

ON THE CONNECTION BETWEEN GAPS IN POWER SERIES AND THE ROOTS OF THEIR PARTIAL SUMS

BY
P. ERDÖS AND H. FRIED⁽¹⁾

In this paper we are going to investigate the connections between the gaps of power series with the distribution of the roots of their partial sums. Let

$$(1) \quad f(x) = 1 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n + \cdots$$

be a power series with the radius of convergence 1. We say that it has Ostrowski gaps ρ if there exists a $\rho < 1$ and a pair of infinite sequences m_k and n_k , with $m_k < n_k$ and $\lim n_k/m_k > 1$, such that $|a_n| < \rho^n$ for $m_k \leq n \leq n_k$.

It has infinite Ostrowski gaps ρ ($\rho < 1$) if to every $\rho' > \rho$ there corresponds a pair of infinite sequences m_k and n_k (depending on ρ') with $m_k < n_k$ and $\lim n_k/m_k = \infty$ such that $|a_n| < \rho'^n$ for $m_k \leq n \leq n_k$.

We denote by $A(n, r)$ the number of roots of $f(x) = 1 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ within the circle of radius r .

It is well known that every overconvergent power series has Ostrowski gaps, and that every power series with Ostrowski gaps is overconvergent in a domain of which every regular point of the circle of convergence is an interior point.

We are going to prove the following theorems:

THEOREM I. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a power series have Ostrowski gaps is that there exist an $r > 1$, such that*

$$(2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n, r)}{n} < 1.$$

THEOREM II. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a power series have infinite Ostrowski gaps ρ is that*

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n, r)}{n} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

Theorem I is not new. It has been proved by Bourion⁽²⁾, but his proof is quite different from ours. The proof of Theorem I will be based on the following lemma, which seems interesting in itself.

Presented to the Society, September 12, 1943; received by the editors September 25, 1946.

⁽¹⁾ Deceased December 23, 1945.

⁽²⁾ *L'ultra convergence dans les séries de Taylor*, Actualités Scientifique et Industriel, no. 472, Paris, 1937.

LEMMA I. If $0 < \rho < 1$ and $1/\rho > r > 1$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ (depending only on r and ρ) such that every equation $f_n(x) = 1 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n = 0$, in which

$$(4) \quad |a_k| < \rho^k \quad (m \leq k \leq n),$$

has at least $c(n-m+1)$ roots outside the circle of radius r .

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $m > n/2$. Since the product of the moduli of the roots of our equation is $|1/a_n| \geq \rho^{-n}$, at least one of the roots exceeds r . Therefore $N/(n-m+1) > 0$, where N denotes the number of roots outside the circle of radius r . If the lemma were false there would exist a sequence of polynomials

$$(5) \quad f_\nu(x) = 1 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m + \dots + a_nx^n \quad (m = m_\nu, n = n_\nu)$$

(here and in the future we shall omit the index ν where there is no danger of confusion) in which $|a_k| < \rho^k$, for $m \leq k \leq n$, and such that

$$(6) \quad c = N/(n-m+1) \rightarrow 0$$

($c = c_\nu$, $N = N_\nu$, and so on, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$).

We are going to show that these assumptions lead to a contradiction. We choose

$$(7) \quad k > \max\left(\frac{1+r}{1-\rho r}, \frac{1}{\rho}\right).$$

We write the polynomials (5) in the following form

$$(8) \quad f_\nu(x) = a_n \prod_i (x - y_i) \prod_i (x - z_i) \prod_i (x - u_i) = a_n Y(x) Z(x) U(x)$$

where y_i denotes the roots for which $|y_i| \leq r$, z_i the roots for which

$$r < z_i \leq 2D, \quad D = k^{n/(n-m+1)},$$

and u_i the roots for which $2D < u_i$. Further we denote by l, s, t the number of roots y_i, z_i, u_i respectively. From (6) we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim \frac{s+t}{n-m+1} &= 0; \text{ hence} \\ \lim \frac{s}{n-m+1} &= \lim \frac{t}{n-m+1} = 0, \quad \lim \frac{l}{n} = 1; \\ \lim \frac{l+s-m+1}{n-m+1} &= \lim \left(1 - \frac{t}{n-m+1}\right) = 1; \\ \lim \frac{l+s-n}{n-m+1} &= \lim \left(-\frac{t}{n-m+1}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the z 's it follows that

