

A new representation theory

Representing cylindric-like algebras by cylindric relativized set algebras

Miklós Ferenczi

TUB Department of Algebra

September 2012

CONTENTS

- On the Resek-Thompson-Andréka theorem (and on the refinement)
- On the results obtained after the theorem
- Connections with neat embeddability

Boolean algebras are representable, but
Cylindric algebras, Polyadic equality algebras not!

Definition

$\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{CA}_\alpha$ is representable if $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{I Gs}_\alpha$.

(the unit of a $\mathbf{Gs}_\alpha$ is of the form $\bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k$ where $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$)

Theorem

(Monk) *$\mathbf{I Gs}_\alpha$ is not finite schema axiomatizable.*

Important representable subclasses: $\mathbf{Lf}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{Ss}_\alpha$, etc.

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.
- **Concept of r -representation.**

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.
- Concept of r -representation.
- **Analogy in Logic: the completeness of the Henkin's semantics.**

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.
- Concept of r -representation.
- Analogy in Logic: the completeness of the Henkin's semantics.
- **PROBLEMS:**

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.
- Concept of r -representation.
- Analogy in Logic: the completeness of the Henkin's semantics.
- PROBLEMS:
 - the infinite schema,

Theorem

(Resek) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{++}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I(Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha})$.

where

- CA_{α}^{++} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by infinitely many merry-go-round axioms
- for the unit V of a Crs_{α} , $V \subseteq {}^{\alpha}U$ for some set U
- Crs : Henkin, Németi, vanBenthem.
- Concept of r -representation.
- Analogy in Logic: the completeness of the Henkin's semantics.
- PROBLEMS:
 - the infinite schema,
 - the class $Crs_{\alpha} \cap CA_{\alpha}$.

Theorem

(Resek-Thompson-Andréka) $\mathfrak{A} \in CA_{\alpha}^{+}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in ID_{\alpha}$.

where

- CA_{α}^{+} : the system of axioms of CA_{α} is expanded by TWO merry-go-round axioms (MGR) and

axiom C_4 is replaced by its weakening $(C_4)^* := d_{ik} \cdot c_i c_j x \leq c_j c_i x$ where i, j, k and m are different.

- $D_{\alpha} = Crs_{\alpha} \cap \text{Mod}\{C_i D_{ij} = V\}$.

MGR axioms:

$$s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j c_k x = s_j^k s_i^j s_k^i c_k x$$

$$s_i^k s_j^i s_m^j s_k^m c_k x = s_j^k s_m^j s_i^m s_k^i c_k x$$

for distinct ordinals i, j, k and n .

Remark: Andréka's short proof, Sayed Ahmed's new proof (recently)

Theorem

The axiom $(C_4)^$ is equivalent to $^-(C_4)$, where*

$$^-(C_4): s_k^i s_m^j x = s_m^j s_k^i x \quad i, k \notin \{j, m\}.$$

Notation: CNA_α^+ (non-commutative cylindric algebras): axiom (C_4) is replaced by $^-(C_4)$.

Problem

What is the background (meaning) of the merry-go-round axioms?

- On transposition operators

Theorem

The axiom $(C_4)^$ is equivalent to $^-(C_4)$, where*

$$^-(C_4): s_k^i s_m^j x = s_m^j s_k^i x \quad i, k \notin \{j, m\}.$$

Notation: CNA_α^+ (non-commutative cylindric algebras): axiom (C_4) is replaced by $^-(C_4)$.

Problem

What is the background (meaning) of the merry-go-round axioms?

- On transposition operators
- Elementary transposition operator: $[i, j]$ in set algebras.

Theorem

The axiom $(C_4)^$ is equivalent to $^-(C_4)$, where*

$$^-(C_4): s_k^i s_m^j x = s_m^j s_k^i x \quad i, k \notin \{j, m\}.$$

Notation: CNA_α^+ (non-commutative cylindric algebras): axiom (C_4) is replaced by $^-(C_4)$.

Problem

What is the background (meaning) of the merry-go-round axioms?

- On transposition operators
- Elementary transposition operator: $[i, j]$ in set algebras.
- Abstract transposition operator: p_{ij} .

Theorem

The axiom $(C_4)^$ is equivalent to $^-(C_4)$, where*

$$^-(C_4): s_k^i s_m^j x = s_m^j s_k^i x \quad i, k \notin \{j, m\}.$$

Notation: CNA_α^+ (non-commutative cylindric algebras): axiom (C_4) is replaced by $^-(C_4)$.

Problem

What is the background (meaning) of the merry-go-round axioms?

- On transposition operators
- Elementary transposition operator: $[i, j]$ in set algebras.
- Abstract transposition operator: p_{ij} .
- $_k s(i, j) = s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j$ (i, j, k are different) is a transposition-like operator in cylindric algebras.

