

On the graph of  
large distances

P. Erdős

Mathematical Research Institute,  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
Budapest, H-1053 Hungary

L. Lovász

Department of Computer Science,  
Eötvös Loránd University,  
Budapest, H-1088 Hungary

K. Vesztegombi

Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering,  
Budapest University of Technology,  
Budapest, H-111 Hungary

## Introduction

Let  $S$  be the set of  $n$  points in the plane. Let us denote by  $d_1 > d_2 > \dots$  the different distances determined by these points, and by  $n_1$  the number of distances equal to  $d_1$ , by  $n_2$ , the number of distances equal to  $d_2$  etc. Denote by  $G(S, k)$  the graph on vertex set  $S$  obtained by joining  $x$  to  $y$  if their distance is at least  $d_k$ . We prove that if  $n > n_0(k)$  then the chromatic number  $\chi(G(S, k))$  is at most 7, and give a construction for which the equality holds for arbitrarily large  $n$ . Obviously without the assumption  $n > n_0(k)$  the theorem is not true, since if we take the vertices of the regular  $(2k+1)$ -gon as our set of points then  $\chi(G(S, k)) = 2k+1$ .

If we assume that  $S$  is the vertex set of a convex polygon then we prove that for  $n > n_1(k)$  the chromatic number  $\chi(G(S, k))$  is at most 3. The problem of determining the largest possible value of the chromatic number of  $G(S, k)$  for given  $k$  (both in the convex and non-convex case) turns out quite different and we have only a partial answer. We conjecture that for fixed  $k$  the chromatic number of  $G(S, k)$  is at most  $2k+1$ , which is the best if it is true as shown by the regular  $(2k+1)$ -gon. If it is true, this generalizes a theorem of Altman. Erdős conjectured and Altman (1963, 1972) proved that the number of distances determined by the vertices of a convex  $n$ -gon is at least  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . This in particular implies that in the "convex" case  $G(S, k)$  can not contain a complete subgraph of  $2k+2$  vertices. Perhaps in the convex case there

always exists an  $x_i$  such that the degree of  $x_i$  is at most  $2k$ . We prove that for the vertex set  $S$  of a convex polygon there exists an  $x_i$  such that the degree of  $x_i$  is at most  $3k-1$ . From this it follows that the number of edges in  $G(S,k)$  is at most  $3kn$ , and that its chromatic number is at most  $3k$ .

Erdős and L. Moser conjectured that in a convex  $n$ -gon every distance can occur at most  $cn$  times. There is a construction in which the same distance occurs  $5n/3$  times. Hopf and Pannwitz (1934) and Sutherland (1935) proved that the maximum distance among  $n$  points occurs at most  $n$  times. Vesztergombi (1985) noticed that the  $k$ th largest distance occurs at most  $kn$  times, and in a sense described the distribution of the number of occurrences of the two largest distances. In particular it follows that the number of edges in  $G(n,2)$  is at most  $2n$ . One may conjecture that the number of edges in  $G(n,k)$  is at most  $kn$ . The result above verifies this conjecture up to a constant) and shows that the conjecture of Erdős and Moser is valid in the average for the "large" distances. Let us mention the related conjecture of Erdős that in a convex  $n$ -gon there is always a vertex  $x_i$  such that the number of distinct distances from  $x_i$  is at least  $n/2$ .

If we do not restrict ourselves to the largest  $k$  distances, we can ask the following generalization of the Erdős-Moser conjecture: what is the maximum number of times the  $k$  "favorite" distances can occur? Maybe for  $k \geq 2$  the answer will be  $kn$ .

It would be nice if in the non-convex case the maximum of the chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  for fixed  $k$  would be also equal to the largest complete graph which can be contained in some  $G(S,k)$ . A 40 year old conjecture of Erdős (worth \$500) implies that the number of distinct distances determined by  $n$

points is at least  $cn/(\log n)^{1/2}$  (if true, this is best possible apart from the value of  $c$ ). If this is true then the largest complete graph contained in  $G(S,k)$  is at most  $ck(\log k)^{1/2}$ . We can prove that the chromatic number is at most  $ck^2$ .  $k^{1+\epsilon}$  will not come out easily since we can not even prove that  $G(S,k)$  does not contain a complete graph on  $k^{1+\epsilon}$  vertices.

In the 1-dimensional case these problems are trivial. For large  $n$ ,  $G(S,k)$  is bipartite and the chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  can be at most  $k+1$  which can be of course achieved.