$$r^n < |Z(0)| \leq 2^n D^n$$

or

$$r^{n/n} < |Z(0)|^{1/n} \leq 2^{n/n} D^{n/n}.$$

Hence from (9)

$$(10) \quad \lim |Z(0)|^{1/n} = 1 \quad (\nu \rightarrow \infty).$$

From

$$1 = |a_n \cdot Y(0) \cdot Z(0) U(0)|$$

and (10) it follows that

$$(11) \quad \lim |a_n Y(0) U(0)|^{1/n} = 1.$$

If x is any point within the circle of radius D we obtain from the definition of the u_i 's that

$$1/2 < |(u_i - x)/u_i| < 3/2$$

or

$$(1/2)^t < |U(x) \cdot (U(0))^{-1}| < (3/2)^t.$$

Hence from (9)

$$(12) \quad \lim (|U(x) \cdot (U(0))^{-1}|)^{1/n} = 1 \quad (U(x) = U_\nu(x), n = n_\nu).$$

Let now ξ be the point on the circle of radius D where the product $|Y(x)Z(x)|$ assumes its maximum. It follows from Cauchy's formula that this maximum is greater than $D^{t+\epsilon}$. We obtain from

$$|f_\nu(\xi)| = |a_n \cdot Y(\xi) \cdot Z(\xi) \cdot U(\xi)| \geq |a_n U(\xi)| D^{t+\epsilon}$$

and from (11) and (12) that

$$(13) \quad |f_\nu(\xi)| \geq D^{t+\epsilon} (1 - \epsilon)^n |Y(0)|^{-1},$$

for all sufficiently large ν , where ϵ is an arbitrarily small positive number.

Now we shall show that this is impossible, namely that the maximum of $|f_\nu(x)|$ on the circle of radius D is not as large as that.

Put

$$\max_{k \leq n} |a_k| = B_\nu.$$

The index of the largest coefficient is clearly less than m (since $\rho < 1$). Now we estimate B_ν . Let ω be the point on the unit circle where $|f_\nu(x)|$ assumes its maximum. It follows from Cauchy's formula that

$$(14) \quad B_r \leq |f_r(\omega)|.$$

From (11) and (12) it follows that

$$(15) \quad \lim |a_n Y(0) \cdot U(\omega)|^{1/n} = 1.$$

(Observe that $k > 1$ so that ω is in the interior of the circle of radius D .)
From the definition of the z_i we have

$$r - 1 \leq |z - \omega| \leq 2D + 1 < 3D,$$

or

$$(r - 1)^n \leq |Z(\omega)| < (3D)^n.$$

Hence from (9)

$$(16) \quad \lim (Z(\omega))^{1/n} = 1.$$

From $|f_r(\omega)| = |a_n \cdot Y(\omega) \cdot Z(\omega) \cdot U(\omega)|$ we obtain by (16) and (15) that

$$(17) \quad |f_r(\omega)| \leq (1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n / Y(0) \quad (l = l_r, \text{ and so on})$$

for all sufficiently large ν , where ϵ is an arbitrarily small positive number.
From (14) and (17) it follows that

$$B_r \leq (1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n / Y(0).$$

If we denote by M_r the maximum of $|f_r(x)|$ on the circle of radius D , we have

$$M_r \leq m_r \frac{(1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n}{|Y(0)|} D^{m-1} + \sum_{i=m}^n (\rho \cdot D)^i$$

or, because of $\rho D > 1$,

$$(18) \quad M_r < m \frac{(1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n}{|Y(0)|} D^{m-1} + (n-m+1)(\rho \cdot D)^n.$$

