

A completeness theorem using algebraic logic

Dorit Ben Shalom

Ben Gurion University of the Negev

Budapest, September 9, 2012

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\phi \vee (\psi \vee \chi) \leftrightarrow (\phi \vee \psi) \vee \chi & x \vee (y \vee z) = (x \vee y) \vee z \\
\phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \chi) \leftrightarrow (\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \chi & x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z \\
\phi \vee \psi \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \phi & x \vee y = y \vee x \\
\phi \wedge \psi \leftrightarrow \psi \wedge \phi & x \wedge y = y \wedge x \\
\phi \vee (\phi \wedge \psi) \leftrightarrow \phi & x \vee (x \wedge y) = x \\
\phi \wedge (\phi \vee \psi) \leftrightarrow \phi & x \wedge (x \vee y) = x \\
\phi \vee (\psi \wedge \chi) \leftrightarrow (\phi \vee \psi) \wedge (\phi \vee \chi) & x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z) \\
\phi \wedge (\psi \vee \chi) \leftrightarrow (\phi \wedge \psi) \vee (\phi \wedge \chi) & x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \\
\phi \vee \neg \phi \leftrightarrow \top & x \vee \neg x = 1 \\
\phi \wedge \neg \phi \leftrightarrow \perp & x \wedge \neg x = 0
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\diamond(\phi \vee \psi) \leftrightarrow \diamond\phi \vee \diamond\psi & c(x \vee y) = cx \vee cy \\
\diamond\perp \leftrightarrow \perp & c0 = 0
\end{array}$$

Let

$$s_{ij}\phi =_{def} \diamond_i(\delta_{ij} \wedge \phi) \quad s_{ij}x =_{def} c_i(d_{ij} \wedge x)$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \diamond_i \phi$$

$$x \leq c_i x$$

$$\diamond_i \neg \diamond_i \phi \leftrightarrow \neg \diamond_i \phi$$

$$c_i \neg c_i x = \neg c_i x$$

$$\delta_{ii} \leftrightarrow \top$$

$$d_{ii} = 1$$

$$\delta_{ij} \leftrightarrow \delta_{ji}$$

$$d_{ij} = d_{ji}$$

$$\delta_{ik} \leftrightarrow s_{ji}\delta_{jk} \text{ if } j \neq i, j \neq k \quad d_{ik} = s_{ji}d_{jk} \text{ if } j \neq i, j \neq k$$

$$\delta_{ij} \wedge s_{ij}\phi \rightarrow \phi \text{ if } j \neq i \quad d_{ij} \wedge c_{ij}x \text{ if } j \neq i$$

And, for the locally finite case: for all $x \in A$ or p a propositional letter, there is a $k \in \omega$, such that for all $j \in \omega$, $j \geq k$,

$$p \leftrightarrow \diamond_j p \quad x = c_j x$$

$$\lambda \downarrow (\lambda \uparrow 1 (\lambda \uparrow 1)) (\lambda \underbrace{2 \downarrow 1})$$

Let

$$s_i\phi =_{def} \diamond(\delta_i \wedge \phi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} DA1 : \delta_i &\leftrightarrow s_1\delta_{i+1} \\ DA2 : s_i\delta_j &\leftrightarrow \diamond s_{i+1}\delta_{j+1} \\ DA3 : s_i\delta_j &\leftrightarrow -\diamond-s_{i+1}\delta_{j+1} \\ DA4 : s_i\phi &\leftrightarrow -s_i-\phi \end{aligned}$$

And, for the locally finite case: for all $j \in \omega$, $j \geq \text{type}(\phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} LF'1 : \phi &\leftrightarrow s_k^k\phi \\ LF'2 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k-1}\phi &\leftrightarrow \diamond s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k}\phi \\ LF'3 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k-1}\phi &\leftrightarrow -\diamond-s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k}\phi \\ LF'4 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{k-2}+k-2} \diamond \phi &\leftrightarrow \diamond s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-2}+k-1}s_k\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p(v_{k-1} \dots v_0))^m = p, \quad \text{where } k = \rho(p) \\
& (v_i = v_j)^m = s_{i+1} d_{j+1} \\
& (-\psi)^m = -(\psi^m) \\
& (\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2)^m = \psi_1^m \wedge \psi_2^m \\
& (\exists v_i \psi)^m = s_j^{j-(i+1)} c s_j^i \psi^m \\
& \text{where } j = \text{type}'(\exists v_i \psi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p)^f = p(v_{k-1}, \dots, v_0), \quad \text{where } k = \rho(p) \\
& (d_i)^f = v_0 = v_i \\
& (-\phi)^f = -(\phi^f) \\
& (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)^f = \phi_1^f \wedge \phi_2^f \\
& (c\phi)^f = s_{[j/j-1]} s_{[j-1/j-2]} \dots s_{[1/0]} \exists v_0 \phi^f \\
& \text{where } j = \text{type}'(\phi^f)
\end{aligned}$$

For every $t \in T$, $\phi \in Fm^m$, $\psi \in Fm^f$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^m \models_t^m \phi &\quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{M}^f \models^f \phi^f[t] \\ \mathcal{M}^f \models^f \psi[t] &\quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{M}^m \models_t^m \psi^m\end{aligned}$$

For any $\phi \in Fm^m$,

$$\vdash^m ((\phi)^f)^m \rightarrow \phi$$

An algebra is a *set* DA (Ds) algebra if it is of the form:

$$\mathbf{S}\{\langle P(U^\omega), \cap, -, \mathsf{T}_{succ}, \mathsf{D}_{0i} \rangle_{i \in \omega^+} : U \text{ is a set}\}$$

where $\mathsf{T}_{succ}(X) = \{t \circ succ : t \in X\}$,
 $\mathsf{D}_{0i} = \{t \in U^\omega : t_0 = t_i\}$.

A set DA algebra A is *regular* if for every $x \in A$ there is a $k \in \omega$ such that:

$$\forall t, t' \in 1_A((t_{k-1} \dots t_0 = t'_{k-1} \dots t'_0) \rightarrow (t \in x \text{ iff } t' \in x))$$

Let

$$s_i x =_{def} c(d_i \wedge \phi)$$

$$DA1 : d_i = s_1 d_{i+1}$$

$$DA2 : s_i d_j = c s_{i+1} d_{j+1}$$

$$DA3 : s_i d_j = -c - s_{i+1} d_{j+1}$$

$$DA4 : s_i x = -s_i - x$$

And, for the locally finite case: for all $x \in A$, there is a $k \in \omega$, such that for all $j \in \omega$, $j \geq k$,

$$LF'1 : x = s_k^k x$$

$$LF'2 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k-1} x = c s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k} x$$

$$LF'3 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{j-1}+k-1} x = -c - s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}+k} x$$

$$LF'4 : s_{i_0+0} \dots s_{i_{k-2}+k-2} c x = c s_{i_0+1} \dots s_{i_{k-2}+k-1} s_k x$$

Every simple countable locally finite DA is isomorphic to a regular set DA.

The proof is analogous to the representation proof in AN75.