p + 1$.
- pick t with $F \subseteq K_{p+1}(t, \ldots, t)$.
- apply Erdős-Stone:

 $\frac{\operatorname{ext}(n,\mathcal{F}) \leq \operatorname{ext}(n,F)}{\leq} \frac{\operatorname{ext}(n,K_{p+1}(t,\ldots,t))}{\leq} \frac{\operatorname{ext}(n,K_{p+1}(t,\ldots,t))}{\epsilon n^2}$

On the other hand

$$e(T_{n,p}) \leq \operatorname{ext}(n,\mathcal{F}).$$

Q.e.d.

Corollary $O_6 = Octahedron graph, P_{10} = Petersen$ graph, $D_{20} = Dodecahedron graph.$ ($\chi = 3.$)

$$ext(n, O_6) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + o(n^2)$$

$$ext(n, P_{10}) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + o(n^2)$$

$$ext(n, D_{20}) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + o(n^2).$$

Corollary $G_{11} =$ *Groetsch* graph, $I_{12} =$ *Icosahedron* graph. (Here $\chi = 4$.)

ext
$$(n, G_{11}) = \frac{1}{3}n^2 + o(n^2)$$

$$\mathbf{ext}(n, I_{12}) = \frac{1}{3}n^2 + o(n^2).$$

Exact Extrema: Simonovits' Dodecahedron Theorem



Dodecahedron: D_{20} $H(n, 2, 5) := T_{n-5,2} \otimes K_5.$



H(n, 2, 5)

For D_{20} , H(n, 2, 5) is the (only) extremal graph for $n > n_0$. H(n, 2, 5) cannot contain a D_{20} since one can delete 5 points of H(n, 2, 5) to get a bipartite graph but one cannot delete 5 points from D_{20} to make it bipartite.

Octahedron Theorem

Erdős-Simonovits (Bollobás, Erdős, Simonovits, Szemerédi) For $n > n_0$, the extremal O_6 -free graph is a complete bipartite graph + on one side an extremal C_4 -free + on the other side a matching.





Excluded: octahedron, O_6

extremal graph

STRUCTURAL STABILITY

Each extremal graph was close to some $T_{n,p}$. More is true:

If $p + 1 = \chi(F)$ then the extremal or almost extremal graphs are very similar to $T_{n,p}$.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and F there is a $\delta > 0$, and n_0 such that if $F \not\subseteq G_n$, $n > n_0$ and $e(G_n) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \binom{n}{2} - \delta n^2,$ then

$$E(G_n) \bigtriangleup E(T_{n,p}) \le \varepsilon n^2.$$

Almost extremal *F*-free graphs are almost *p*-colorable.

I.e., one can change (add and delete) at most εn^2 edges of G and obtain a complete p-partite graph.

(Same result for the class \mathcal{F} , instead of F).

AIM of talk:

to present a new proof for the Stability Thm.

Stability of $ext(n, K_{p+1})$

First: stability for K_{p+1} . Very first: Large *p*-chromatic subgraphs

Theorem 1 (*ZF* 2010, new and simple) Suppose $K_{p+1} \not\subset G$, |V(G)| = n and

 $e(G) \ge e(T_{n,p}) - t.$

Then there exists a *p*-chromatic subgraph H_0 , $E(H_0) \subset E(G)$ such that

 $e(H_0) \ge e(G) - t.$

Large *p*-chromatic subgraphs:

There are other (more exact) stability results, but! Advantage of this one: No $\varepsilon, \delta, n_0, \ldots$, it is true for every n and t.

If t < n/(2p) - O(1) then G itself is p-chromatic (Hanson, Toft 1991), there is no need to delete.

E. Győri 1987, 1991

$$e(H_0) \ge e(G) - O(t^2/n^2).$$

One can delete at most e/2 edges to make G bipartite (Erdős). (at most e/p to make it p-chromatic) Gen's: Alon 1996, Tuza et al., Bollobás & Scott, ... **Proof** of Thm. 1 (\exists large *p*-partite $H_0 \subset G$) Algorithm. Input: *G* Output: V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_p , a partition of V(G) such that

$$\sum_{i} e(G|V_i) \le t.$$

Consider the previous partition V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_p , $d_i = \deg(x_i)$. We have $\deg_{G|V_i \cup V_{i+1} \ldots \cup V_p}(y) \le d_i$ for $y \in V_i$. Then

$$e(G) \leq \sum |V_i| \times d_i = e(K(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_p)) \leq e(T_{n,p}).$$

However! edges inside V_i are counted twice:

$$e(G) + \sum_{i} e(G|V_i) \le e(T_{n,p}) \implies \sum \le t.$$

Stability of $ext(n, K_{p+1})$

Theorem 2 (*ZF* 2010) Suppose G_n is K_{p+1} -free with $e(G) \ge e(T_{n,p}) - t$. Then \exists a complete *p*-chromatic graph *H*, V(H) = V(G), such that

$|E(G) \bigtriangleup E(H)| \leq \mathbf{3}t.$

Proof: Delete t edges to make it p-partite, add at most 2t to make it complete p-partite. Q.e.d.