From (13) and (18) it follows that

$$\frac{D^{l+s}}{|Y(0)|} (1-\epsilon)^n \leq m \frac{(1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n}{|Y(0)|} D^{m-1} + (n-m+1)\rho^n D^n$$

for sufficiently large ν and arbitrarily small positive ϵ . Hence we obtain from $|y_i| \leq r$, (9), the definition of D , $m > n/2$, and (7)

$$(19) \quad 1 \leq \left[\frac{m(1+r)^l (1+\epsilon)^n}{D^{l+s-m+1}(1-\epsilon)^n} + \frac{(n-m+1)\rho^n \cdot r^l}{D^{l+s-n}(1-\epsilon)^n} \right]^{1/n} \\ < \frac{m^{1/n}(1+r)^{l/n}(1+\epsilon)}{D^{(l+s-m+1)/n}(1-\epsilon)} + \frac{(n-m+1)^{1/n}\rho r^{l/n}}{D^{(l+s-n)/n}(1-\epsilon)} < \frac{1+r}{k} + \rho r + \eta < 1$$

for every η if ϵ is sufficiently small and ν sufficiently large. This contradiction establishes the lemma.

Proof of Theorem I. First we show that (2) is necessary. If the power series has Ostrowski gaps there exists a $\rho < 1$ and a pair of infinite sequences m_k and n_k with $m_k < n_k$ and $\lim n_k/m_k = \theta$ ($\theta > 1$) such that $|a_n| < \rho^n$ for $m_k \leq n \leq n_k$. By Lemma I, corresponding to any $1 < r < 1/\rho$ there exists a positive constant c such that

$$n_k - A(n_k, r) > c(n_k - m_k + 1).$$

Hence for sufficiently large k

$$n_k - A(n_k, r) > cn_k(1 - 1/\theta)$$

or

$$\frac{n_k - A(n_k, r)}{n_k} > c \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$$

and therefore

$$\liminf \frac{A(n, r)}{n} < 1,$$

which shows the necessity of condition (2).

Assume now that (2) is satisfied. Then there exists a sequence n_k such that

$$(20) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n_k, r)}{n_k} < 1.$$

We denote by $f_{n_k}(x)$ the polynomial consisting of the first $n_k + 1$ terms of $f(x)$, and by $x_i^{(n_k)}$ its roots. (To simplify notations we shall omit the index k where there is no danger of confusion.) We choose ϵ so that $0 < \epsilon < r - 1$. It is well known that for any $\gamma > 0$, only a bounded number of roots of $f_{n_k}(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are within the circle of radius $1 - \gamma$. It follows easily from (20) that positive numbers c and c' exist, both less than 1 and such that

$$\left| \prod x_i^{(n)} \right| > (r - \epsilon)^{cn} \quad (n = n_k)$$

for sufficiently large k , where $\left| \prod x_i^{(n)} \right|$ is the product of at least $c'n_k$ roots of $f_{n_k}(x)$. Thus we obtain

$$a_{n_k} < (r - \epsilon)^{-c'n_k}.$$

Hence if we choose δ such that $(r - \epsilon)^{-\delta} < \rho < 1$, we can conclude that $|a_{n_k}| < \rho^{n_k}$. Now we choose δ such that

$$0 < \delta < \rho(r - \epsilon)^c - 1.$$

By Stirling's formula it is easy to see that $C_{n,ln} < (1 + \delta)^n$ for sufficiently small l . Now for

$$1 \leq p \leq ln \quad \text{and} \quad p < (1 - \epsilon')n \quad (p = p_k, n = n_k)$$

we obtain

$$|a_{n-p}| \leq C_{n,p} \left| \prod_{i=1}^p \xi_i \right| / \left| \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{(n)} \right|$$

where $\xi_1^{(n)}, \dots, \xi_p^{(n)}$ are the roots with the greatest absolute values. Therefore we have

$$|a_{n-p}| < \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{(r - \epsilon)^c} \right)^n < \rho^n < \rho^{n-p}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem I.