Theorem

The axiom $(C_4)^$ is equivalent to $^-(C_4)$, where*

$$^-(C_4): s_k^i s_m^j x = s_m^j s_k^i x \quad i, k \notin \{j, m\}.$$

Notation: CNA_α^+ (non-commutative cylindric algebras): axiom (C_4) is replaced by $^-(C_4)$.

Problem

What is the background (meaning) of the merry-go-round axioms?

- On transposition operators
- Elementary transposition operator: $[i, j]$ in set algebras.
- Abstract transposition operator: p_{ij} .
- ${}_k s(i, j) = s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j$ (i, j, k are different) is a transposition-like operator in cylindric algebras.
- REMARK: Not every cylindric algebra has an abstract transposition operator (Ferenczi, Sayed-Ahmed).

CONJECTURE: the meaning of MGR is the existence of a kind of transposition operator.

An equivalent form of MGR:

$${}_k s(i, j) {}_k s(j, m) c_k x = {}_k s(j, m) {}_k s(m, i) c_k x$$

under the other CNA_α axioms if $k \notin \{i, j, m\}, j \notin \{m, i\}$
(Andréka-Thompson).

A variant of the Resek-Thompson-Andréka theorem:

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A} \in CNA_\alpha$ extended by $p_{ij} := {}_k s(i, j)$ is a partial transposition algebra (PTA_α) if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in ID_\alpha$.

After the Resek-Thompson-Andréka theorem

CONJECTURE: Transposition algebras are r – representable.

TA_α is a weakening of finite polyadic equality algebras (being definitionally equivalent to quasi-polyadic equality algebras) introduced by Sain and Thompson:

Definition

(TA_α) A *transposition algebra of dimension α* ($\alpha \geq 3$) is an algebra

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, c_i, s_j^i, p_{ij}, d_{ij} \rangle_{i,j < \alpha},$$

where c_i, s_j^i, p_{ij} are unary operations, d_{ij} are constants, and the axioms (F0–F9) below are assumed for every $i, j, k < \alpha$:

- (F0) $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra, $s_i^i = p_{ii} = d_{ii} = Id \upharpoonright A$ and $p_{ij} = p_{ji}$,
- (F1) $x \leq c_i x$,
- (F2) $c_i(x + y) = c_i x + c_i y$,
- (F3) $s_j^i c_i x = c_i x$,
- (F4) $c_i s_j^i x = s_j^i x \quad i \neq j$,
- (F5)* $s_j^i s_m^k x = s_m^k s_j^i x \quad \text{if } i, j \notin \{k, m\}$
- (F6) s_j^i and p_{ij} are Boolean endomorphisms (i.e., $s_j^i(-x) = -s_j^i x$, etc.),
- (F7) $p_{ij} p_{ij} x = x$,
- (F8) $p_{ij} p_{ik} x = p_{jk} p_{ij} x \quad \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct, (MGR!)}$
- (F9) $p_{ij} s_j^i x = s_i^j x$,
- (F10) $s_j^i d_{ij} = 1$,
- (F11) $x \cdot d_{ij} \leq s_j^i x$.

Trivial: the cylindric reduct is r -representable.

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A} \in TA_\alpha$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$.

where the unit of a Gwt_α is of the form: $\bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k^{(pk)}$ (the difference between Gwt and Gws !)

THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF Gwt_α !

The reformulation of the theorem:

Theorem

The class $I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$ is finite schema axiomatizable by the TA_α axioms.

Stone-type theorem!

- REMARK: $I\text{Gws}_\alpha$ is not f.s. axiomatizable (the U_i 's are disjoint), but $I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$ is f.s. axiomatizable (the U_i 's are NOT disjoint)

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A} \in TA_\alpha$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$.

where the unit of a Gwt_α is of the form: $\bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k^{(pk)}$ (the difference between Gwt and Gws !)

THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF Gwt_α !

The reformulation of the theorem:

Theorem

The class $I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$ is finite schema axiomatizable by the TA_α axioms.

Stone-type theorem!

- REMARK: $I\text{Gws}_\alpha$ is not f.s. axiomatizable (the U_i 's are disjoint), but $I\text{Gwt}_\alpha$ is f.s. axiomatizable (the U_i 's are NOT disjoint)
- PROBLEM: Generalization for polyadic equality algebras?

Theorem

(Andréka) $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{CNA}_\alpha^+ \cap \text{Mod} \{Ax_{ij}\}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I G_\alpha$, if α is finite.

where $Ax_{ij} := x \leq c_i c_j (s_j^i c_j x \cdot s_i^j c_i x \cdot \prod_{k < \alpha, k \neq i, j} s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j c_k x)$ $i, j < \alpha$.

the unit V of a G_α is of the form $V = \bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k$ where the sets U_k are arbitrary (the difference between G_α and G_{s_α} !) - locally square algebras.

• THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF G_α !

Theorem

(Andréka) $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{CNA}_\alpha^+ \cap \text{Mod} \{Ax_{ij}\}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I G_\alpha$, if α is finite.

where $Ax_{ij} := x \leq c_i c_j (s_j^i c_j x \cdot s_i^j c_i x \cdot \prod_{k < \alpha, k \neq i, j} s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j c_k x)$ $i, j < \alpha$.

the unit V of a G_α is of the form $V = \bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k$ where the sets U_k are arbitrary (the difference between G_α and G_{s_α} !) - locally square algebras.

- THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF G_α !
- A reformulation of the theorem: The class G_α is *finite schema axiomatizable* in terms of the above schema.

Theorem

(Andréka) $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{CNA}_\alpha^+ \cap \text{Mod} \{A_{x_{ij}}\}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I G_\alpha$, if α is finite.

where $A_{x_{ij}} := x \leq c_i c_j (s_j^i c_j x \cdot s_i^j c_i x \cdot \prod_{k < \alpha, k \neq i, j} s_i^k s_j^i s_k^j c_k x)$ $i, j < \alpha$.

the unit V of a G_α is of the form $V = \bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k$ where the sets U_k are arbitrary (the difference between G_α and G_{s_α} !) - locally square algebras.

- THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF G_α !
- A reformulation of the theorem: The class G_α is *finite schema axiomatizable* in terms of the above schema.
- **PROBLEM:** The generalization for infinite α ?

Definition

(CPE_α) A *cylindric polyadic equality algebra* of dimension α is an algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, c_i, s_\tau, d_{ij} \rangle_{\tau \in {}^\alpha\alpha, i, j \in \alpha}$$

where $+$, and $\cdot$ are binary operations on A , $-$, c_i and s_τ are unary operations on A , $0, 1$ and d_{ij} are elements of A such that for every $i, j \in \alpha$, $x, y \in A$, $\sigma, \tau \in {}^\alpha\alpha$ the usual polyadic postulates are satisfied.

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A} \in CPE_\alpha$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I Gp_\alpha^{reg}$.

where the unit of a Gp_α^{reg} is of the form: $\bigcup_{k \in K} {}^\alpha U_k$ (the difference between Gp_α^{reg} and Gs_α !)

- THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF Gp_α^{reg} !

Definition

(CPE $_{\alpha}$) A *cylindric polyadic equality algebra* of dimension α is an algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, c_i, s_{\tau}, d_{ij} \rangle_{\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha, i, j \in \alpha}$$

where $+$, and $\cdot$ are binary operations on A , $-$, c_i and s_{τ} are unary operations on A , $0, 1$ and d_{ij} are elements of A such that for every $i, j \in \alpha$, $x, y \in A$, $\sigma, \tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha$ the usual polyadic postulates are satisfied.

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{CPE}_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in I \text{Gp}_{\alpha}^{\text{reg}}$.

where the unit of a $\text{Gp}_{\alpha}^{\text{reg}}$ is of the form: $\bigcup_{k \in K} {}^{\alpha}U_k$ (the difference between $\text{Gp}_{\alpha}^{\text{reg}}$ and $\text{Gs}_{\alpha}!$)

- THE GEOMETRICAL MEANING OF $\text{Gp}_{\alpha}^{\text{reg}}$!
- The reformulation of the theorem: The class $I \text{Gp}_{\alpha}^{\text{reg}}$ is *finite schema axiomatizable* by the CPE_{α} axioms.

On the connection of r -representation and neat embeddability.

The classical theorem:

Theorem

$\mathfrak{A} \in CA_\alpha$ is representable if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha CA_{\alpha+\omega}$.

Generalization for r -representation:

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A}$ is r -representable if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha F_{\alpha+\omega}^r$,

where $F_{\alpha+\omega}^r$ is a many-sorted cylindric-like algebra.

- REMARK: $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha PEA_{\alpha+\omega} \not\Rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is representable!!!
(Daigneault-Monk-Keisler)

On the connection of r -representation and neat embeddability.

The classical theorem:

Theorem

$\mathfrak{A} \in CA_\alpha$ is representable if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha CA_{\alpha+\omega}$.

Generalization for r -representation:

Theorem

(Ferenczi) $\mathfrak{A}$ is r -representable if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha F_{\alpha+\omega}^\alpha$,

where $F_{\alpha+\omega}^\alpha$ is a many-sorted cylindric-like algebra.

- REMARK: $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha PEA_{\alpha+\omega} \not\Rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is representable!!!
(Daigneault-Monk-Keisler)
- BUT: $\mathfrak{A} \in SNr_\alpha PEA_{\alpha+\omega} \implies \mathfrak{A}$ is r -representable!



To the memory of Leon Henkin