Proof of stability of F

TOOLS: Theorem 2. i.e., the stability for K_{p+1} . (We suppose $\chi(F) = p + 1$.)

&

Szemerédi's regularity lemma.

Usually we use 'Counting lemma' + 'Removal lemma' + 'Blow-up lemma.'

Here we will use a corollary we call: Subgraph lemma.

Szemerédi's Lemma asserts:

that **every** graph can be approximated by quasi-random graphs.

Basic notion of **quasi-randomness:**

Def: G(A, B) bipartite graph is α -regular, (α -quasi random) if for all $A' \subset A$, $|A'| \ge \alpha |A|$ and $B' \subset B$, $|B'| \ge \alpha |B|$ one has

$$|d_G(A', B') - d_G(A, B)| \le \alpha,$$

where

$$d_G(A', B') = \frac{e(G[A', B'])}{|A'||B'|}$$

is the **density** of the induced bipartite subgraph G[A', B'].

The Regularity Lemma

Theorem (Szemerédi 1978)

For every $\alpha > 0$ and integer ℓ_0 , there exist integers $L_0 = L_0(\alpha, \ell_0)$ and $n_0 = n_0(\alpha, \ell_0)$ so that for every graph G = (V, E), $|V| \ge n_0$, V admits a partition $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_L$, $\ell_0 \le L \le L_0$, satisfying (i) $|V_1| \le |V_2| \le \ldots \le |V_L| \le |V_1| + 1$ and (ii) all but at most $\alpha {L \choose 2}$ pairs (V_i, V_j) , $1 \le i < j \le L$, are α -regular.

In many versions: we also have a set $V_0 \subset V$, $|V_0| < \alpha |V|$, a small set of 'exceptional' vertices.

The Cluster Graph

Given G, having a Szemerédi-partition $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_L$, most applications use the Cluster Graph (= reduced graph, skeleton graph).

$G \rightarrow R \beta$ -reduced cluster graph where R has L vertices $\{1, 2, ..., L\}$ and $(i, j) \in E(R)$ if (V_i, V_j) is α -regular with density $\geq \beta$.

Here we will have $\beta >> \alpha$, but still small, defined later.

How to obtain the cluster graph R

Start with G, α .

Add new vertices $\{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$

Identify β -dense α -reg pairs. Obtain R.









The Subgraph Lemma

Many properties of G are inherited by R, one can count embeddings, homomorphisms.

Subgraph Lemma. (Folklore, easy corollary.) If G is F-free, $\beta > 2\alpha^{1/p^2}$, then R is K_{p+1} -free.

Early forms: Szemerédi, Ruzsa & Szemerédi. Contemporary forms: Lovász, Szegedy, Elek, et al. Surveys: Komlós-Simonovits 1996,

Komlós-Shokoufandeh-Simonovits-Szemerédi 2002. For hypergraphs: Frankl, Rödl, Nagle, Skokan, Solymosi, Tao, Gowers etc.

How to prove Erdős-Stone?

Start with an *F*-free graph G_n , $\chi(F) = p + 1$.



- No K_{p+1} in the Cluster graph R
- Apply Turán's theorem, $e(R) \leq (1 \frac{1}{p})\binom{L}{2} + L$
- Estimate the edges of the original graph:

$$e(G_n) \le e(R) \left(\frac{n}{L}\right)^2 + O(\alpha + \beta)n^2.$$

How to prove Stability?



- No K_{p+1} in the Cluster graph R
- Apply Turán's theorem with stability
- Estimate the edges of the original graph

Sketch of the proof of stability for F

Given G with $n > n_0$, G is F-free, $\chi(F) = p + 1$ and $e(G) > (1 - \frac{1}{p}) {n \choose 2} - \delta n^2$. (δ will be defined later).

Apply Szemerédi's regularity lemma to G, with $\alpha > 0$. Obtain regular partition V_1, \ldots, V_L .

Leave out edges of

- irregular pairs
- inner edges (inside V_i 's)
- low density pairs (less than density β), i.e.,

consider the β -reduced cluster graph R on $\{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$.

By the subgraph lemma: R is K_{p+1} -free. So $e(R) \le (1 - \frac{1}{p}) {L \choose 2} + L$ by Turán. On the other hand

$$e(R)(\frac{n}{L})^2 + O(\alpha + \beta)n^2 > e(G) > \frac{p-1}{2p}n^2 - \delta n^2.$$

Hence $e(R) > e(T_{L,p}) - (\alpha + \beta + \delta)L^2$. Remainder term: t, it is 'small'.

Use stability for K_{p+1} : One can change 3t edges of R to get a complete p-partite one.

This corresponds changing $O(tn^2/L^2)$ edges of G to make it complete p-partite. Q.e.d.