The following problem might be of interest. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  points in the plane and  $l_1, \dots, l_k$  are  $k$  arbitrary distances. Two points are joined by an edge if their distance is one of the  $l_i$ 's. Denote by  $f(k)$  the maximum possible chromatic number of this graph. It would be nice if this would be again the largest complete graph contained in our graph.

1. The "non-convex" case

We start with a simple lemma.

1.1. Lemma. Let  $C$  be a circle with center  $c$  and radius  $r$ , and  $T$ , a set of points on the circle such that  $c$  is in the convex hull of  $T$ . Then for each point  $p \neq c$  of the plane, there is a point  $t \in T$  with  $d(p,t) > r$ .

Proof: Let  $l$  be the line through  $c$  perpendicular to the line  $cp$ . Then clearly  $T$  contains a point  $t$  in the halfplane bounded by  $l$  not containing  $p$ . Then the angle  $pct$  is at least  $90^\circ$  and hence  $d(t,p) > d(c,t) = r$ .

■

Now we are able to prove the main theorem of this section.

1.2 Theorem. If  $n \geq n_2(k) = 18k^2$  then  $\chi(G(S,k)) \leq 7$ .

Proof: Let  $q \in S$  be the point of  $G(S,k)$  with largest degree. Consider the circle  $C$  with smallest radius  $r$  containing  $S' = S - \{q\}$ . If  $r < d_k$  then we can cut the disc bounded by  $C$  into 6 pieces with diameter less than  $d_k$ , and this yields a 6-coloration of  $G(S,k) - q$ , and using a 7th color for  $q$  we are done.

So suppose that  $r \geq d_k$ . Obviously, the convex hull of  $COS'$  contains the center  $c$  of  $C$ . So we can choose a subset  $T$  of  $COS'$  with  $|T| \leq 3$  such that the convex hull of  $T$  contains  $c$ . Hence by Lemma 1.1, every point in  $S$  is connected to some point in  $T$ . So  $T$  contains a point of degree more than  $6k^2$ , and hence by its choice,  $q$  has degree greater than  $6k^2$ . Now among the neighbours of  $q$ , there are more than  $2k^2$  which are connected to the same point  $t \in T$ .

But note that these points must lie on  $k$  concentric circles about  $q$  as well as on  $k$  concentric circles about  $t$ . These two families of circles have at most  $2k^2$  intersection points, a contradiction.

1

Now we give a construction which shows that this upper bound for the chromatic number is sharp.

Let us take a regular 11-gon with vertices  $t_1$ , on a circle of radius 1 with center  $O$ . We take a point  $p$  for which  $d(O,p) = 5$  holds (see Fig.1). We draw an arc around  $p$  with radius 5 going through  $O$ . Then on that little arc we can place the remaining points of  $S$ . Let us consider in this setting the 16 largest distances. If  $p$  is in general position then all the  $d(p,t_i)$  distances are different, and another one is  $d(p,O) = 5$ , and also the other points on the little arc have the same difference from  $p$ , and the 4 largest chords in the regular 11-gon are the 16 largest distances. All the other distances are smaller, for arbitrarily many points. One can easily check that the  $t_i$ 's need 5 color and  $p$  needs the 7<sup>th</sup> color, and the remaining points are connected only to  $p$ , so one can finish by 7 color.

The threshold  $n_2(k)$  in the theorem is sharp as far as the order of magnitude goes. In fact, let us modify the

previous construction as follows. We construct the 11-gon and the point  $p$  as before, but now we also add a further point  $p'$  obtained by rotating  $p$  about  $O$  by  $90^\circ$ . Let us draw  $k-23$  concentric circles about  $p$  as well as about  $p'$  with radii very close to 5, and let us add the  $(k-23)^2$  intersection points of these circles inside the 11-gon. This way we get a set  $S$  with  $\approx k^2$  points such that the chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  is 8.

It would be interesting to determine the threshold for  $|S|$  (as a function of  $k$ ) where the chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  becomes bounded. This could be settled on the basis of the previous arguments if we could answer the following question: given  $t \geq 3$ , what is the largest  $s$  such that  $G(S,k)$  can contain a complete bipartite graph  $K_{t,s}$ . In particular, can it contain a  $K_{3,s}$  with  $s = ck^2$ ? Maybe the fact that  $G(S,k)$  consists of the largest  $k$  distances has nothing to do with this question. So we obtain the following problem which is quite interesting on its own right:

**1.3 Problem.** Given  $t \geq 2$  points  $q_1, \dots, q_t$  in the plane and  $k$  numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_k$ , how many points  $p$  of the plane can exist such that each distance  $d(p, q_i)$  is one of the numbers  $r_i$ ?