For the proof of Theorem II we need the following lemma:

LEMMA II. Let $f(z) = 1 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n + \dots$ be a power series with Ostrowski gaps p and radius of convergence 1, and let $\epsilon > 0$; then for each

$$(21) \quad r < \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)^\lambda \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma + \epsilon} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \liminf \frac{m_k}{n_k}$$

we have

$$(22) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n_k, r)}{n_k} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{n_k} + \epsilon.$$

If this lemma were false there would exist an

$$(23) \quad r_1 < (1/\rho)^\lambda$$

such that

$$(24) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n_k, r_1)}{n_k} > \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{n_k} + \epsilon.$$

(We consider if necessary a subsequence of m_k and n_k .) We choose r_2 so that

$$(25) \quad 1 < r_2 < 1/\rho \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 < r_2^\lambda.$$

Thus $r_1 < r_2$. Denote by $M_{n_k}(r)$ the maximum of $f_{n_k}(x)$ on the circle of radius r . From Jensen's formula we have

$$(26) \quad M_{n_k}(r_2) \geq \frac{r_2^{\mu_2}}{|a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{\mu_2}|}, \quad \mu_2 = A(n_k, r_2), \quad n = n_k,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_{μ_1} are the roots of $f_n(x)$ within the circle of radius r_2 . Hence

$$(27) \quad M_n(r_2) \geq \frac{r_2^{\mu_2}}{r_1^{\mu_1} r_2^{\mu_1 - \mu_2}} = \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\mu_1}, \quad \mu_1 = A(n, r).$$

Since $|a_i| < \rho^t$ for $m < t < n$ we obtain

$$(28) \quad M_n(r_2) \leq (1 + \eta)^m \cdot m \cdot r_2^m + (n - m + 1)(\rho r_2)^m$$

where η is arbitrarily small. From (27) and (24) we obtain

$$(M_n(r_2))^{1/n} \geq \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\mu_1/n} \geq \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\sigma + \epsilon}$$

and from (28)

$$(M_n(r_2))^{1/n} \leq r_2^\sigma$$

for sufficiently large k . Hence

$$\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\sigma + \epsilon} / r_2^\sigma = \frac{r_2^\epsilon}{r_1^{\sigma + \epsilon}} \leq 1$$

or

$$r_2^{\epsilon/(\sigma + \epsilon)} \leq r_1$$

which contradicts (25). Thus Lemma II is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM II. *Condition (3) is necessary.* This follows immediately from Lemma II; here we have $\lim m_k/n_k = 0$.

Condition (3) is sufficient. If a power series has no infinite Ostrowski gaps ρ , there exists a ρ' ($\rho < \rho' < 1$) so that we have for every sequence n_k a corresponding sequence m_k such that $|a_{m_k}| > (\rho')^{m_k}$ and $m_k > cn_k$ for some $c > 0$. If we choose r so that $1/\rho' < r < 1/\rho$ we have

$$(29) \quad M_{n_k}(r) > (\rho'r)^{m_k} > (\rho'r)^{cn_k}$$

for some $c > 0$ where $\rho'r > 1$.

On the other hand if we choose r' so that $r < r' < 1/\rho$ and if (3) holds, there exists a sequence n_k so that $f_{n_k}(x)$ has only $o(n)$ roots within the circle of radius r' . We write

$$f_n(x) = g_n(x)h_n(x) \quad (n = n_k)$$

where

$$g_n(x) = \prod \left(1 - \frac{x}{y_i}\right), \quad h_n(x) = \prod \left(1 - \frac{x}{z_i}\right)$$

and y_i are the roots inside, z_i the roots outside the circle of radius r' . Therefore

the degree of $h_n(x)$ is $o(n)$. There clearly exists an $l < 1$ such that

$$f_n(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq l$$

(since $f(0) = 1$). Thus

$$(30) \quad \lim (f_n(x))^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq l$$

where that determination of $f_n(x)$ is taken which is 1 when $x=0$. Also

$$(31) \quad \lim (h_n(x))^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| < l.$$

Therefore from (30) and (31)

$$(32) \quad \lim (g_n(x))^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| < l.$$

