

On generic automorphisms

Zalán Gyenis and Gábor Sági

Németifest

September 9, 2012

Motivation

G is a permutation group on a set of cardinality \aleph_0 . What should be regarded as a **typical** member of G ?

- ▶ G is Polish group (\rightarrow **Baire Category Theorem!**)
- ▶ Basic open sets of the form $[p] = \{g \in G : g \text{ extends } p\}$, for p partial map.
- ▶ Typical elements should have any property which it would be unreasonable to prohibit on the basis of finitely many of its values.
- ▶ Typical elements should lie in certain dense open sets.

Motivation

Any two generic elements should look alike: they should be conjugates.

Definition (Truss) $g \in G$ is **generic** if it lies in a comeagre conjugacy class, that is, the complement of the conjugacy class

$$g^G = \{h^{-1}gh : h \in G\}$$

is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets.

Equivalently, g^G is dense G_δ .

Examples

Groups having a dense conjugacy class:

- ▶ Automorphism group of a standard Borel space (Rokhlin),
- ▶ Unitary group of a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space (Choksi, Nadkarni),
- ▶ Isometry group of the Urysohn space,
- ▶ Homeomorphism group of the Hilbert cube, or the Cantor space

Examples

Groups having a generic element

- ▶ $Aut(\mathbb{N}, =)$ (the symmetric group on a countable set).
- ▶ $Aut(\mathbb{Q}, <)$.
- ▶ $Aut(R)$, where R is the countable random graph.
- ▶ $Aut(P)$, where P is the countable random poset.

Usual context

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, \text{some relations} \rangle$ is a homogeneous, countable structure endowed with discrete topology.
- ▶ $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ automorphism group of \mathcal{M} .

$G \subseteq {}^M M$ is a Polish group with the topology inherited from the product topology of ${}^M M$.

g^G is dense if for any finite partial p there is $h \in G$ such that $h^{-1}gh \supseteq p$.

Theorem (Truss)

\mathcal{M} has generic automorphism if and only if for all finite elementary mappings p and q there is an automorphism h such that $h^{-1}ph$ and q are compatible (i.e. $h^{-1}ph \cup q$ is elementary).

Proof idea

Define the poset (P, \leq) :

- ▶ $P =$ finite elementary mappings,
- ▶ $p \leq q$ if $q \subseteq h^{-1}ph$ for some automorphism h .

Dense sets:

- ▶ $D_x = \{p : x \in \text{dom}(p)\}$, $R_y = \{p : y \in \text{ran}(p)\}$,
- ▶ $E_p = \{q : h^{-1}ph \subseteq q \text{ for some automorphism } h\}$.

There exists a **generic filter** F (in the forcing sense). $\bigcup F$ is an generic automorphism.

Proof idea

Define the poset (P, \leq) :

- ▶ P = finite elementary mappings,
- ▶ $p \leq q$ if $q \subseteq h^{-1}ph$ for some automorphism h .

Dense sets:

- ▶ $D_x = \{p : x \in \text{dom}(p)\}$, $R_y = \{p : y \in \text{ran}(p)\}$,
- ▶ $E_p = \{q : h^{-1}ph \subseteq q \text{ for some automorphism } h\}$.

There exists a **generic filter** F (in the forcing sense). $\bigcup F$ is an generic automorphism.

Remark: Applying certain forcing axioms the proof can be extended to automorphism groups of not necessarily countable structures.

Ample generics

Definition: G has **ample generics** if for each finite n there is a comeager orbit for the diagonal conjugacy action of G on G^n

$$g \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_n) = (g^{-1}g_1g, \dots, g^{-1}g_ng).$$

Examples:

- ▶ $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ has a generic automorphism but does not have ample generics,
- ▶ Automorphism group of the countable random graph (Hrushovski),
- ▶ Free group on countably many generators (Bryant, Evans),
- ▶ Arithmetically saturated models of true arithmetic (Schmerl),
- ▶ Automorphism group of ω -stable, \aleph_0 -categorical (Hodges, Hodkinson, Lascar, Shelah)

Polish groups

The existence of ample generics of G implies:

- ▶ The small index property,
(open subgroups are the ones with small index)
- ▶ Automatic continuity of homomorphisms,
(homomorphism into separable groups are automatically continuous)
- ▶ G admits a **unique** Polish group topology.