For  $t=2$  the answer to this question is trivially  $2k^2$ , but already for  $t=3$  we do not know if the answer is  $o(k^2)$ .

We remark without proof that the chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  is  $O(k^2)$  for every set  $S$  in the plane. This is quite a weak bound in view of the remarks in the introduction, but we could not prove  $o(k^2)$ .

## 2. The "convex" case

In this paragraph we deal with the case when  $S$  is a set of vertices of a convex  $n$ -gon  $P$  (briefly, the "convex" case). The convexity of  $S$  gives a natural ordering of the points so throughout the proofs we refer to that ordering. Before stating the main results of this paragraph we make some simple observations.

**2.1 Lemma.** Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in S$  (in this counterclockwise order) and

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq d_k, d(x_2, x_3) \geq d_k, d(x_3, x_4) \geq d_k.$$

Then for each  $y \in S$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_4$ , at least one of the distances  $d(x_i, y)$  is greater than  $d_k$ .

**Proof:** Since the angle  $x_1 y x_4$  is less than  $180^\circ$  (because  $S$  is a convex set), at least one of the angles  $x_i y x_{i+1}$  (for  $i=1,2,3$ ) is less than  $60^\circ$ . Hence  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  cannot be the largest side of the triangle  $x_i y x_{i+1}$ , from which the lemma follows.

■

**2.2 Lemma.** Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2$  are five vertices of  $S$  in this counterclockwise order, and assume that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq d_k, d(x_2, x_3) \geq d_k \text{ and } d(x_1, y_1) = d(x_1, y_2). \text{ Then } d(y_2, x_2) \geq d_k.$$

**Proof:** If the semiline  $x_2 x_3$  does not intersect the semiline



$y_1 y_2$  then the assertion is obvious. So suppose that these semilines intersect in a point  $z$  as in Figure 2. Now the angle  $x_1 y_1 x_2$  is less than the angle  $y_1 x_1 x_2$  because the lengths of the opposite sides of the triangle  $y_1 x_2 x_3$  are in this order. Similarly in the triangle  $y_1 x_3 z$ , the angle  $x_2 y_1 z$  is less than the angle  $y_1 z x_2$ . On the other hand, since the angle  $x_2 x_3 z$  is less than  $180^\circ$ , the sum of the other angles in the convex quadrangle  $y_1 z x_3 x_4$  must be more than  $180^\circ$ , which means that the sum of the angles  $x_2 y_1 x_3$  and  $x_3 y_1 z$  is less than  $90^\circ$ , but this contradicts the fact that the angle  $x_2 y_1 y_2$ , which is the sum of the angles  $x_2 y_1 x_3$  and  $x_3 y_1 z$ , is acute.

■

2.3. Lemma. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in S$  (in this counterclockwise order) and

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq d_k, \quad d(x_2, x_3) \geq d_k, \quad d(x_3, x_4) \geq d_k.$$

Then the number of vertices of  $S$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_4$  is at most  $12k^2 + 4k$ .

Proof: By Lemma 2.1, each vertex between  $x_1$  and  $x_4$  is connected in  $G(S, k)$  to at least one of the  $x_i$ 's. By Lemma 2.2, there are at most  $k$  vertices between  $x_1$  and  $x_4$  which are connected in  $G(S, k)$  to a given  $x_i$  but no other  $x_j$ . On the other hand, all points which are connected to both  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ) lie on  $k$  circles about  $x_i$  as well as on  $k$  circles about  $x_j$ , so their number is at most  $2k^2$ . This gives the bound in the Lemma.

■

2.4. Corollary. If  $n > 12k^2 + 4k$  then  $G(S, k)$  contains no convex quadrilateral.

2.5. Theorem. If  $k$  is fixed and  $n > n_1(k) = 25000k^2$  then  $\chi(G(S, k)) \leq 3$ .

Proof: Let  $p = \lfloor n/720 \rfloor$ . Then  $p > 24k^2 + 8k + 2$  (except in the trivial case when  $k=1$ ). We can choose  $2p + 1$  consecutive vertices  $a_0, \dots, a_{2p}$  such that the angle between the vectors  $a_0 a_1$  and  $a_{2p-1} a_{2p}$  is less than  $1^\circ$ . Now we do the coloring the greedy way. We start at the point  $t_1 = a_p$ . We give the color 1 to the points in  $S$  going counterclockwise as long as possible, i.e. until we encounter a vertex  $t_2$  which is connected in  $G(S, k)$  to a vertex  $t_1'$  already colored with color 1. Now starting at  $t_2$  go on using color 2, until it is possible, i.e. until we encounter a vertex  $t_3$  connected to a vertex  $t_2'$  already colored with color 2. Going on with color 3, we either complete a 3-coloring of  $G$ , or else we find, similarly as before, vertices  $t_4$  and  $t_3'$  connected in  $G(S, k)$ . Now we show that we can choose  $x_1 = t_1'$ ,  $x_2 \in (t_2, t_2')$ ,  $x_3 \in (t_3, t_3')$  and  $x_4 = t_4$  so that  $d(x_1, x_2) \geq d_k$ ,  $d(x_2, x_3) \geq d_k$ ,  $d(x_3, x_4) \geq d_k$ . If  $t_2 = t_2'$  and  $t_3 = t_3'$  then this is obvious.