We have

$$(33) \quad g_n(x) \leq \prod \left(1 + \left| \frac{x}{y_i} \right| \right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{r'} \right)^n \leq 2^n \quad \text{for } |x| \leq r'.$$

Thus by Vitali's theorem (by (32) and (33))

$$(34) \quad \lim (g_n(x))^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq r < r'.$$

From

$$\max_{|x| \leq r} h_n(x) \leq \left(1 + \frac{r}{l} \right)^{o(n)}$$

we obtain from (34)

$$|f_n(x)| = |g_n(x)| |h_n(x)| < (1 + \delta)^{2n} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq r$$

for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large k . Therefore we have

$$\limsup (|M_{n_k}(r)|)^{1/n_k} \leq 1,$$

which contradicts (29). This completes the proof of Theorem II.

Let $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ ($a_0 = 1$) be a power series of radius of convergence 1 which has Ostrowski gaps. Let $f_{n_k}(x) = 1 + \dots + a_{n_k} x^{n_k}$ and $\lim |a_{n_k}|^{1/n_k} = 1/l$. Bourion⁽²⁾ remarks that every boundary point of the region of overconvergence of $f_{n_k}(x)$ has a distance from the origin which is less than a constant depending on l . In fact by using the concept of transfinite diameter⁽³⁾ it is easy to see that this constant is less than $4l$. We are going to show that this constant is greater than l .

Let $T_n(x)$ be the n th Tschebicheff polynomial belonging to the interval $(0, 4)$. It is well known that the maximum of $T_n(x)$ in $(0, 4)$ equals 2. We de-

(²) For the definition and properties of the transfinite diameter see M. Fekete, Math. Zeit. vol. 17 (1923) pp. 228-249. The result we need is that the transfinite diameter of an interval of length l is $l/4$.

note by A_n the largest coefficient (in absolute value) of $T_n(x)$. It is easy to see that $\lim |A_n|^{1/n} < 4$. Let n_i tend to infinity sufficiently fast and consider the power series

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{m_i} \frac{T_{n_i}(x)}{A_{n_i}}, \quad m_i = m_{i-1} + n_{i-1} + 1.$$

Put

$$f_{n_k+m_k}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k x^{m_i} \frac{T_{n_i}(x)}{A_{n_i}}.$$

It is easy to see that if the n_i tend to infinity sufficiently fast the circle of convergence of $f(x)$ is 1, $\lim (1/A_{n_k})^{1/(n_k+m_k)} > 1/4$ and every interior point of $(-1, 4)$ is in the region of overconvergence of $f_{n_k+m_k}(x)$. This completes the proof.

Let us denote by $\phi(l)$ the maximum distance of a boundary point of the region of overconvergence from the origin. We have

$$l < \phi(l) < 4l.$$

The question of the exact value of $\phi(l)$ remains open.

Added in proof. P. Turán recently pointed out that Lemma I is a consequence of the following theorem of Van Vleck (see, for example, Dieudonné, *La théorie analytique des polynomes d'une variable à coefficients quelconques* (Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques, vol. 93), Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1939). Let $h(z) = b_0 + \dots + b_n z^n$ and α be the unique positive root of

$$C_{n-1, p-1} |b_0| + C_{n-2, p-2} |b_1| x + \dots + C_{n-p, 0} |b_{p-1}| x^{p-1} - |b_n| x^n = 0.$$

Then $h(z)$ has at least p roots in $|z| \leq \alpha$.

More precisely, Turán obtains the following result: Let $\rho > \rho' > 1$, $0 < \theta < 1/10$, and

$$\theta \log \frac{20}{\theta} < \frac{9}{20} \log \frac{\rho}{\rho'}$$

and n sufficiently large. Then if $f(z) = 1 + \dots + a_n z^n$, $|a_r| < \rho^{-r}$ for $m < r < n$, $f(z)$ has for $|z| > \rho'$ at least $\theta(n-m)$ roots.

Turán obtains this result by a simple computation, by applying Van Vleck's theorem with $p = [\theta(n-m)] + 1$ to $z^n f(1/z)$.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA AND
SWARTHMORE COLLEGE