Chromatic number of the direct product

The chromatic number $\chi(D)$ of a graph D is the least cardinal κ for which there is a coloring with κ colors so that no adjacent vertices have the same color.

$H(\kappa, \lambda)$ is the statement: if $\chi(D), \chi(D') \geq \kappa$ then $\chi(D \times D') \geq \lambda$.

Easy: $\chi(D \times D') \leq \chi(D), \chi(D')$.

Chromatic number of the direct product

Hajnal: $H(\aleph_0, \aleph_0)$

Conjecture: $\exists n < \omega$ $H(n, 4)$ (weak Hedetniemi conjecture).

Schmerl: If $\mathcal{M} \not\models TA$ then TFAE:

1. \mathcal{M} has an automorphism whose set of conjugates is dense;
2. There is $n < \omega$ such that $\mathcal{M} \models H(n, 4)$.

Schmerl: If $\mathcal{M} \not\models TA$ is arithmetically saturated then TFAE:

1. \mathcal{M} has a generic automorphism;
2. There is $n < \omega$ such that $\mathcal{M} \models H(n, 4)$.

Hrushovski-type theorems

Hrushovski: Each finite graph G can be enlarged to another finite graph H such that every partial isomorphism of G extends to an automorphism of H .

Same for relational structures:

Herwig: Each finite relational structure G can be enlarged to a finite H such that every partial isomorphism of G extends to an automorphism of H .

What if we want not just arbitrary relation structures extending G ?

Hrushovski-type theorems

Let \mathbf{K} be an amalgamation class and \mathcal{M} be the Fraïssé limit of \mathbf{K} . Then the following are equivalent

1. Each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ can be embedded into some $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{K}$ such that partial isomorphisms of \mathcal{A} extends to automorphisms of \mathcal{B} .
2. \mathcal{M} has a generic automorphism such that each finite n -tuple has a finite orbit (under the diagonal action).

Remark: This theorem can be reformulated as a pure semigroup theoretic statement.

Example: Countable random graph.

Lyndon's interpolation

Main message: A local version of Lyndon's interpolation theorem implies the existence of generic automorphisms.

Lyndon's interpolation: For first order theories S and T , if $S \cup T$ is unsatisfiable, then there is an interpolating sentence φ in the language of $S \cap T$ that is true in all models of S and false in all models of T .

Local version?

Local interpolation

An n -type of \mathcal{M} over a subset $A \subseteq M$ is a finitely satisfiable set $p(\bar{x})$ of formulae with free variables \bar{x} and parameters from A .

Typical example is the type of an n -tuple:

$$tp(\bar{a}/A) = \{\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{c}) : \mathcal{M} \models \varphi[\bar{a}, \bar{c}], \bar{c} \in A\}$$

which describes the connection between \bar{a} and elements of A .

A type p is **algebraic** if p can be realized by a finite number of elements only.

Fraïssé limits of amalgamation classes that are closed under disjoint unions (e.g. finite graphs) do not have algebraic types.

Local interpolation

Definition: \mathcal{M} has the **local interpolation property** if for any two types p and q of \mathcal{M} over a finite set, $p \cup q$ is satisfiable provided $p \cap q$ is.

Equivalently, if $p \cup q$ is not satisfiable then there is φ so that $\varphi \in p$ and $\neg\varphi \in q$.

Examples:

1. Random graph,
2. Random poset.

Local interpolation and generic automorphisms

Theorem (Gy–S): If \mathcal{M} has no algebraic types and has the local interpolation property then it has a generic automorphism.

Local interpolation and generic automorphisms

Theorem (Gy–S): If \mathcal{M} has no algebraic types and has the local interpolation property then it has a generic automorphism.

Remark: No mention of partial mappings.

Thank you!