Assume that  $t_2 \neq t_2'$ . Now in the convex quadrangle  $t_1' t_2' t_2 t_3$  the sum of the lengths of the opposite edges  $(t_1', t_2')$  and  $(t_2, t_3)$ , are of length at least  $2d_k$ , so at least one diagonal must be of length at least  $d_k$ . We choose  $x_2$  accordingly, and similarly we choose  $x_3$ .

So we have the same kind of configuration as in Lemma 2.3. Thus by Lemma 2.3 there are at most  $12k^2 + 4k$  vertices between  $x_1$  and  $x_4$ . This in particular implies that  $x_1 = a_i$  and  $x_4 = a_j$  where

$$p - 12k^2 - 4k \leq i \leq p < j \leq p + 12k^2 + 4k + 1.$$

One of the pairs  $(x_1, x_3)$  and  $(x_2, x_4)$ , say the former, is also connected in  $G(S, k)$ .

Now the angle  $x_2 x_1 a_{i+1}$  cannot be larger than  $91^\circ$ , or else the segments  $x_2 a_{i+1}, x_2 a_{i+2}, \dots, x_2 a_{i+k}$  were monotone increasing and all greater than  $d_k$ , which is impossible. Similarly, the angle  $a_{i-1} x_1 x_3$  is less than  $91^\circ$  and hence the angle  $x_2 x_1 x_3$  is less than  $2^\circ$ . Let e.g.  $d(x_1, x_2) < d(x_1, x_3)$ . Hence it is easy to deduce using the cosine theorem that  $d(x_1, x_3) \geq 1.9d_k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_{2p}, x_3) &\geq \sin(x_3 x_1 a_{2p}) d(x_1, x_3) \geq \sin 88^\circ \cdot 1.9 d_k \\ &\geq 1.8 d_k \end{aligned}$$

But then relabelling  $a_{2p}$  by  $x_4$ , we get a contradiction at Lemma 2.3.

■

Again, one can ask if the threshold  $\text{const} \cdot k^2$  is best possible. The source of this value is Lemma 2.3, where we use (essentially) the case  $t=2$  of Problem 1.3. It would seem that the additional information that the points considered are the vertices of a convex polygon would exclude most of the intersection points of the two families of concentric circles. But this is not the case; we can construct a set  $S$ , consisting of the vertices of a convex polygon, such that  $|S| > \text{const} \cdot k^2$  and  $G(S, k)$  contains a  $K_4$  (and hence its chromatic number is larger than 3).

Let us sketch this construction. Let  $a = (0, 0)$ ,  $b = (1, 0)$ ,  $c = (3, 0)$  and  $d = (-1, 0)$ . Let  $C_0$  be the circle

with radius 2 about  $b$ , and let  $p_0$  be a point on  $C_0$  very close to  $c$ . Then the angle  $dp_0c$  is  $90^\circ$ , hence the angle  $ap_0c$  is acute. Hence we can choose an interior point  $p_1$  on the arc of  $C_0$  between  $p_0$  and  $c$  such that the angle  $ap_0p_1$  is acute. We define the points  $p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}$  on the circle  $C_0$  similarly so that all the angles  $ap_i p_{i+1}$  are acute. Let  $D_i$  be the circle with center  $a$  through  $p_i$ . It follows from the construction that the circle  $D_i$  contains  $p_{i+1}$  in its interior but the line tangent to  $D_i$  at  $p_i$  does not separate  $p_{i+1}$  from  $a$ .

Let  $\epsilon$  be a very small positive number and let  $C_i$  ( $i=0, \dots, k-1$ ) be the circle about  $b$  with radius  $2-i\epsilon$ . Let  $p_{ij}$  be the intersection point of  $C_i$  and  $D_j$  in the upper halfplane. Then the points  $p_{ij}$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  form the vertices of a convex polygon and  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $p_{0,0}$  and  $p_{k-1,k-1}$  form a complete quadrilateral in  $G(S, 2k+2)$ .

Next we derive a bound on the chromatic number of  $G(S, k)$  without the hypothesis that  $|S|$  is large. First, let us define the following. Let  $xy$  be an edge of  $G(S, k)$ . Let  $x_1$  be the clockwise neighbor of  $x$  and  $y_1$ , the counterclockwise neighbor of  $y$ . If  $d(x_1, y) > d(x, y)$ , we say that the edge  $x_1y$  covers the edge  $xy$ . Similarly if  $d(x, y_1) > d(x, y)$ , we say that the edge  $xy_1$  covers the edge  $xy$ . Starting from any edge  $xy$ , let us select an edge  $x'y'$  covering it, then an edge  $x''y''$  covering  $x'y'$  etc. In at most  $k-1$  steps we must get stuck (by the definition of  $G(S, k)$ ). Let  $x_0y_0$  be the edge for which we could not find any edge covering it. We call  $x_0y_0$  a *majorant* of  $xy$ . Note that in this case the angles formed by  $x_0y_0$  and the two edges of the polygon entering  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  from the side opposite to  $xy$  must be acute. It is also clear that the arcs  $x_0x$  and  $yy_0$  contain at most  $k-1$  sides of  $P$  together.

The following proposition will not be used directly, but it seems worth formulating.

**2.6 Proposition.** Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(x_3, x_4)$  be two avoiding edges of  $G(S, k)$ . Then either between  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  or between  $x_4$  and  $x_1$  are not more than  $2k-2$  sides of  $P$  (see Figure 3).

**Proof:** Assume that the conclusion does not hold, and let  $y_1 y_2$  be a majorant of  $x_1 x_2$  and  $y_3 y_4$ , a majorant of  $x_3 x_4$ . Then these majorants are also avoiding and  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  and  $y_4$  are in this same cyclic order on the polygon. Moreover, from the remarks made concerning the majorants it follows that all angles of the convex quadrangle  $y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4$  are acute. This is clearly impossible.

■

**2.5 Theorem.** The graph  $G(S, k)$  has a point of degree at most  $3k-1$ .

**Proof:** Choose  $x \in S$  and let  $y$  and  $z$  be the first vertices of  $S$  in the counterclockwise and clockwise directions, respectively, that are connected to  $x$ . Choose  $x$  so that the number of points between  $x$  and  $y$  is maximal (see Figure 4). Let  $sv$  be a majorant of  $xz$ . (It is possible that  $v = x$  or  $s = z$ ). Suppose there are  $a$  points between  $x$  and  $v$  and  $b$  points between  $z$  and  $s$ , then we know that  $a+b \leq k-1$  holds. Then let  $t$  be the  $k$ -th point from  $x$  in the counterclockwise direction, and let  $u$  be the first vertex in the counterclockwise direction connected to  $t$  in  $G(S, k)$ . Then because of the choice of  $x$ , there are not more sides of  $P$  between  $t$  and  $u$  than between  $x$  and  $y$ . Hence there are not more sides of  $P$  between  $y$  and  $u$  than between  $x$  and  $t$ , i.e.,

not more than  $a+k$ .

Let  $v's'$  be a majorant of  $tu$ . Obviously,  $v'$  lies on the arc  $vt$ . Just like in the proof of Proposition 2.4, the edges  $sv$  and  $v's'$  cannot be avoiding. Hence  $s$  must be on the arc  $us'$  and so the number of sides of  $P$  on the arc  $us$  is at most  $k-1$ . Hence the number of sides of  $P$  on the arc  $yz$  is at most  $(a+k)+(k-1)+b \leq 3k-2$ . Hence the degree of  $x$  is at most  $3k-1$ .

- ■

2.6 Corollary. The number of edges in  $G(S,k)$  is at most  $(3k-1)n$ .

■

Moreover, by Brooks' Theorem we obtain:

2.7 Corollary. The chromatic number of  $G(S,k)$  is at most  $3k$ .

■

## References

E.Altman (1963), On a problem of P.Erdős, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 70, 148-157.

E.Altman (1972), Some theorems on convex polygons, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 15, 329-340.

H.Hopf and E.Pannwitz (1934), Problem 167, *Jahresber. der Deut. Math. Ver.* 43, 2. Abt., 114.

J.W.Sutherland (1935), *Jahresber. der Deut. Math. Ver.* 45, 2. Abt. 33.

K.Vesztergoombi (1985): On the distribution of distances in finite sets in the plane, *Discrete Math.* 57, 129-146.